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## Methodology

The CoStar Retail Report calculates Retail statistics using CoStar Group's base of existing, under construction and under renovation Retail buildings in each given metropolitan area. All Retail building types are included, including Community Center, Freestanding Retail, Neighborhood Center, Power Center, Regional Mall, Specialty Center and Unanchored Strip Center, in both single-tenant and multitenant buildings, including owner-occupied buildings. CoStar Group's national database includes approximately 71.7 billion square feet of coverage in 3 million properties. All rental rates reported in the CoStar Retail Report are calculated using Triple Net (NNN) rental rates.

CoStar regularly opens new markets to expand its geographic coverage which results in varying start dates for historical data. Due to differences in market start dates and a desire to show as long a historical timeframe as possible, only the following markets are included in the historical charts within this report: Atlanta, Austin, Baltimore, Birmingham, Boston, Broward County, Charlotte, Chicago, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dallas/Ft Worth, Dayton, Denver, Detroit, East Bay/Oakland, Greensboro/ Winston-Salem, Greenville/Spartanburg, Hampton Roads, Hartford, Houston, Indianapolis, Inland Empire (California), Jacksonville (Florida), Kansas City, Las Vegas, Long Island (New York), Los Angeles, Madison, Memphis, Miami-Dade County, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Nashville, Northern New Jersey, Oklahoma City, Orange (California), Orlando, Palm Beach County, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Pittsburgh, Portland, Providence, Raleigh/Durham, Richmond VA, Sacramento, Salt Lake City, San Antonio, San Diego, San Francisco, Seattle/Puget Sound, South Bay/San Jose, Southwest Florida, St. Louis, Tampa/St Petersburg, Toledo, Tucson, Tulsa, Washington, West Michigan, and Westchester/So Connecticut. When ever possible all markets are included to provide as broad as possible national coverage. The Market Highlights and Overview narrative includes all markets.

For information on subscribing to CoStar's other products and services, please contact us at

$$
1-877-7 \text { COSTAR, or visit our web site at www.costar.com }
$$

© Copyright 2010 CoStar Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Although CoStar makes efforts to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the information contained herein, CoStar makes no guarantee, representation or warranty regarding the quality, accuracy, timeliness or completeness of the information. The publication is provided 'as is' and CoStar expressly disclaims any guarantees, representations or warranties of any kind, including those of MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Terms \& Definitions

Anchor Tenant: A large national or regional retailer that serves as a primary draw for a shopping center; a store strategically located in a retail property in order to enhance, bring attention to, or increase traffic at the property. Sometimes called a "destination" tenant, usually these tenants lease at least $25,000 \mathrm{SF}$.

Availability Rate: The ratio of available space to total rentable space, calculated by dividing the total available square feet by the total rentable square feet.

Available Space: The total amount of space that is currently being marketed as available for lease in a given time period. It includes any space that is available, regardless of whether the space is vacant, occupied, available for sublease, or available at a future date.

Buyer: The individual, group, company, or entity that has purchased a commercial real estate asset.
Cap Rate: Short for capitalization rate. The Cap Rate is a calculation that reflects the relationship between one year's net operating income and the current market value of a particular property. The Cap Rate is calculated by dividing the annual net operating income by the sales price (or asking sales price).
Community Center: A shopping center development that has a total square footage between $100,000-350,000$ SF. Generally will have 2-3 large anchored tenants, but not department store anchors. Community Center typically offers a wider range of apparel and other soft goods than the Neighborhood Center. Among the more common anchors are supermarkets and super drugstores. Community Center tenants sometime contain retailers selling such items as apparel, home improvement/furnishings, toys, electronics or sporting goods. The center is usually configured as a strip, in a straight line, or an "L" or "U" shape.

Construction Starts: Buildings that began construction during a specific period of time. (See also: Deliveries)
Deliveries: Buildings that complete construction during a specified period of time. In order for space to be considered delivered, a certificate of occupancy must have been issued for the property.
Delivery Date: The date a building completes construction and receives a certificate of occupancy.
Developer: The company, entity or individual that transforms raw land to improved property by use of labor, capital and entrepreneurial efforts.
Direct Space: Space that is being offered for lease directly from the landlord or owner of a building, as opposed to space being offered in a building by another tenant (or broker of a tenant) trying to sublet a space that has already been leased.
Existing Inventory: The square footage of buildings that have received a certificate of occupancy and are able to be occupied by tenants. It does not include space in buildings that are either planned, under construction or under renovation.
Freestanding Retail: Single tenant building with a retail tenant. Examples include video stores, fast food restaurant, etc.

Full Service Rental Rate: Rental rates that include all operating expenses such as utilities, electricity, janitorial services, taxes and insurance.

General Retail: Typically are single tenant freestanding generalpurpose commercial buildings with parking. Many single retail buildings fall into this use code, especially when they don't meet any of the more detailed use code descriptions.
Growth in Inventory: The change in size of the existing square footage in a given area over a given period of time, generally due to the construction of new buildings.
Landlord Rep: (Landlord Representative) In a typical lease trans-
action between an owner/landlord and tenant, the broker that represents the interests of the owner/landlord is referred to as the Landlord Rep.

Leased Space: All the space that has a financial lease obligation. It includes all leased space, regardless of whether the space is currently occupied by a tenant. Leased space also includes space being offered for sublease.
Leasing Activity: The volume of square footage that is committed to and signed under a lease obligation for a specific building or market in a given period of time. It includes direct leases, subleases and renewals of existing leases. It also includes any pre-leasing activity in planned, under construction, or under renovation buildings.

Lifestyle Center: An upscale, specialty retail, main street concept shopping center. An open center, usually without anchors, about 300,000 SF GLA or larger, located near affluent neighborhoods, includes upscale retail, trendy restaurants and entertainment retail. Nicely landscaped with convenient parking located close to the stores.

Mall: The combined retail center types of Lifestyle Center, Regional Mall and Super Regional Mall.

Market: Geographic boundaries that serve to delineate core areas that are competitive with each other and constitute a generally accepted primary competitive set of areas. Markets are buildingtype specific, and are non-overlapping contiguous geographic designations having a cumulative sum that matches the boundaries of the entire Region (See also: Region). Markets can be further subdivided into Submarkets. (See also: Submarkets)

Multi-Tenant: Buildings that house more than one tenant at a given time. Usually, multi-tenant buildings were designed and built to accommodate many different floor plans and designs for different tenant needs. (See also: Tenancy).
Neighborhood Center: Provides for the sales of convenience goods (food, drugs, etc.) and personal services (laundry, dry cleaning, etc.) for day-to-day living needs of the immediate neighborhood with a supermarket being the principal tenant. In theory, the typical GLA is 50,000 square feet. In practice, the GLA may range from 30,000 to 100,000 square feet.

Net Absorption: The net change in occupied space over a given period of time. Unless otherwise noted Net Absorption includes direct and sublease space.

New Space: Sometimes called first generation space, refers to space that has never been occupied and/or leased by a tenant.
Occupied Space: Space that is physically occupied by a tenant. It does not include leased space that is not currently occupied by a tenant.
Outlet Center: Usually located in a rural or occasionally in a tourist location, an Outlet Center consists of manufacturer's outlet stores selling their own brands at a discount. 50,000-500,000 SF. An Outlet Center does not have to be anchored. A strip configuration is most common, although some are enclosed malls and others can be arranged in a village cluster.
Owner: The company, entity, or individual that holds title on a given building or property.
Planned/Proposed: The status of a building that has been announced for future development but not yet started construction.
Power Center: The center typically consists of several freestanding (unconnected) anchors and only a minimum amount of small specialty tenants. $250,000-600,000$ SF. A Power Center is dominated by several large anchors, including discount department stores, off-price stores, warehouse clubs, or "category killers," i.e.,
stores that offer tremendous selection in a particular merchandise category at low prices.
Preleased Space: The amount of space in a building that has been leased prior to its construction completion date, or certificate of occupancy date.
Price/SF: Calculated by dividing the price of a building (either sales price or asking sales price) by the Rentable Building Area (RBA).
Quoted Rental Rate: The asking rate per square foot for a particular building or unit of space by a broker or property owner. Quoted rental rates may differ from the actual rates paid by tenants following the negotiation of all terms and conditions in a specific lease.
RBA: Abbreviation for Rentable Building Area. (See also: Rentable Building Area)
Region: Core areas containing a large population nucleus, that together with adjacent communities have a high degree of economic and social integration. Regions are further divided into market areas, called Markets. (See also: Markets)
Regional Mall: Provides shopping goods, general merchandise, apparel, and furniture, and home furnishings in full depth and variety. It is built around the full-line department store with a minimum GLA of 100,000 square feet, as the major drawing power. For even greater comparative shopping, two, three, or more department stores may be included. In theory a regional center has a GLA of 400,000 square feet, and may range from 300,000 to more than 1,000,000 square feet. Regional centers in excess of 750,000 square feet GLA with three or more department stores are considered Super Regional. (See also: Super Regional Mall).
Relet Space: Sometimes called second generation or direct space, refers to existing space that has previously been occupied by another tenant.
Rentable Building Area: (RBA) The total square footage of a building that can be occupied by, or assigned to a tenant for the purpose of determining a tenant's rental obligation. Generally RBA includes a percentage of common areas including all hallways, main lobbies, bathrooms, and telephone closets.
Rental Rates: The annual costs of occupancy for a particular space quoted on a per square foot basis.
Sales Price: The total dollar amount paid for a particular property at a particular point in time.

Sales Volume: The sum of sales prices for a given group of buildings in a given time period.
Seller: The individual, group, company, or entity that sells a particular commercial real estate asset.

## SF: Abbreviation for Square Feet.

Shopping Center: The combined retail center types of Community Center, Neighborhood Center and Strip Center.

Single-Tenant: Buildings that are occupied, or intended to be occupied by a single tenant. (See also: Build-to-suit and Tenancy)
Specialty Center: The combined retail center types of Airport Retail, Outlet Center and Theme/Festival Center.

Sports \& Entertainment: A facility suited for recreational activities, including: Amusement Facility, Aquatic Facility/Swimming Pool, Bowling Alley, Casino/Gaming Facility, Equestrian Center/ Stable, Fitness, Court and Spa Facility, Golf Related, Racetrack, Skating Rink, Ski Resort, Sports Arena/Stadium, and Theatre/ Performing Art Facility.
Strip Center: A strip center is an attached row of stores or service outlets managed as a coherent retail entity, with on-site parking usually located in front of the stores. Open canopies may connect the storefronts, but a strip center does not have enclosed walkways linking the stores. A strip center may be configured in
a straight line, or have an "L" or "U" shape.
Sublease Space: Space that has been leased by a tenant and is being offered for lease back to the market by the tenant with the lease obligation. Sublease space is sometimes referred to as sublet space.
Submarkets: Specific geographic boundaries that serve to delineate a core group of buildings that are competitive with each other and constitute a generally accepted primary competitive set, or peer group. Submarkets are building type specific (office, industrial, retail, etc.), with distinct boundaries dependent on different factors relevant to each building type. Submarkets are non-overlapping, contiguous geographic designations having a cumulative sum that matches the boundaries of the Market they are located within (See also: Market).
Super Regional Mall: Similar to a regional mall, but because of its larger size, a super regional mall has more anchors, a deeper selection of merchandise, and draws from a larger population base. As with regional malls, the typical configuration is as an enclosed mall, frequently with multiple levels (See also: Regional Mall).
Tenancy: A term used to indicate whether or not a building is occupied by multiple tenants (See also: Multi-tenant) or a single tenant. (See also: Single-tenant)
Tenant Rep: Tenant Rep stands for Tenant Representative. In a typical lease transaction between an owner/landlord and tenant, the broker that represents the interests of the tenant is referred to as a Tenant Rep.
Theme/Festival Center: These centers typically employ a unifying theme that is carried out by the individual shops in their architectural design and, to an extent, in their merchandise. Sometimes the biggest appeal of these centers is to tourists; they can be anchored by restaurants and entertainment facilities. These centers, generally located in urban areas, tend to be adapted from older, sometimes historic, buildings, and can be part of mixed-use projects. $80,000-250,000 \mathrm{SF}$.
Under Construction: The status of a building that is in the process of being developed, assembled, built or constructed. A building is considered to be under construction after it has begun construction and until it receives a certificate of occupancy.
Vacancy Rate: A measurement expressed as a percentage of the total amount of physically vacant space divided by the total amount of existing inventory. Under construction space generally is not included in vacancy calculations.
Vacant Space: Space that is not currently occupied by a tenant, regardless of any lease obligation that may be on the space. Vacant space could be space that is either available or not available. For example, sublease space that is currently being paid for by a tenant but not occupied by that tenant, would be considered vacant space. Likewise, space that has been leased but not yet occupied because of finish work being done, would also be considered vacant space.

Weighted Average Rental Rate: Rental rates that are calculated by factoring in, or weighting, the square footage associated with each particular rental rate. This has the effect of causing rental rates on larger spaces to affect the average more than that of smaller spaces. The weighted average rental rate is calculated by taking the ratio of the square footage associated with the rental rate on each individual available space to the square footage associated with rental rates on all available spaces, multiplying the rental rate by that ratio, and then adding together all the resulting numbers. Unless specifically specified otherwise, rental rate averages include both Direct and Sublet available spaces.

Year Built: The year in which a building completed construction and was issued a certificate of occupancy.
YTD: Abbreviation for Year-to-Date. Describes statistics that are cumulative from the beginning of a calendar year through whatever time period is being studied.

# U.S's Vacancy Decreases to 7.3\% Net Absorption Positive 13,496,156 SF in the Quarter 

The U.S. retail market did not experience much change in market conditions in the third quarter 2010. The vacancy rate went from $7.4 \%$ in the previous quarter to $7.3 \%$ in the current quarter. Net absorption was positive 13,496,156 square feet, and vacant sublease space decreased by $(2,229,730)$ square feet. Quoted rental rates decreased from second quarter 2010 levels, ending at $\$ 15.18$ per square foot per year. A total of 314 retail buildings with $6,572,638$ square feet of retail space were delivered to the market in the quarter, with $31,818,618$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Net Absorption

Retail net absorption was slightly positive in U.S. third quarter 2010, with positive $13,496,156$ square feet absorbed in the quarter. In second quarter 2010, net absorption was positive 14,942,348 square feet, while in first quarter 2010, absorption came in at positive $3,530,912$ square feet. In fourth quarter 2009, positive $14,653,222$ square feet was absorbed in the market.

## Vacancy

U.S.'s retail vacancy rate decreased in the third quarter 2010, ending the quarter at $7.3 \%$. Over the past four quarters, the market has seen an overall decrease in the vacancy rate, with the rate going from $7.4 \%$ in the fourth quarter 2009 , to $7.4 \%$ at the end of the first quarter 2010, $7.4 \%$ at the end of the second quarter 2010 , to $7.3 \%$ in the current quarter.

The amount of vacant sublease space in the U.S. market has trended down over the past four quarters. At the end of the fourth quarter 2009 , there were $40,009,323$ square feet of vacant sublease space. Currently, there are $33,088,577$ square feet vacant in the market.

## Largest Lease Signings

The largest lease signings occurring in 2010 included: the 219,303 -square-foot-lease signed by Frontier Furniture at Oak Park Mall in the Kansas City market; the 197,345-square-footdeal signed by Forever 21 at White Marsh Mall in the Baltimore market; and the 148,000 -square-foot-lease signed by Costco at Westfield Wheaton in the Washington DC market.

## Rental Rates

Average quoted asking rental rates in the U.S. retail market are down over previous quarter levels, and down from their levels four quarters ago. Quoted rents ended the third quarter 2010 at $\$ 15.18$ per square foot per year. That compares to $\$ 15.36$ per square foot in the second quarter 2010, and $\$ 15.81$ per square foot at the end of the fourth quarter 2009. This represents a $1.2 \%$ decrease in rental rates in the current quarter, and a $4.15 \%$ decrease from four quarters ago.

## Inventory \& Construction

During the third quarter 2010, 314 buildings totaling 6,572,638 square feet were completed in the U.S. retail market. Over the past four quarters, a total of $48,896,850$ square feet of retail space has been built in U.S.. In addition to the current quarter, 432 buildings with $9,481,352$ square feet were completed in second quarter 2010, 474 buildings totaling 12,097,304 square feet completed in first quarter 2010, and 20,745,556 square feet in 783 buildings completed in fourth quarter 2009.

There were $31,818,618$ square feet of retail space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010.

Some of the notable 2010 deliveries include: Sky View Center, an 800,000 -square-foot facility that delivered in first

## Vacancy Rates by Building Type*



Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.
quarter 2010 and is now 97\% occupied in the Long Island (New York) market, and Potomac Town Center, a 700,000-square-foot building that delivered in second quarter 2010 and is now $29 \%$ occupied in the Washington DC market.

The largest projects underway at the end of third quarter 2010 were Palladio at Broadstone, a 670,000 -square-foot retail center with $0 \%$ of its space pre-leased in the Sacramento market, and The Collection at RiverPark, a 610,000 -square-foot retail center that is $18 \%$ preleased.

Total retail inventory in the U.S. market area amounted to $11,809,705,923$ square feet in 880,447 buildings and 89831 centers as of the end of the third quarter 2010.

## Sales Activity

Tallying retail building sales of 15,000 square feet or larger, U.S. retail sales figures rose during the second quarter 2010 in terms of dollar volume compared to the first quarter of 2010.

In the second quarter, 434 retail transactions closed with a total volume of $\$ 2,951,008,714$. The 434 buildings totaled $25,914,748$ square feet and the average price per square foot equated to $\$ 113.87$ per square foot. That compares to 337 transactions totaling $\$ 1,769,694,291$ in the first quarter 2010. The total square footage in the first quarter was $18,932,887$ square feet for an average price per square foot of $\$ 93.47$.

Total retail center sales activity in 2010 was up compared to 2009. In the first six months of 2010 , the market saw 771 retail sales transactions with a total volume of $\$ 4,720,703,005$. The price per square foot averaged $\$ 105.26$. In the same first six months of 2009 , the market posted 565 transactions with a total volume of $\$ 2,905,725,181$. The price per square foot averaged \$107.81.

Cap rates have been higher in 2010, averaging $8.64 \%$ compared to the same period in 2009 when they averaged $7.94 \%$.

One of the largest transactions that has occurred within the last four quarters in the U.S. market is the sale of Whittwood

## U.S. Vacancy Comparison*

## Past 9 Quarters



Town Center in the Los Angeles market. This 680,730-squarefoot retail center sold for $\$ 83,500,000$, or $\$ 122.66$ per square foot. The property sold on $8 / 27 / 2010$, at a $6.53 \%$ cap rate.

## Albany/Schenectady/Troy

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Albany/ Schenectady/Troy in the third quarter. With 37,826 square feet in deliveries, and positive 16,549 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $4.8 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 110,379 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 11.87$ per square foot.

## Albuquerque

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 64,815 square feet of net absorption, Albuquerque's vacancy rate went from $7.2 \%$ to $7.1 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 14.29$ to $\$ 14.17$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 67,018 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Anchorage

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 44,659 square feet of net absorption, Anchorage's vacancy rate went from $3.8 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 16.93$ to $\$ 17.17$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 24,699 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Asheville

The Asheville retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(60,447)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 39,682 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 15.21$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 3,480 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 12,000 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Atlanta

The Atlanta retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $10.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(602,189)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to $1,034,312$ square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.77$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 30,580 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 592,598 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Augusta/Richmond County

The Augusta/Richmond County retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net

[^0]absorption of negative $(128,304)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $8.5 \%$ to $9.0 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 9.89$.

## Austin

The Austin retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(151,482)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 117,508 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 18.88$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 8,148 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 201,143 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Bakersfield

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(69,922)$ square feet of net absorption, Bakersfield's vacancy rate went from $6.2 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.46$ to $\$ 13.25$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 111,780 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Baltimore

The Baltimore retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 106,575 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 291,940 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 18.47$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of six buildings with 53,513 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $1,183,221$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Baton Rouge

The Baton Rouge retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.5 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(10,525)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 126,977 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.33$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of five buildings with 50,491 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 7,500 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Beaumont/Port Arthur

The Beaumont/Port Arthur retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 9,720 square feet caused the vacancy rate to unchanged from $4.7 \%$ to $4.7 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$9.86.

## Birmingham

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 215,257 square feet of net absorption, Birmingham's vacancy rate went from $9.7 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental


Source: CoStar Property• *Excludes markets - see Methodology page.
rates went from $\$ 9.49$ to $\$ 9.58$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 358,888 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Boise City/Nampa

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Boise City/Nampa in the third quarter. With 14,820 square feet in deliveries, and positive 6,758 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $8.4 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 96,800 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 11.91$ per square foot.

## Boston

The Boston retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.3 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 389,626 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 447,733 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 15.45$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of eight buildings with 190,118 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 524,862 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Bremerton/Silverdale

The Bremerton/Silverdale retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 24,146 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $7.1 \%$ to $6.9 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$11.55.

## Broward County

The Broward County retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 107,568 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 222,317 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 18.01$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 19,820
square feet of space delivered to the market, with 11,060 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Brownsville/Harlingen

With no space currently under construction, Brownsville/ Harlingen absorbed $(16,253)$ square feet of space and delivered 5,039 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $6.9 \%$ to $7.1 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 11.97$ to $\$ 12.03$ per square foot.

## Buffalo/Niagara Falls

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 16,874 square feet of net absorption, Buffalo/Niagara Falls's vacancy rate went from $8.8 \%$ to $8.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 9.75$ to $\$ 9.73$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 56,206 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Charleston WV

The Charleston WV retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(37,303)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $3.3 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 12.85$.

## Charleston/N Charleston

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(3,104)$ square feet of net absorption, Charleston/ N Charleston's vacancy rate went from $6.2 \%$ to $6.2 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 15.74$ to $\$ 15.54$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 17,213 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Charlotte

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Charlotte in the third quarter. With 130,528 square feet in deliveries, and positive 128,283 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $8.4 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 135,216 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 13.60$ per square foot.

## Chattanooga

The Chattanooga retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 129,273 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $7.7 \%$ to $7.2 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 10.64$.

## Chicago

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Chicago in the third quarter. With 160,745 square feet in deliveries, and positive 499,375 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $9.2 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had $1,006,153$ square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 16.66$ per square foot.

## Cincinnati

The Cincinnati retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 270,315 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 359,040 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.82$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 58,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 290,248 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Cleveland

The Cleveland retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $9.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(152,325)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 776,576 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.73$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 34,303 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 88,888 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Colorado Springs

The Colorado Springs retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 282,950 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 144,955 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.74$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 52,015 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 435,323 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Columbia

The Columbia retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 26,018 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 102,342 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.84$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 11,033 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 3,600 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Columbus

The Columbus retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 331,508 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 162,961 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.39$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 49,960 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 421,073 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Columbus GA

The Columbus GA retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of
positive 232,963 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $8.5 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$11.11.

## Corpus Christi

The Corpus Christi retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(14,494)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 21,626 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.78$, no change over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 6,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 63,000 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Dallas/Ft Worth

The Dallas/Ft Worth retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $9.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 719,121 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to $1,260,382$ square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.75$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of seven buildings with 150,034 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $1,198,135$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Davenport/Moline/Rock Island

The Davenport/Moline/Rock Island retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(11,774)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $6.3 \%$ to $6.4 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$7.97.

## Dayton

The Dayton retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $9.2 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 237,986 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 195,639 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 9.34$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 24,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 6,470 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Deltona/Daytona Beach

The Deltona/Daytona Beach retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 73,737 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $6.0 \%$ to $5.7 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$12.76.

## Denver

The Denver retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 520,138 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 640,522 square feet. Rental
rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.81$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 40,400 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 457,277 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Des Moines

The Des Moines retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.8 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(10,114)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 26,476 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.49$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 8,916 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 31,237 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Detroit

The Detroit retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $11.0 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 943,977 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to $1,311,026$ square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.23$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of five buildings with 227,926 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 99,221 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Duluth

The Duluth retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 33,940 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $2.8 \%$ to $2.6 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 8.51$.

## East Bay/Oakland

The East Bay/Oakland retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 98,077 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 231,219 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 21.94$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 76,822 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 78,778 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## El Paso

The El Paso retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(128,026)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 55,819 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.82$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of eight buildings with 31,699 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 186,109 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Erie

The Erie retail market reported no new deliveries and no
space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 33,693 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $3.8 \%$ to $3.2 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 8.30$.

## Evansville

The Evansville retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 103,713 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $11.0 \%$ to $10.4 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 9.57$.

## Fayetteville

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 100,575 square feet of net absorption, Fayetteville's vacancy rate went from $7.1 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 9.59$ to $\$ 9.55$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 40,800 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Fayetteville/Springdale/Rogers

With no space currently under construction, Fayetteville/ Springdale/Rogers absorbed $(110,511)$ square feet of space and delivered 15,750 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $7.9 \%$ to $8.5 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 11.72$ to $\$ 11.92$ per square foot.

## Fort Smith

The Fort Smith retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 20,824 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $5.4 \%$ to $5.3 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 6.56$.

## Fort Wayne

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Fort Wayne in the third quarter. With 16,260 square feet in deliveries, and positive 19,669 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $8.8 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 7,180 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 9.86$ per square foot.

## Fresno

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 99,325 square feet of net absorption, Fresno's vacancy rate went from $7.9 \%$ to $7.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 14.46$ to $\$ 14.43$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 40,756 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Green Bay

The Green Bay retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.8 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(121,307)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 55,395 square feet.

Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.17$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 1,200 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 25,000 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Greensboro/Winston-Salem

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Greensboro/ Winston-Salem in the third quarter. With 17,339 square feet in deliveries, and positive 47,743 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $7.6 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 503,050 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 11.31$ per square foot.

## Greenville/Spartanburg

The Greenville/Spartanburg retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 113,263 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 155,055 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 9.80$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 7,368 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 56,549 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Hampton Roads

The Hampton Roads retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 263,396 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 175,279 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.07$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of six buildings with 36,331 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 118,796 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Hartford

The Hartford retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.3 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 289,245 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 224,591 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.71$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 36,280 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 133,878 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Hawaii

The Hawaii retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $3.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 127,262 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 114,200 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 29.24$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 92,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 7,904 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Houston

The Houston retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 779,084 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 523,292 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.55$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of five buildings with 59,063 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 129,786 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Huntington/Ashland

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 57,853 square feet of net absorption, Huntington/ Ashland's vacancy rate went from $4.0 \%$ to $3.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 10.33$ to $\$ 10.23$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 29,014 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Huntsville

With no space currently under construction, Huntsville absorbed 43,262 square feet of space and delivered 14,640 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $8.3 \%$ to $8.1 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 10.42$ to $\$ 10.99$ per square foot.

## Indianapolis

The Indianapolis retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 238,800 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 368,939 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.21$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 14,255 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 536,988 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Inland Empire (California)

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Inland Empire (California) in the third quarter. With 18,491 square feet in deliveries, and negative $(18,070)$ square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $9.1 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 201,354 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 17.56$ per square foot.

## Jackson

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(118,982)$ square feet of net absorption, Jackson's vacancy rate went from $5.5 \%$ to $5.9 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 9.99$ to $\$ 9.58$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 22,000 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Jacksonville (Florida)

The Jacksonville (Florida) retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the
previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(60,996)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 124,317 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.65$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 73,740 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 69,724 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Kansas City

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(4,012)$ square feet of net absorption, Kansas City's vacancy rate went from $10.1 \%$ to $10.1 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.14$ to $\$ 12.99$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 374,224 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Killeen/Temple/Fort Hood

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(2,672)$ square feet of net absorption, Killeen/Temple/ Fort Hood's vacancy rate went from $8.4 \%$ to $8.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 8.92$ to $\$ 8.87$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 8,400 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Kingsport/Bristol/Bristol

The Kingsport/Bristol/Bristol retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 25,294 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $6.0 \%$ to $5.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$8.83.

## Knoxville

The Knoxville retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 88,169 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 218,145 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.85$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 10,097 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 82,081 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Lafayette

The Lafayette retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(58,291)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 44,460 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.21$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 9,800 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 11,300 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Las Vegas

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Las Vegas in the third quarter. With 4,452 square feet in deliveries, and positive

81,941 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $10.4 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 498,004 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 19.33$ per square foot.

## Lexington/Fayette

With no space currently under construction, Lexington/ Fayette absorbed $(52,495)$ square feet of space and delivered 68,484 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $5.8 \%$ to $6.3 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 12.68$ to $\$ 12.61$ per square foot.

## Lincoln

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Lincoln in the third quarter. With 55,000 square feet in deliveries, and positive 51,092 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $5.3 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 36,926 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 11.06$ per square foot.

## Little Rock/N Little Rock

With no space currently under construction, Little Rock/N Little Rock absorbed 61,390 square feet of space and delivered 118,576 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $5.3 \%$ to $5.4 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 9.77$ to $\$ 9.55$ per square foot.

## Long Island (New York)

The Long Island (New York) retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $4.5 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 310,021 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 344,332 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 26.70$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of eight buildings with 99,280 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $1,132,221$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Los Angeles

The Los Angeles retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 615,266 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to $1,002,639$ square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 25.84$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of 25 buildings with 427,265 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $1,703,391$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Louisville

The Louisville retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $9.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(59,122)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 361,526 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.16$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 21,234 square
feet of space delivered to the market, with 155,228 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Lubbock

The Lubbock retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 124,009 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $5.7 \%$ to $5.2 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 10.68$.

## Madison

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Madison in the third quarter. With 2,572 square feet in deliveries, and negative $(14,375)$ square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $5.5 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 47,400 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 13.67$ per square foot.

## Marin/Sonoma

The Marin/Sonoma retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 132,686 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $5.8 \%$ to $5.4 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 20.64$.

## McAllen/Edinburg/Pharr

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 111,675 square feet of net absorption, McAllen/ Edinburg/Pharr's vacancy rate went from $10.7 \%$ to $9.9 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 15.01$ to $\$ 15.42$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 34,214 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Memphis

The Memphis retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 101,589 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 257,259 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.19$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 46,015 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 273,671 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Miami-Dade County

The Miami-Dade County retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $4.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 89,829 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 126,359 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 24.58$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 10,373 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 80,690 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Milwaukee

The Milwaukee retail market ended the quarter with a
vacancy rate of $6.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 193,433 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 454,313 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.45$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 18,345 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 595,934 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Minneapolis

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Minneapolis in the third quarter. With 370,612 square feet in deliveries, and positive 473,260 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $5.4 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 320,753 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 13.83$ per square foot.

## Mobile

The Mobile retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(65,473)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 146,321 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.46$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 20,229 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 4,548 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Montgomery

With no space currently under construction, Montgomery absorbed $(15,684)$ square feet of space and delivered 2,489 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $7.1 \%$ to $7.1 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 8.68$ to $\$ 9.16$ per square foot.

## Myrtle Beach/Conway

The Myrtle Beach/Conway retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 1,352 square feet caused the vacancy rate to unchanged from $4.8 \%$ to $4.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 10.67$.

## Nashville

The Nashville retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 84,948 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 204,258 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.98$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 25,729 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 293,774 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## New Orleans/Metairie/Kenner

The New Orleans/Metairie/Kenner retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.2 \%$. The vacancy rate was up
over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(309,912)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 129,124 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.50$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 18,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 113,038 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## New York City

The New York City retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $2.0 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 103,264 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 33,625 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 85.13$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 16,380 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 784,976 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Northern New Jersey

The Northern New Jersey retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.3 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 531,682 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 973,709 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 20.01$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of 15 buildings with 721,318 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $3,499,738$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Ocala

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(103,361)$ square feet of net absorption, Ocala's vacancy rate went from $7.5 \%$ to $8.4 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.25$ to $\$ 13.47$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 20,000 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Oklahoma City

The Oklahoma City retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.8 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 250,004 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 311,196 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.42$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 24,231 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 42,213 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Olympia

The Olympia retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(51,536)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $5.6 \%$ to $6.0 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 17.20$.

## Omaha/Council Bluffs

The Omaha/Council Bluffs retail market ended the quarter
with a vacancy rate of $7.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(18,112)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 202,278 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.43$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 34,886 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 110,355 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Orange (California)

The Orange (California) retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 24,766 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 748,502 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 23.33$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 3,111 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 195,026 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Orlando

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Orlando in the third quarter. With 10,000 square feet in deliveries, and negative $(119,833)$ square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $7.8 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 258,026 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 16.25$ per square foot.

## Palm Beach County

The Palm Beach County retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.0 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 77,527 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 68,765 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 19.25$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 14,800 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 76,852 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Pensacola

With no space currently under construction, Pensacola absorbed $(63,326)$ square feet of space and delivered 12,480 square feet of space, resulting in a vacancy rate that went from $6.2 \%$ to $6.4 \%$ over the course of the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 11.84$ to $\$ 11.80$ per square foot.

## Peoria

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 17,361 square feet of net absorption, Peoria's vacancy rate went from $5.8 \%$ to $5.7 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 11.56$ to $\$ 11.22$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 11,578 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Philadelphia

The Philadelphia retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 766,088 square
feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to $1,069,438$ square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.39$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of nine buildings with 74,954 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $2,087,114$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Phoenix

The Phoenix retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $12.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(170,697)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 567,021 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 16.42$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 100,821 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 308,677 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Pittsburgh

The Pittsburgh retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 354,211 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 506,129 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.80$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of seven buildings with 68,131 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 365,150 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Port St Lucie/Fort Pierce

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 37,414 square feet of net absorption, Port St Lucie/Fort Pierce's vacancy rate went from $7.3 \%$ to $7.1 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 15.96$ to $\$ 16.03$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 21,800 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Portland

The Portland retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 249,975 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 227,136 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 17.30$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of six buildings with 40,981 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 278,932 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Portland/South Portland

The Portland/South Portland retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.0 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(39,357)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 99,201 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.63$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 3,800 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 18,000 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Providence

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Providence in the third quarter. With 4,200 square feet in deliveries, and positive 21,809 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $5.9 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 113,782 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 14.26$ per square foot.

## Raleigh/Durham

The Raleigh/Durham retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 194,017 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 159,678 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 15.23$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 25,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 340,366 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Reno/Sparks

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(5,925)$ square feet of net absorption, Reno/Sparks's vacancy rate went from $11.1 \%$ to $11.1 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 15.74$ to $\$ 15.64$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 47,780 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Richmond VA

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(182,601)$ square feet of net absorption, Richmond VA's vacancy rate went from $7.1 \%$ to $7.2 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.67$ to $\$ 13.86$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 207,236 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Roanoke

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 39,173 square feet of net absorption, Roanoke's vacancy rate went from $5.0 \%$ to $4.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.14$ to $\$ 12.63$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 23,335 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Rochester

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 38,479 square feet of net absorption, Rochester's vacancy rate went from $7.9 \%$ to $7.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 11.88$ to $\$ 11.34$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 7,726 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Sacramento

The Sacramento retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $10.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 192,121 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 888,355 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 19.75$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 74,950
square feet of space delivered to the market, with 903,642 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Salinas

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(59,510)$ square feet of net absorption, Salinas's vacancy rate went from $4.4 \%$ to $4.7 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 18.64$ to $\$ 17.96$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 12,000 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Salt Lake City

The Salt Lake City retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.9 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 204,410 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 216,636 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 13.86$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of nine buildings with 112,652 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 29,013 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## San Antonio

The San Antonio retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.3 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 243,576 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 272,845 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.75$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of 12 buildings with 201,893 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 608,137 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## San Diego

The San Diego retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 153,501 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 390,441 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 22.32$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of two buildings with 32,929 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 136,760 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## San Francisco

The San Francisco retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $3.2 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 55,906 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 219,981 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 31.89$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 20,000 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 214,550 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## San Luis Obispo/Paso Robles

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(14,142)$ square feet of net absorption, San Luis Obispo/ Paso Robles's vacancy rate went from $3.4 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 21.34$ to $\$ 20.93$ during that time.

At the end of the quarter, there was 140,000 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Santa Barbara/Sta Maria/Goleta

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 97,137 square feet of net absorption, Santa Barbara/Sta Maria/Goleta's vacancy rate went from $3.8 \%$ to $3.4 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 21.90$ to $\$ 22.66$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 20,465 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Santa Cruz/Watsonville

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(54,175)$ square feet of net absorption, Santa Cruz/ Watsonville's vacancy rate went from $6.5 \%$ to $7.0 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 19.91$ to $\$ 19.54$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 3,500 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Savannah

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 41,728 square feet of net absorption, Savannah's vacancy rate went from $7.9 \%$ to $7.7 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 14.44$ to $\$ 14.27$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 77,200 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Seattle/Puget Sound

The Seattle/Puget Sound retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 105,784 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 564,935 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 18.10$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 17,189 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 147,997 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Shreveport/Bossier City

The Shreveport/Bossier City retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.1 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 157,926 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 89,208 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.13$, an increase over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 2,100 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 3,750 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## South Bay/San Jose

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in South Bay/San Jose in the third quarter. With 161,339 square feet in deliveries, and positive 77,157 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $5.7 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 130,339 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 26.23$ per square foot.

## South Bend/Mishawaka

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 8,805 square feet of net absorption, South Bend/ Mishawaka's vacancy rate went from $6.4 \%$ to $6.3 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 9.92$ to $\$ 9.83$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 64,196 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Southwest Florida

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and positive 38,391 square feet of net absorption, Southwest Florida's vacancy rate went from $9.5 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 14.48$ to $\$ 14.37$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 180,693 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Spokane

The Spokane retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.7 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 186,760 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 110,740 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 11.48$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 134,400 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 24,500 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Springfield

The Springfield retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $6.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(59,799)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 49,493 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 10.56$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 12,480 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 300,000 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## St. Louis

The St. Louis retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.8 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 192,858 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 263,657 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.61$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 6,497 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 140,632 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Stockton/Modesto

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Stockton/Modesto in the third quarter. With 14,480 square feet in deliveries, and negative $(25,944)$ square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $8.4 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 25,526 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 15.86$ per square foot.

## Syracuse

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(2,644)$ square feet of net absorption, Syracuse's vacancy rate went from $5.8 \%$ to $5.8 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 10.01$ to $\$ 9.95$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 33,368 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Tallahassee

The Tallahassee retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.8 \%$. The vacancy rate was up over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling negative $(50,860)$ square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 46,623 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 12.40$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 6,660 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 55,351 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Tampa/St Petersburg

The Tampa/St Petersburg retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $7.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 563,489 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 725,923 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 14.17$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 217,241 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 412,450 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Toledo

The Toledo retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 51,735 square feet. Vacant sublease space stayed the same at 403,437 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 8.62$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of one building with 8,200 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 29,348 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Tucson

The Tucson retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.4 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 125,678 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 15,638 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 15.83$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of three buildings with 103,512 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 51,751 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Tulsa

The vacancy rate remained unchanged in Tulsa in the third quarter. With 81,000 square feet in deliveries, and positive 9,526 square feet in net absorption, the vacancy rate held at $6.7 \%$, the same rate reported in the previous quarter. The market had 36,430 square feet under construction at the end of the quarter, and rental rates stood at $\$ 10.26$ per square foot.

## Utica/Rome

The Utica/Rome retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(22,321)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $4.4 \%$ to $4.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 8.16$.

## Visalia/Porterville

The Visalia/Porterville retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 28,407 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $7.4 \%$ to $7.3 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 12.76$.

## Washington

The Washington retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $5.5 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 943,045 square feet. Vacant sublease space decreased to 469,852 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 23.89$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of eight buildings with 758,318 square feet of space delivered to the market, with $1,570,597$ square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## West Michigan

The West Michigan retail market ended the quarter with a vacancy rate of $8.6 \%$. The vacancy rate was down over the previous quarter, with net absorption totaling positive 535,814 square feet. Vacant sublease space increased to 415,748 square feet. Rental rates ended the third quarter at $\$ 9.59$, a decrease over the previous quarter. A total of four buildings with 212,220 square feet of space delivered to the market, with 20,954 square feet still under construction at the end of the quarter.

## Wichita

The Wichita retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(32,481)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $6.2 \%$ to $6.3 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 9.96$.

## Wilmington

With no new retail space being delivered to the market, and negative $(166,322)$ square feet of net absorption, Wilmington's vacancy rate went from $5.8 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates went from $\$ 13.59$ to $\$ 14.42$ during that time. At the end of the quarter, there was 600,019 square feet underway for future delivery.

## Yakima

The Yakima retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of positive 24,422 square feet caused the vacancy rate to down from $8.5 \%$ to $8.3 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at $\$ 9.78$.

## Youngstown/Warren/Boardman

The Youngstown/Warren/Boardman retail market reported no new deliveries and no space under construction at the end of the third quarter 2010. With this limited construction activity, net absorption of negative $(58,461)$ square feet caused the vacancy rate to up from $9.4 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ in the quarter. Rental rates stood at \$8.45.

# National Retail Market <br> nNventory a development 

Historical Deliveries


Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\circledR} \quad$ * Future deliveries based on current under construction buildings.

## CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY Markets Ranked by Under Construction Square Footage

| Market | Under Construction Inventory |  |  |  | Average Bldg Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Bldgs | Total GLA | Preleased SF | Preleased \% | All Existing | U/C |
| Northern New Jersey | 25 | 3,499,738 | 496,691 | 14.2\% | 12,298 | 139,990 |
| Philadelphia | 49 | 2,087,114 | 1,265,929 | 60.7\% | 15,346 | 42,594 |
| Los Angeles | 42 | 1,703,391 | 909,022 | 53.4\% | 11,954 | 40,557 |
| Washington | 37 | 1,570,597 | 1,227,482 | 78.2\% | 15,820 | 42,449 |
| Westchester/So Connecticut | 25 | 1,462,117 | 1,027,652 | 70.3\% | 12,389 | 58,485 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 50 | 1,198,135 | 825,887 | 68.9\% | 17,398 | 23,963 |
| Baltimore | 23 | 1,183,221 | 774,136 | 65.4\% | 13,466 | 51,444 |
| Long Island (New York) | 37 | 1,132,221 | 815,544 | 72.0\% | 9,551 | 30,601 |
| Chicago | 24 | 1,006,153 | 453,364 | 45.1\% | 15,988 | 41,923 |
| Sacramento | 5 | 903,642 | 233,642 | 25.9\% | 13,755 | 180,728 |
| New York City | 12 | 784,976 | 613,434 | 78.1\% | 14,381 | 65,415 |
| San Antonio | 33 | 608,137 | 399,043 | 65.6\% | 11,289 | 18,428 |
| Wilmington | 9 | 600,019 | 143,120 | 23.9\% | 9,073 | 66,669 |
| Milwaukee | 7 | 595,934 | 595,934 | 100.0\% | 10,790 | 85,133 |
| Atlanta | 17 | 592,598 | 190,666 | 32.2\% | 16,975 | 34,859 |
| Indianapolis | 4 | 536,988 | 457,540 | 85.2\% | 14,261 | 134,247 |
| Boston | 16 | 524,862 | 436,990 | 83.3\% | 15,493 | 32,804 |
| Greensboro/Winston-Salem | 4 | 503,050 | 469,050 | 93.2\% | 11,349 | 125,762 |
| Las Vegas | 3 | 498,004 | 274,167 | 55.1\% | 17,071 | 166,001 |
| Denver | 10 | 457,277 | 421,116 | 92.1\% | 16,579 | 45,728 |
| Colorado Springs | 7 | 435,323 | 362,132 | 83.2\% | 11,964 | 62,189 |
| Columbus | 17 | 421,073 | 325,052 | 77.2\% | 13,745 | 24,769 |
| Tampa/St Petersburg | 13 | 412,450 | 286,263 | 69.4\% | 13,689 | 31,727 |
| Kansas City | 6 | 374,224 | 292,456 | 78.1\% | 17,549 | 62,371 |
| Pittsburgh | 15 | 365,150 | 167,143 | 45.8\% | 12,075 | 24,343 |
| Birmingham | 3 | 358,888 | 2,400 | 0.7\% | 12,817 | 119,629 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 19 | 340,366 | 145,643 | 42.8\% | 15,763 | 17,914 |
| Minneapolis | 5 | 320,753 | 320,753 | 100.0\% | 13,539 | 64,151 |
| Phoenix | 18 | 308,677 | 186,179 | 60.3\% | 21,428 | 17,149 |
| Springfield | 1 | 300,000 | 150,000 | 50.0\% | 13,500 | 300,000 |
| Nashville | 12 | 293,774 | 267,970 | 91.2\% | 12,577 | 24,481 |
| Cincinnati | 4 | 290,248 | 209,284 | 72.1\% | 13,035 | 72,562 |
| Portland | 10 | 278,932 | 241,513 | 86.6\% | 12,546 | 27,893 |
| Memphis | 12 | 273,671 | 207,666 | 75.9\% | 14,003 | 22,806 |
| Orlando | 7 | 258,026 | 96,868 | 37.5\% | 17,932 | 36,861 |
| San Francisco | 6 | 214,550 | 128,449 | 59.9\% | 8,284 | 35,758 |
| Richmond VA | 9 | 207,236 | 175,041 | 84.5\% | 12,058 | 23,026 |
| Inland Empire (California) | 23 | 201,354 | 61,162 | 30.4\% | 13,348 | 8,755 |
| Austin | 14 | 201,143 | 38,022 | 18.9\% | 14,190 | 14,367 |
| Orange (California) | 6 | 195,026 | 46,500 | 23.8\% | 17,070 | 32,504 |
| El Paso | 13 | 186,109 | 181,509 | 97.5\% | 9,687 | 14,316 |
| Southwest Florida | 5 | 180,693 | 149,345 | 82.7\% | 14,115 | 36,139 |
| Louisville | 4 | 155,228 | 122,803 | 79.1\% | 13,853 | 38,807 |
| Seattle/Puget Sound | 12 | 147,997 | 44,873 | 30.3\% | 13,234 | 12,333 |
| St. Louis | 5 | 140,632 | 24,052 | 17.1\% | 17,897 | 28,126 |
| United States | 906 | 31,818,618 | 18,297,621 | 57.5\% | 13,413 | 35,120 |

[^1]
## Historical Construction Starts \& Deliveries*

Square Footage Per Quarter Starting and Completing Construction


Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.

Recent Deliveries by Project Size of Year-to-Date Development

| Building Size | \# Bldgs | GLA | SF Leased | \% Leased | Avg Rate | Single-Tenant | Multi-Tenant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < 50,000 SF | 1,085 | 11,635,175 | 8,198,233 | 70.5\% | \$24.34 | 2,699,591 | 8,935,584 |
| 50,000 SF - 99,999 SF | 74 | 5,055,768 | 4,262,249 | 84.3\% | \$21.71 | 1,094,970 | 3,960,798 |
| 100,000 SF - 249,999 SF | 55 | 7,872,586 | 6,810,743 | 86.5\% | \$22.05 | 2,362,577 | 5,510,009 |
| 250,000 SF - 499,999 SF | 2 | 800,000 | 424,000 | 53.0\% | \$0.00 | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| > $=500,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 4 | 2,787,765 | 2,027,149 | 72.7\% | \$0.00 | 0 | 2,787,765 |

Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{(8)}$

Recent Development by Tenancy
Based on GLA Developed for Single \& Multi Tenant Use 2010 Deliveries


Source: CoStar Property®

Existing Inventory Comparison
Based on Total GLA


## Growth in Inventory Last 5 Years - Select Regions

## Based on Percentage Growth in Inventory From Third Quarter 2005 Through Third Quarter 2010 Including Current Under Construction Square Footage



Percent Growth:
(1) $0 \%$ to $3.9 \%$

匪 $4.0 \%$ to $7.9 \%$
$8.0 \%$ to $11.9 \%$
$12.0 \%$ to $15.9 \%$
Over 16.0\%

| Rank | Region | 3rd Qtr 2010 |  |  |  | 3rd Qtr 2005 |  | Increase in Inventory |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# Bldgs | Existing RBA | U/C RBA | Total RBA | \# Bldgs | Total RBA | \# Bldgs | Total RBA | \% |
| 1 | Las Vegas | 6,753 | 115,282,537 | 498,004 | 115,780,541 | 6,042 | 97,956,200 | 711 | 17,824,341 | 18\% |
| 2 | McAllen/Edinburg/Pharr | 646 | 14,739,644 | 34,214 | 14,773,858 | 593 | 12,590,862 | 53 | 2,182,996 | 17\% |
| 3 | Ocala | 741 | 10,647,129 | 20,000 | 10,667,129 | 719 | 9,293,274 | 22 | 1,373,855 | 15\% |
| 4 | Southwest Florida | 5,543 | 78,239,095 | 180,693 | 78,419,788 | 5,257 | 68,601,913 | 286 | 9,817,875 | 14\% |
| 5 | Phoenix | 9,649 | 206,756,412 | 308,677 | 207,065,089 | 8,719 | 182,574,502 | 930 | 24,490,587 | 13\% |
| 6 | Port St Lucie/Fort Pierce | 1,600 | 25,024,891 | 21,800 | 25,046,691 | 1,518 | 22,168,026 | 82 | 2,878,665 | 13\% |
| 7 | Reno/Sparks | 1,429 | 25,250,968 | 47,780 | 25,298,748 | 1,354 | 22,485,326 | 75 | 2,813,422 | 13\% |
| 8 | San Antonio | 10,699 | 120,775,838 | 608,137 | 121,383,975 | 10,213 | 109,081,367 | 486 | 12,302,608 | 11\% |
| 9 | Inland Empire (Califomia) | 13,522 | 180,489,093 | 201,354 | 180,690,447 | 12,713 | 163,193,279 | 809 | 17,497,168 | 11\% |
| 10 | Nashville | 7,976 | 100,312,345 | 293,774 | 100,606,119 | 7,620 | 91,631,921 | 356 | 8,974,198 | 10\% |
| 11 | Raleigh/Durham | 5,373 | 84,693,204 | 340,366 | 85,033,570 | 5,079 | 77,453,371 | 294 | 7,580,199 | 10\% |
| 12 | Charlotte | 9,868 | 143,190,771 | 135,216 | 143,325,987 | 9,344 | 131,043,835 | 524 | 12,282,152 | 9\% |
| 13 | Austin | 5,347 | 75,871,264 | 201,143 | 76,072,407 | 5,070 | 69,629,038 | 277 | 6,443,369 | 9\% |
| 14 | Orlando | 8,511 | 152,617,207 | 258,026 | 152,875,233 | 8,075 | 139,947,362 | 436 | 12,927,871 | 9\% |
| 15 | Boise City/Nampa | 3,997 | 37,597,695 | 96,800 | 37,694,495 | 3,797 | 34,683,294 | 200 | 3,011,201 | 9\% |

## Select Year-to-Date Deliveries

1. Sky View Center

| Market: | Long Island (New York) |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Ripco Real Estate |
| Developer: | N/A |

2. Potomac Town Center

| Market: | Washington |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{7 0 0 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | 29\% |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Second Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Second Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Roadside Development LLC |
| Developer: | Roadside Development LLC |


| 5. Wal-Mart Supercenter |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market: $\quad$ Denver |  |
| RBA: | 208,752 |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Third Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Second Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Wal-Mart Realty |
| Developer: | N/A |

6. Livonia Marketplace - Wal-Mart

| Market: | Detroit |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 7 4 6}$ |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Third Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Lormax Stern Development |
|  | Company |
| Developer: | Grand Sakwa Management |

8. University Village - Lowes

| Market: | Colorado Springs |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 6 9 , 1 1 2}$ |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Second Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Diamante Sun Shadows, LLC |
| Developer: | N/A |

9. 1540 28th St SE

| Market: | West Michigan |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Meijer Realty |
| Developer: | Rockford Construction |

10. Garfield Wal-Mart

| Market: | Northern New Jersey |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | 146,613 |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Vornado Realty Trust |
| Developer: | N/A |

11. Hadley Corner - Home Depot

| Market: | Hartford |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 4 5 , 7 7 7}$ |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | New England Retail Properties, |
|  | Inc. |
| Developer: | N/A |

12. The Shoppes @ Walmart

| 12. | The Shoppes @ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market: | Philadelphia |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 3 3 8}$ |
| Occupied: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Second Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Vornado Realty Trust |
| Developer: | N/A |


| 13. Sam's Club |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market: | Minneapolis |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Epic Properties |
| Developer: | N/A |

14. First Retail Center - Target

| Market: | South Bay/San Jose |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 3 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Terranomics Retail Services |
| Developer: | Hunter Properties |

3. The Village At Gulfstream Park - Phase I

| Market: | Broward County |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter 2007 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Darryl Kaplan Company |
| Developer: | Forest City Enterprises, Inc. |

7. Kroger Market Place

| Market: | Dallas/Ft Worth |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 7 4 , 6 8 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Hibernia National Bank |
| Developer: | N/A |

4. Skyline Commons - Wal-Mart

| Market: | Nashville |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{2 1 1 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Third Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Second Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Zamias Services Inc. |
| Developer: | Zamias Services Inc. |


| 15. | Airport Center - Sam's Club |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market: $\quad$ Philadelphia |  |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 3 4 , 7 2 3}$ |
| Occupied: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Metro Commercial Real Estate |
| Developer: | N/A |

## Select Top Under Construction Properties

1. Ridge Hill Village Center

| Market: | Westchester/So Connecticut |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Second Quarter 2011 |
| Leasing Co: | Ripco Real Estate |
| Developer: | Forest City Ratner Companies |

2. Riverbend District

| Market: | Northern New Jersey |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2012 |
| Leasing Co: | Advance Realty Group |
| Developer: | Advance Realty Group |

3. Palladio at Broadstone

| Market: | Sacramento |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{6 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2011 |
| Leasing Co: | Jones Lang LaSalle |
| Developer: | GGP Limited Partnership |

5. Tivoli Village at Queensridge

| Market: | Las Vegas |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{4 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Tivoli Village at Queensridge |
| Developer: | Executive Home Builders |

6. The Roosevelt Collection

| Market: | Chicago |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | 400,000 |
| Preleased: | 0\% |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: Third Quarter 2009 |  |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | The Pollard Group Ltd. |
| Developer: | Centrum Properties, Inc. |

7. Edison Towne Square

| Market: | Northern New Jersey |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | 400,000 |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: Third Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Hartz Mountain Industries, Inc. |
| Developer: | Edison Land Investment, LLC |

8. Mercedes Benz

| Market: | New York City |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | 339,413 |
| Preleased: | 100\% |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Third Quarter 2008 |
| Deliv Date: | Third Quarter 2011 |
| Leasing Co: | Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC |
| Developer: | N/A |

9. The Shops of Grand River - Phase I

| Market: | Birmingham |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{3 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Third Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Daniel Corporation |
| Developer: | N/A |

10. Timber Creek Crossing - Walmart \& Sams Club
11. Tanger Factory Outlet

| Market: | Greensboro/Winston-Salem |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{3 1 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, |
|  | Inc. |
| Developer: | N/A |

12. Clemson Corner

| Market: | Washington |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{3 1 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter 2009 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2011 |
| Leasing Co: | Faison \& Associates LLC |
| Developer: | N/A |

13. James River Commons

| Market: | Springfield |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 . 0 0}$ |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Second Quarter 2008 |
| Deliv Date: | First Quarter 2011 |
| Leasing Co: | Ron Stenger Realtors, Inc. |
| Developer: | Ron Stenger Communties |

14. Woodman's Market

| Market: | Milwaukee |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{2 3 8 , 2 3 8}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | N/A |
| Grnd Brk Date: | Fourth Quarter $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | Woodmans Food Market Inc |
| Developer: | N/A |

15. North Bethesda Crossing

| Market: | Washington |
| :--- | :--- |
| RBA: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Preleased: | $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ |
| Quoted Rate: | Negotiable |
| Grnd Brk Date: | First Quarter 2010 |
| Deliv Date: | Fourth Quarter 2010 |
| Leasing Co: | JBG Rosenfeld Retail Properties |
| Developer: | The JBG Companies |

# General Retail Market Statistics 

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | QuotedRates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 8,247 | 53,844,316 | 1,918,715 | 2,005,758 | 3.7\% | 117,488 | 8,258 | 42,073 | \$11.59 |
| Albuquerque | 4,373 | 31,786,413 | 1,351,743 | 1,380,993 | 4.3\% | $(171,519)$ | 104,346 | 32,155 | \$12.76 |
| Anchorage | 762 | 8,863,979 | 194,789 | 197,189 | 2.2\% | $(20,313)$ | 0 | 0 | \$18.17 |
| Asheville | 1,612 | 15,534,127 | 813,701 | 820,423 | 5.3\% | $(126,544)$ | 3,480 | 0 | \$12.95 |
| Atlanta | 13,819 | 127,026,422 | 9,036,457 | 9,380,316 | 7.4\% | $(375,836)$ | 155,851 | 235,925 | \$13.58 |
| Augusta/Richme | 1,917 | 14,275,558 | 735,436 | 760,799 | 5.3\% | $(16,414)$ | 15,921 | 0 | \$7.94 |
| Austin | 4,107 | 31,313,988 | 1,173,057 | 1,234,700 | 3.9\% | 182,255 | 107,508 | 89,568 | \$19.89 |
| Bakersfield | 1,273 | 9,667,187 | 357,305 | 359,805 | 3.7\% | $(10,757)$ | 37,005 | 76,780 | \$10.38 |
| Baltimore | 7,645 | 53,458,248 | 2,074,846 | 2,134,172 | 4.0\% | 41,126 | 51,311 | 364,823 | \$18.03 |
| Baton Rouge | 1,099 | 13,987,198 | 1,013,057 | 1,134,008 | 8.1\% | 188,846 | 170,296 | 7,500 | \$11.90 |
| Beaumont/Port | 1,000 | 8,687,141 | 194,788 | 203,428 | 2.3\% | $(48,953)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.35 |
| Birmingham | 5,865 | 47,276,669 | 2,969,616 | 2,998,743 | 6.3\% | 284,612 | 11,751 | 28,888 | \$8.92 |
| Boise City/Namp | 3,143 | 19,323,601 | 718,414 | 744,084 | 3.9\% | $(133,603)$ | 44,309 | 82,800 | \$10.64 |
| Boston | 16,113 | 159,586,839 | 7,339,143 | 7,404,691 | 4.6\% | 993,014 | 630,056 | 388,608 | \$15.05 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 760 | 6,891,723 | 263,979 | 263,979 | 3.8\% | $(79,073)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.26 |
| Broward County | 5,183 | 45,466,814 | 1,509,110 | 1,553,782 | 3.4\% | $(28,941)$ | 12,800 | 0 | \$19.18 |
| Brownsville/Harl | 669 | 6,784,734 | 389,394 | 398,119 | 5.9\% | 37,778 | 5,039 | 0 | \$11.65 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 4,057 | 37,556,223 | 2,386,263 | 2,473,898 | 6.6\% | $(336,361)$ | 0 | 56,206 | \$9.30 |
| Charleston WV | 1,594 | 11,254,052 | 249,431 | 251,383 | 2.2\% | 5,375 | 35,426 | 0 | \$10.39 |
| Charleston/ NCl | 2,258 | 20,556,643 | 676,478 | 709,803 | 3.5\% | $(79,818)$ | 15,658 | 17,213 | \$16.62 |
| Charlotte | 7,583 | 65,534,897 | 3,214,140 | 3,287,340 | 5.0\% | 531,176 | 657,981 | 108,516 | \$13.25 |
| Chattanooga | 1,338 | 13,830,243 | 859,941 | 884,527 | 6.4\% | $(95,634)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.94 |
| Chicago | 23,329 | 230,733,309 | 17,421,760 | 18,042,968 | 7.8\% | 22,987 | 780,341 | 846,703 | \$16.52 |
| Cincinnati | 7,556 | 62,282,619 | 3,449,334 | 3,560,221 | 5.7\% | 180,278 | 203,498 | 280,648 | \$10.22 |
| Cleveland | 8,542 | 80,486,500 | 4,519,147 | 4,661,971 | 5.8\% | 122,989 | 46,597 | 68,888 | \$9.56 |
| Colorado Spring | 2,412 | 17,160,951 | 796,288 | 808,989 | 4.7\% | 135,571 | 40,068 | 226,077 | \$11.56 |
| Columbia | 3,492 | 27,662,035 | 951,178 | 968,250 | 3.5\% | 14,415 | 15,535 | 3,600 | \$11.63 |
| Columbus | 4,953 | 40,788,886 | 2,134,608 | 2,177,839 | 5.3\% | 408,665 | 77,563 | 133,831 | \$12.87 |
| Columbus GA | 385 | 3,825,739 | 243,230 | 280,554 | 7.3\% | 238,691 | 0 | 0 | \$11.58 |
| Corpus Christi | 1,510 | 11,956,791 | 814,914 | 814,914 | 6.8\% | $(60,792)$ | 6,000 | 50,000 | \$11.47 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 14,037 | 142,704,112 | 7,156,194 | 7,837,016 | 5.5\% | 848,742 | 674,340 | 383,503 | \$12.89 |
| Davenport/Moli | 806 | 8,527,304 | 467,720 | 501,611 | 5.9\% | $(1,619)$ | 19,545 | 0 | \$6.96 |
| Dayton | 5,918 | 39,769,140 | 1,748,418 | 1,795,838 | 4.5\% | 119,219 | 0 | 6,470 | \$8.35 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 2,810 | 18,047,628 | 618,615 | 627,180 | 3.5\% | 88,112 | 9,100 | 0 | \$10.62 |
| Denver | 7,781 | 76,053,300 | 4,192,853 | 4,674,422 | 6.1\% | 507,218 | 178,631 | 52,213 | \$13.97 |
| Des Moines | 1,953 | 21,256,627 | 864,997 | 871,309 | 4.1\% | 10,300 | 24,625 | 31,237 | \$10.29 |
| Detroit | 11,680 | 90,767,040 | 8,192,255 | 8,743,669 | 9.6\% | 675,997 | 82,625 | 39,026 | \$11.72 |
| Duluth | 1,751 | 13,120,551 | 334,407 | 334,407 | 2.5\% | 48,161 | 0 | 0 | \$9.26 |
| East Bay/Oaklar | 10,758 | 72,432,124 | 3,142,027 | 3,168,824 | 4.4\% | 298,713 | 225,651 | 39,700 | \$19.97 |
| El Paso | 3,440 | 21,793,195 | 827,728 | 846,768 | 3.9\% | $(39,986)$ | 42,911 | 82,729 | \$12.16 |
| Erie | 594 | 4,752,842 | 40,105 | 51,013 | 1.1\% | 39,779 | 0 | 0 | \$7.53 |
| Evansville | 1,124 | 10,220,226 | 714,787 | 735,529 | 7.2\% | 86,712 | 0 | 0 | \$10.79 |
| Fayetteville | 1,302 | 7,643,207 | 256,358 | 263,858 | 3.5\% | (107) | 0 | 2,500 | \$8.99 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 1,605 | 12,803,430 | 899,347 | 906,823 | 7.1\% | $(306,503)$ | 16,500 | 0 | \$10.49 |
| Fort Smith | 697 | 7,145,679 | 345,898 | 346,433 | 4.8\% | 77,359 | 0 | 0 | \$5.70 |
| Fort Wayne | 1,336 | 14,004,163 | 705,309 | 707,709 | 5.1\% | $(32,025)$ | 3,372 | 7,180 | \$7.91 |
| Fresno | 5,284 | 37,109,497 | 1,683,531 | 1,921,669 | 5.2\% | $(14,412)$ | 20,441 | 0 | \$10.08 |
| Green Bay | 1,744 | 18,349,317 | 1,309,557 | 1,361,752 | 7.4\% | $(210,327)$ | 17,924 | 25,000 | \$9.19 |

[^2]General Retail Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 6,934 | 48,332,910 | 2,239,386 | 2,314,554 | 4.8\% | 96,101 | 50,520 | 186,050 | \$10.93 |
| Greenville/Spart | 6,995 | 42,722,434 | 2,229,048 | 2,292,438 | 5.4\% | 216,720 | 231,649 | 10,500 | \$9.64 |
| Hampton Roads | 5,353 | 40,603,075 | 1,387,740 | 1,477,575 | 3.6\% | 20,592 | 77,043 | 84,979 | \$12.81 |
| Hartford | 11,435 | 82,837,431 | 4,505,135 | 4,593,155 | 5.5\% | 243,577 | 295,870 | 25,288 | \$11.57 |
| Hawaii | 2,624 | 26,601,780 | 785,838 | 803,419 | 3.0\% | 206,157 | 27,519 | 7,904 | \$25.34 |
| Houston | 9,227 | 115,195,814 | 4,338,462 | 4,486,448 | 3.9\% | 681,548 | 150,629 | 27,286 | \$13.69 |
| Huntington/Ash | 2,598 | 19,505,745 | 368,631 | 368,631 | 1.9\% | 34,436 | 20,200 | 29,014 | \$7.24 |
| Huntsville | 592 | 8,104,921 | 477,246 | 486,260 | 6.0\% | 15,392 | 14,640 | 0 | \$11.97 |
| Indianapolis | 5,839 | 47,976,924 | 2,202,827 | 2,320,435 | 4.8\% | 194,507 | 59,794 | 527,988 | \$11.26 |
| Inland Empire (C | 8,598 | 58,310,300 | 3,842,394 | 3,884,503 | 6.7\% | 173,010 | 55,015 | 86,483 | \$14.50 |
| Jackson | 2,247 | 20,959,550 | 1,021,929 | 1,024,874 | 4.9\% | 56,330 | 5,170 | 22,000 | \$6.64 |
| Jacksonville (Flo) | 4,824 | 40,941,394 | 1,943,195 | 1,955,449 | 4.8\% | 18,275 | 49,186 | 47,658 | \$13.04 |
| Kansas City | 3,910 | 40,644,823 | 2,962,414 | 2,998,482 | 7.4\% | $(28,382)$ | 8,900 | 0 | \$11.83 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 1,090 | 6,558,016 | 438,823 | 441,311 | 6.7\% | $(3,522)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.88 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 1,016 | 9,094,849 | 406,448 | 406,448 | 4.5\% | 48,329 | 0 | 0 | \$8.67 |
| Knoxville | 3,105 | 27,548,670 | 923,379 | 957,204 | 3.5\% | $(43,261)$ | 19,408 | 47,000 | \$13.75 |
| Lafayette | 537 | 5,240,719 | 550,138 | 558,263 | 10.7\% | $(105,019)$ | 9,800 | 11,300 | \$9.00 |
| Las Vegas | 3,774 | 39,007,780 | 2,396,412 | 2,658,285 | 6.8\% | $(125,712)$ | 60,042 | 5,375 | \$17.92 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 1,536 | 13,551,495 | 521,597 | 535,745 | 4.0\% | $(70,268)$ | 68,873 | 0 | \$12.47 |
| Lincoln | 1,338 | 11,396,081 | 471,453 | 477,203 | 4.2\% | 102,480 | 19,600 | 36,926 | \$10.42 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 3,520 | 25,357,535 | 745,437 | 814,698 | 3.2\% | 558,985 | 287,588 | 0 | \$8.60 |
| Long Island ( Ne | 22,824 | 153,680,681 | 7,332,528 | 7,422,309 | 4.8\% | 1,068,496 | 1,084,856 | 843,333 | \$27.78 |
| Los Angeles | 28,874 | 222,471,614 | 9,034,197 | 9,307,475 | 4.2\% | 934,170 | 524,179 | 585,074 | \$27.38 |
| Louisville | 4,292 | 36,846,907 | 2,615,829 | 2,719,842 | 7.4\% | $(64,354)$ | 75,973 | 104,528 | \$11.03 |
| Lubbock | 1,915 | 14,473,614 | 412,972 | 431,182 | 3.0\% | 15,850 | 22,537 | 0 | \$10.02 |
| Madison | 2,466 | 23,490,094 | 933,390 | 944,579 | 4.0\% | 72,442 | 113,620 | 47,400 | \$14.15 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 2,964 | 18,631,054 | 776,713 | 803,614 | 4.3\% | 42,169 | 0 | 0 | \$20.72 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 467 | 6,272,963 | 591,207 | 612,823 | 9.8\% | $(183,194)$ | 3,800 | 9,014 | \$13.78 |
| Memphis | 4,236 | 36,192,225 | 1,561,099 | 1,586,129 | 4.4\% | 338,920 | 103,137 | 109,927 | \$9.34 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 7,319 | 59,634,118 | 2,207,981 | 2,256,232 | 3.8\% | 150,305 | 70,692 | 45,890 | \$28.08 |
| Milwaukee | 11,255 | 88,391,746 | 3,380,332 | 3,541,113 | 4.0\% | $(12,352)$ | 144,791 | 346,396 | \$10.91 |
| Minneapolis | 12,543 | 111,574,063 | 3,839,584 | 3,949,912 | 3.5\% | 595,934 | 568,649 | 78,753 | \$12.32 |
| Mobile | 2,268 | 16,876,174 | 1,008,759 | 1,019,505 | 6.0\% | $(193,650)$ | 34,279 | 0 | \$10.50 |
| Montgomery | 1,189 | 10,684,379 | 497,927 | 506,943 | 4.7\% | 79,715 | 3,388 | 0 | \$7.84 |
| Myrtle Beach/C | 1,451 | 11,762,302 | 470,360 | 472,760 | 4.0\% | $(137,695)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.97 |
| Nashville | 6,672 | 52,589,181 | 2,173,304 | 2,187,366 | 4.2\% | 169,477 | 125,301 | 42,508 | \$12.67 |
| New Orleans/M | 3,965 | 36,404,063 | 2,062,467 | 2,101,124 | 5.8\% | $(286,893)$ | 91,067 | 0 | \$13.55 |
| New York City | 5,122 | 71,998,662 | 1,433,990 | 1,467,615 | 2.0\% | 423,508 | 189,930 | 784,976 | \$85.13 |
| Northern New Jt | 23,035 | 162,892,197 | 9,637,626 | 10,023,531 | 6.2\% | 961,499 | 337,234 | 2,402,597 | \$19.80 |
| Ocala | 625 | 6,021,324 | 257,727 | 257,727 | 4.3\% | $(25,473)$ | 0 | 0 | \$13.34 |
| Oklahoma City | 5,297 | 43,068,875 | 1,358,797 | 1,636,430 | 3.8\% | 193,705 | 64,731 | 42,213 | \$10.34 |
| Olympia | 806 | 6,038,720 | 268,192 | 268,192 | 4.4\% | $(71,125)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.40 |
| Omaha/Council | 3,138 | 27,212,296 | 1,351,800 | 1,421,198 | 5.2\% | 116,362 | 90,724 | 106,590 | \$9.57 |
| Orange (Califorr | 4,607 | 36,555,410 | 1,741,640 | 2,071,685 | 5.7\% | $(128,767)$ | 7,283 | 177,026 | \$20.06 |
| Orlando | 6,173 | 57,543,150 | 2,638,507 | 2,765,382 | 4.8\% | 456,174 | 126,968 | 55,135 | \$15.40 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 3,165 | 29,952,681 | 1,270,771 | 1,303,428 | 4.4\% | 456,240 | 243,910 | 56,852 | \$21.95 |
| Pensacola | 2,919 | 18,994,984 | 843,822 | 850,202 | 4.5\% | $(27,679)$ | 21,580 | 0 | \$11.38 |
| Peoria | 777 | 6,691,660 | 264,926 | 267,828 | 4.0\% | 42,943 | 19,000 | 11,578 | \$11.50 |

[^3]General Retail Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 24,708 | 208,925,963 | 11,375,335 | 11,624,609 | 5.6\% | 515,563 | 146,563 | 723,158 | \$13.84 |
| Phoenix | 5,349 | 54,906,298 | 4,453,765 | 4,663,319 | 8.5\% | 145,364 | 183,811 | 269,260 | \$16.07 |
| Pittsburgh | 8,976 | 63,335,162 | 3,073,308 | 3,150,776 | 5.0\% | 380,893 | 104,281 | 53,920 | \$12.06 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 1,216 | 12,273,859 | 589,643 | 589,643 | 4.8\% | 78,295 | 26,000 | 0 | \$14.97 |
| Portland | 6,286 | 51,624,905 | 2,383,697 | 2,543,513 | 4.9\% | 153,889 | 100,692 | 271,282 | \$15.58 |
| Portland/South | 3,257 | 24,632,579 | 1,037,256 | 1,085,991 | 4.4\% | $(120,537)$ | 5,496 | 0 | \$13.64 |
| Providence | 5,049 | 35,082,928 | 1,421,641 | 1,466,199 | 4.2\% | 69,791 | 36,040 | 27,682 | \$12.99 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 3,653 | 28,892,684 | 1,582,993 | 1,617,908 | 5.6\% | 261,710 | 225,165 | 116,611 | \$14.15 |
| Reno/Sparks | 985 | 9,220,165 | 698,669 | 745,278 | 8.1\% | $(33,209)$ | 0 | 39,998 | \$14.29 |
| Richmond VA | 5,328 | 38,825,922 | 1,587,113 | 1,611,655 | 4.2\% | $(240,402)$ | 15,014 | 158,446 | \$11.33 |
| Roanoke | 1,280 | 11,869,902 | 413,684 | 416,240 | 3.5\% | $(88,445)$ | 0 | 23,335 | \$13.06 |
| Rochester | 3,705 | 31,912,622 | 1,255,845 | 1,316,375 | 4.1\% | $(152,327)$ | 33,000 | 7,726 | \$11.58 |
| Sacramento | 5,258 | 40,792,782 | 3,067,170 | 3,195,072 | 7.8\% | $(123,403)$ | 16,775 | 228,142 | \$15.04 |
| Salinas | 1,143 | 8,144,292 | 344,434 | 344,927 | 4.2\% | 43,507 | 0 | 12,000 | \$18.05 |
| Salt Lake City | 7,638 | 62,768,174 | 2,462,304 | 2,583,412 | 4.1\% | 109,077 | 53,660 | 19,738 | \$12.12 |
| San Antonio | 8,520 | 56,748,437 | 1,951,803 | 2,014,496 | 3.5\% | 368,533 | 272,136 | 304,792 | \$11.47 |
| San Diego | 8,859 | 57,019,555 | 2,113,104 | 2,184,758 | 3.8\% | 182,071 | 82,390 | 65,844 | \$23.11 |
| San Francisco | 9,741 | 65,834,631 | 1,802,306 | 2,014,737 | 3.1\% | 31,889 | 43,100 | 202,201 | \$28.45 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1,260 | 7,604,018 | 216,906 | 221,606 | 2.9\% | 4,524 | 18,800 | 0 | \$18.58 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 1,672 | 14,421,723 | 266,744 | 296,459 | 2.1\% | 33,076 | 0 | 20,465 | \$24.06 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 1,237 | 6,904,662 | 470,676 | 515,961 | 7.5\% | $(18,467)$ | 0 | 3,500 | \$19.14 |
| Savannah | 1,111 | 9,260,456 | 759,018 | 770,662 | 8.3\% | $(30,508)$ | 0 | 77,200 | \$13.78 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 9,634 | 88,283,187 | 4,174,191 | 4,321,918 | 4.9\% | 266,987 | 111,900 | 121,699 | \$17.33 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 1,271 | 11,072,048 | 653,464 | 731,672 | 6.6\% | 53,301 | 2,100 | 3,750 | \$8.70 |
| South Bay/San J | 4,613 | 33,126,716 | 1,550,302 | 1,582,498 | 4.8\% | 45,690 | 17,730 | 101,014 | \$25.00 |
| South Bend/Mis | 912 | 8,594,658 | 400,725 | 526,893 | 6.1\% | 19,148 | 0 | 64,196 | \$8.60 |
| Southwest Floric | 4,046 | 31,083,636 | 1,856,593 | 1,899,222 | 6.1\% | 42,871 | 144,446 | 55,867 | \$14.56 |
| Spokane | 3,118 | 24,769,863 | 946,318 | 965,354 | 3.9\% | 71,148 | 5,935 | 7,500 | \$10.20 |
| Springfield | 1,438 | 14,657,372 | 789,113 | 838,606 | 5.7\% | $(52,105)$ | 12,480 | 0 | \$9.84 |
| St. Louis | 5,483 | 54,280,035 | 3,477,188 | 3,601,405 | 6.6\% | 276,463 | 13,000 | 38,452 | \$11.74 |
| Stockton/Modes | 2,009 | 17,781,884 | 1,324,246 | 1,357,262 | 7.6\% | 353,910 | 83,480 | 25,526 | \$13.78 |
| Syracuse | 2,892 | 24,237,291 | 773,267 | 780,267 | 3.2\% | 22,732 | 38,761 | 33,368 | \$10.13 |
| Tallahassee | 2,308 | 17,247,579 | 536,819 | 544,838 | 3.2\% | 5,965 | 19,648 | 50,366 | \$12.57 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 12,079 | 97,368,083 | 4,767,218 | 4,901,146 | 5.0\% | $(94,712)$ | 72,364 | 247,897 | \$13.91 |
| Toledo | 6,220 | 45,183,404 | 2,507,739 | 2,599,931 | 5.8\% | 169,045 | 2,482 | 18,148 | \$7.56 |
| Tucson | 3,785 | 19,852,498 | 1,050,265 | 1,056,945 | 5.3\% | $(27,729)$ | 43,989 | 43,621 | \$12.79 |
| Tulsa | 4,936 | 36,475,910 | 1,348,935 | 1,575,537 | 4.3\% | 148,963 | 80,327 | 36,430 | \$9.23 |
| Utica/Rome | 1,601 | 14,767,061 | 445,018 | 469,618 | 3.2\% | $(43,636)$ | 0 | 0 | \$7.03 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 1,114 | 8,541,702 | 225,154 | 225,154 | 2.6\% | $(12,445)$ | 0 | 0 | \$13.23 |
| Washington | 10,726 | 76,941,387 | 3,155,051 | 3,330,075 | 4.3\% | 405,411 | 352,085 | 200,812 | \$25.25 |
| West Michigan | 11,267 | 84,136,425 | 4,344,635 | 4,632,174 | 5.5\% | 226,929 | 305,494 | 20,954 | \$8.97 |
| Westchester/So | 13,044 | 106,075,601 | 5,164,946 | 5,277,683 | 5.0\% | 452,765 | 175,014 | 232,117 | \$22.29 |
| Wichita | 3,170 | 26,798,284 | 1,049,340 | 1,269,786 | 4.7\% | $(102,862)$ | 8,960 | 0 | \$9.86 |
| Wilmington | 2,298 | 14,259,433 | 685,192 | 685,192 | 4.8\% | $(159,180)$ | 8,000 | 213,900 | \$13.95 |
| Yakima | 1,111 | 8,087,016 | 334,863 | 334,863 | 4.1\% | $(9,979)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.24 |
| Youngstown/W | 3,068 | 22,407,713 | 1,587,857 | 1,605,177 | 7.2\% | $(4,369)$ | 200,000 | 0 | \$8.22 |
| Totals | 707,046 | 5,778,371,115 | 284,470,600 | 295,731,794 | 5.1\% | 15,018,238 | 13,192,456 | 14,956,818 | \$14.97 |

Source: CoStar Property®

Mall Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 8 | 6,556,728 | 336,080 | 336,080 | 5.1\% | 13,284 | 0 | 0 | \$18.66 |
| Albuquerque | 5 | 3,421,933 | 286,365 | 286,365 | 8.4\% | $(132,744)$ | 0 | 0 | \$23.88 |
| Anchorage | 4 | 2,413,264 | 76,627 | 76,627 | 3.2\% | 74,861 | 77,600 | 24,699 | \$8.41 |
| Asheville | 7 | 3,231,837 | 311,295 | 311,295 | 9.6\% | $(14,053)$ | 0 | 0 | \$22.95 |
| Atlanta | 34 | 27,924,982 | 1,677,765 | 1,678,043 | 6.0\% | 496,078 | 0 | 0 | \$21.00 |
| Augusta/Richme | 3 | 1,967,551 | 68,796 | 68,796 | 3.5\% | $(4,356)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Austin | 8 | 5,990,001 | 210,315 | 210,315 | 3.5\% | $(135,486)$ | 20,000 | 0 | \$22.58 |
| Bakersfield | 2 | 1,484,960 | 223,123 | 223,123 | 15.0\% | $(10,000)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.00 |
| Baltimore | 22 | 16,572,838 | 1,263,496 | 1,268,021 | 7.7\% | 50,172 | 0 | 611,000 | \$34.66 |
| Baton Rouge | 3 | 3,176,340 | 65,053 | 65,053 | 2.0\% | $(21,164)$ | 0 | 0 | \$33.88 |
| Beaumont/Port | 3 | 2,273,596 | 164,294 | 164,294 | 7.2\% | $(1,147)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.00 |
| Birmingham | 12 | 7,491,409 | 1,302,682 | 1,321,482 | 17.6\% | $(24,417)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.19 |
| Boise City/Namf | 6 | 3,163,357 | 532,711 | 532,711 | 16.8\% | 15,338 | 0 | 0 | \$12.18 |
| Boston | 37 | 26,507,843 | 771,381 | 776,647 | 2.9\% | 139,927 | 0 | 0 | \$35.08 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 1 | 829,246 | 25,397 | 25,397 | 3.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$15.77 |
| Broward County | 15 | 11,077,083 | 1,671,422 | 1,671,422 | 15.1\% | 12,321 | 400,000 | 0 | \$17.28 |
| Brownsville/Har\| | 3 | 1,563,686 | 104,189 | 104,189 | 6.7\% | $(47,284)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.74 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 5 | 5,004,884 | 108,322 | 108,322 | 2.2\% | 53,698 | 7,759 | 0 | \$12.01 |
| Charleston WV | 1 | 897,000 | 48,290 | 48,290 | 5.4\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$30.00 |
| Charleston/ NCF | 3 | 2,498,901 | 168,226 | 168,226 | 6.7\% | $(81,012)$ | 0 | 0 | \$25.64 |
| Charlotte | 27 | 16,193,219 | 1,885,978 | 1,902,158 | 11.7\% | $(576,325)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.22 |
| Chattanooga | 2 | 1,973,560 | 359,605 | 359,605 | 18.2\% | 19,918 | 0 | 0 | \$10.67 |
| Chicago | 43 | 38,647,212 | 1,070,337 | 1,166,358 | 3.0\% | 8,675 | 8,000 | 0 | \$27.25 |
| Cincinnati | 16 | 11,773,872 | 881,645 | 881,645 | 7.5\% | 81,555 | 0 | 0 | \$23.41 |
| Cleveland | 18 | 16,645,906 | 3,068,154 | 3,068,944 | 18.4\% | 140,967 | 0 | 0 | \$8.00 |
| Colorado Spring | 4 | 3,916,395 | 527,137 | 527,137 | 13.5\% | 220,867 | 0 | 0 | \$8.14 |
| Columbia | 8 | 6,039,041 | 737,372 | 737,372 | 12.2\% | $(127,791)$ | 0 | 0 | \$18.04 |
| Columbus | 10 | 8,449,768 | 526,179 | 545,211 | 6.5\% | 12,032 | 0 | 106,278 | \$19.70 |
| Columbus GA | 1 | 819,836 | 94,775 | 94,775 | 11.6\% | $(4,800)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Corpus Christi | 4 | 2,981,687 | 84,516 | 103,551 | 3.5\% | 33,091 | 0 | 0 | \$12.09 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 43 | 33,401,577 | 2,307,964 | 2,363,522 | 7.1\% | 227,442 | 255,000 | 45,000 | \$22.48 |
| Davenport/Moli | 2 | 2,073,194 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Dayton | 6 | 5,129,984 | 324,426 | 329,426 | 6.4\% | $(41,358)$ | 3,800 | 0 | \$10.39 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 1 | 1,088,936 | 8,988 | 8,988 | 0.8\% | $(8,988)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Denver | 21 | 18,433,486 | 1,287,529 | 1,287,529 | 7.0\% | (760) | 0 | 140,000 | \$22.97 |
| Des Moines | 7 | 5,600,528 | 292,772 | 292,772 | 5.2\% | $(36,911)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.13 |
| Detroit | 22 | 23,336,342 | 853,844 | 899,131 | 3.9\% | 108,066 | 0 | 0 | \$9.26 |
| Duluth | 2 | 1,093,355 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| East Bay/Oaklar | 13 | 11,458,425 | 741,859 | 741,859 | 6.5\% | $(14,791)$ | 0 | 0 | \$19.14 |
| El Paso | 3 | 3,086,903 | 85,458 | 85,458 | 2.8\% | $(78,474)$ | 5,394 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Erie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Evansville | 4 | 1,628,580 | 137,779 | 137,779 | 8.5\% | $(35,315)$ | 0 | 0 | \$7.85 |
| Fayetteville | 1 | 1,144,570 | 1,442 | 1,442 | 0.1\% | $(1,442)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 2 | 2,423,593 | 172,058 | 172,058 | 7.1\% | $(75,477)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fort Smith | 1 | 869,208 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fort Wayne | 2 | 2,263,704 | 305,367 | 305,367 | 13.5\% | $(26,000)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.81 |
| Fresno | 10 | 5,180,570 | 569,802 | 569,802 | 11.0\% | 48,375 | 0 | 0 | \$28.96 |
| Green Bay | 4 | 2,890,231 | 229,467 | 229,467 | 7.9\% | $(69,348)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.09 |

Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\circledR}$

Mall Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | QuotedRates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 15 | 11,085,321 | 733,609 | 733,609 | 6.6\% | 2,280 | 0 | 0 | \$17.66 |
| Greenville/Spart | 3 | 2,979,362 | 2,540 | 2,540 | 0.1\% | 10,884 | 0 | 0 | \$16.03 |
| Hampton Roads | 14 | 9,589,878 | 491,913 | 492,093 | 5.1\% | $(1,259)$ | 0 | 0 | \$26.11 |
| Hartford | 11 | 8,679,556 | 110,506 | 110,506 | 1.3\% | $(4,978)$ | 0 | 0 | \$27.96 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 8,590,756 | 143,852 | 165,976 | 1.9\% | 49,474 | 0 | 0 | \$38.03 |
| Houston | 33 | 32,057,584 | 2,187,359 | 2,248,738 | 7.0\% | 479,132 | 73,657 | 0 | \$21.74 |
| Huntington/Ash | 4 | 2,160,510 | 162,091 | 166,664 | 7.7\% | $(28,978)$ | 0 | 0 | \$21.18 |
| Huntsville | 3 | 2,085,939 | 65,679 | 65,679 | 3.1\% | (453) | 0 | 0 | \$27.55 |
| Indianapolis | 15 | 12,902,304 | 716,442 | 716,442 | 5.6\% | $(186,381)$ | 0 | 0 | \$21.38 |
| Inland Empire (C | 23 | 17,804,058 | 686,576 | 989,136 | 5.6\% | $(57,306)$ | 0 | 0 | \$23.28 |
| Jackson | 5 | 3,133,963 | 56,210 | 56,210 | 1.8\% | 39,097 | 0 | 0 | \$15.06 |
| Jacksonville (Flo) | 13 | 7,391,040 | 384,859 | 384,859 | 5.2\% | 61,021 | 9,600 | 4,494 | \$19.44 |
| Kansas City | 17 | 10,288,105 | 813,303 | 815,697 | 7.9\% | 151,908 | 142,151 | 197,678 | \$30.34 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 2 | 1,180,804 | 85,468 | 85,468 | 7.2\% | $(3,621)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.00 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 3 | 1,106,321 | 60,760 | 60,760 | 5.5\% | $(37,062)$ | 0 | 0 | \$12.00 |
| Knoxville | 5 | 4,309,172 | 78,733 | 78,733 | 1.8\% | $(27,746)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.95 |
| Lafayette | 4 | 1,863,575 | 48,417 | 48,417 | 2.6\% | $(34,165)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Las Vegas | 17 | 11,561,615 | 573,355 | 573,355 | 5.0\% | (350) | 0 | 450,000 | \$47.53 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 2 | 610,719 | 47,878 | 47,878 | 7.8\% | $(2,865)$ | 0 | 0 | \$14.00 |
| Lincoln | 3 | 1,732,118 | 67,230 | 99,775 | 5.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$15.32 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 6 | 1,878,404 | 45,416 | 45,416 | 2.4\% | $(14,682)$ | 0 | 0 | \$12.22 |
| Long Island ( Ne | 21 | 17,906,615 | 218,308 | 222,302 | 1.2\% | 15,375 | 0 | 0 | \$34.38 |
| Los Angeles | 52 | 43,092,597 | 1,596,386 | 1,718,597 | 4.0\% | 112,591 | 139,075 | 610,000 | \$24.63 |
| Louisville | 7 | 7,316,073 | 151,022 | 229,522 | 3.1\% | 9,082 | 0 | 0 | \$7.68 |
| Lubbock | 2 | 1,602,618 | 105,998 | 105,998 | 6.6\% | 31,864 | 0 | 0 | \$7.53 |
| Madison | 5 | 3,291,307 | 108,991 | 108,991 | 3.3\% | $(32,706)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.97 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 6 | 3,471,788 | 42,515 | 192,515 | 5.5\% | 104,626 | 17,340 | 0 | \$27.46 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 2 | 1,607,228 | 104,552 | 104,552 | 6.5\% | 150,711 | 0 | 0 | \$12.00 |
| Memphis | 8 | 6,487,754 | 537,754 | 573,441 | 8.8\% | $(15,652)$ | 0 | 0 | \$5.29 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 18 | 15,979,389 | 425,165 | 475,734 | 3.0\% | $(120,467)$ | 0 | 0 | \$24.77 |
| Milwaukee | 12 | 8,584,043 | 1,115,398 | 1,170,132 | 13.6\% | $(2,535)$ | 0 | 0 | \$8.63 |
| Minneapolis | 22 | 23,557,016 | 1,264,800 | 1,315,511 | 5.6\% | $(4,020)$ | 0 | 0 | \$20.90 |
| Mobile | 5 | 3,366,300 | 347,171 | 349,383 | 10.4\% | $(6,339)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.85 |
| Montgomery | 6 | 2,729,320 | 55,184 | 78,198 | 2.9\% | 30,286 | 0 | 0 | \$20.67 |
| Myrtle Beach/C, | 4 | 3,585,197 | 22,008 | 22,008 | 0.6\% | 14,202 | 0 | 0 | \$18.00 |
| Nashville | 14 | 9,767,287 | 1,118,078 | 1,120,193 | 11.5\% | $(21,135)$ | 0 | 153,716 | \$22.59 |
| New Orleans/M | 10 | 6,901,919 | 400,272 | 405,380 | 5.9\% | 69,987 | 0 | 0 | \$10.03 |
| New York City | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Northern New Jt | 40 | 37,740,448 | 789,560 | 799,458 | 2.1\% | 455,561 | 400,000 | 800,000 | \$42.84 |
| Ocala | 2 | 1,239,489 | 287,501 | 287,501 | 23.2\% | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Oklahoma City | 9 | 5,612,607 | 1,000,609 | 1,000,609 | 17.8\% | 18,309 | 55,000 | 0 | \$13.43 |
| Olympia | 5 | 1,976,056 | 63,053 | 63,053 | 3.2\% | 261 | 0 | 0 | \$16.01 |
| Omaha/Council | 7 | 4,837,305 | 221,375 | 221,375 | 4.6\% | 60,128 | 0 | 0 | \$11.93 |
| Orange (Califorr | 20 | 17,439,960 | 554,085 | 596,900 | 3.4\% | 121,922 | 130,000 | 0 | \$26.32 |
| Orlando | 27 | 19,045,945 | 813,338 | 813,338 | 4.3\% | 46,332 | 0 | 0 | \$24.44 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 14 | 9,683,629 | 791,758 | 792,738 | 8.2\% | $(218,160)$ | 0 | 0 | \$26.51 |
| Pensacola | 2 | 1,572,491 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Peoria | 2 | 1,276,092 | 4,995 | 4,995 | 0.4\% | 28,000 | 0 | 0 | \$26.80 |

[^4]
## Mall Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quoted } \\ \text { Rates } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctris | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 66 | 50,550,580 | 2,219,528 | 2,579,853 | 5.1\% | 74,971 | 97,654 | 275,494 | \$19.49 |
| Phoenix | 28 | 23,331,553 | 2,485,076 | 2,496,231 | 10.7\% | $(211,262)$ | 4,750 | 3,600 | \$24.32 |
| Pittsburgh | 18 | 17,208,292 | 879,603 | 1,121,547 | 6.5\% | 26,377 | 0 | 149,380 | \$25.96 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 1 | 955,601 | 4,800 | 4,800 | 0.5\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$26.46 |
| Portland | 12 | 8,311,485 | 323,840 | 331,125 | 4.0\% | 10,831 | 0 | 0 | \$19.81 |
| Portland/South | 2 | 1,318,192 | 179,753 | 220,033 | 16.7\% | $(20,100)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.29 |
| Providence | 6 | 4,345,704 | 150,624 | 164,739 | 3.8\% | $(12,209)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.11 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 12 | 9,568,092 | 306,210 | 306,210 | 3.2\% | 6,108 | 0 | 0 | \$24.10 |
| Reno/Sparks | 4 | 3,874,722 | 128,206 | 128,206 | 3.3\% | $(58,682)$ | 0 | 0 | \$12.00 |
| Richmond VA | 11 | 7,871,814 | 556,918 | 560,862 | 7.1\% | $(99,134)$ | 0 | 9,302 | \$23.68 |
| Roanoke | 2 | 1,882,852 | 28,422 | 28,422 | 1.5\% | $(4,459)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.22 |
| Rochester | 6 | 6,377,177 | 894,548 | 934,705 | 14.7\% | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | \$13.91 |
| Sacramento | 11 | 7,738,579 | 509,452 | 509,452 | 6.6\% | 82,453 | 0 | 670,000 | \$34.29 |
| Salinas | 3 | 2,383,450 | 44,577 | 45,802 | 1.9\% | 2,360 | 0 | 0 | \$36.00 |
| Salt Lake City | 18 | 13,479,582 | 1,092,647 | 1,126,493 | 8.4\% | $(39,739)$ | 18,700 | 0 | \$16.15 |
| San Antonio | 16 | 12,281,310 | 368,909 | 369,945 | 3.0\% | 13,374 | 0 | 0 | \$17.26 |
| San Diego | 14 | 13,344,753 | 352,756 | 352,756 | 2.6\% | 46,901 | 8,500 | 0 | \$27.61 |
| San Francisco | 7 | 5,601,294 | 29,941 | 29,941 | 0.5\% | 20,733 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| San Luis Obispo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 3 | 1,509,496 | 11,057 | 11,057 | 0.7\% | 20,050 | 0 | 0 | \$43.52 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 1 | 595,302 | 119,777 | 119,777 | 20.1\% | $(2,017)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Savannah | 2 | 1,795,564 | 19,337 | 19,337 | 1.1\% | $(5,319)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 26 | 18,828,020 | 890,145 | 946,327 | 5.0\% | 80,424 | 0 | 0 | \$21.32 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 3 | 1,716,245 | 123,922 | 123,922 | 7.2\% | 106,670 | 0 | 0 | \$8.11 |
| South Bay/San J | 12 | 11,071,224 | 349,229 | 356,691 | 3.2\% | $(9,630)$ | 0 | 0 | \$30.18 |
| South Bend/Mis | 3 | 1,562,411 | 4,700 | 4,700 | 0.3\% | $(4,700)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Southwest Floric | 10 | 8,087,516 | 566,686 | 566,686 | 7.0\% | 52,367 | 0 | 0 | \$27.56 |
| Spokane | 3 | 2,274,080 | 125,656 | 125,656 | 5.5\% | $(31,669)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.00 |
| Springfield | 2 | 1,822,995 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| St. Louis | 22 | 16,938,026 | 1,595,744 | 1,628,869 | 9.6\% | $(9,904)$ | 6,497 | 102,180 | \$17.14 |
| Stockton/Modes | 7 | 4,972,840 | 338,743 | 345,620 | 7.0\% | 23,777 | 11,831 | 0 | \$17.39 |
| Syracuse | 4 | 4,675,493 | 285,170 | 285,170 | 6.1\% | 96,972 | 0 | 0 | \$20.02 |
| Tallahassee | 4 | 3,023,038 | 115,722 | 115,722 | 3.8\% | $(14,751)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.00 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 22 | 16,899,930 | 616,665 | 677,204 | 4.0\% | $(125,413)$ | 0 | 84,553 | \$21.56 |
| Toledo | 12 | 6,246,699 | 657,832 | 657,832 | 10.5\% | $(82,084)$ | 0 | 0 | \$5.05 |
| Tucson | 6 | 4,569,234 | 315,836 | 315,836 | 6.9\% | 53,216 | 120,000 | 0 | \$26.14 |
| Tulsa | 8 | 4,880,461 | 251,662 | 293,162 | 6.0\% | 35,608 | 81,000 | 0 | \$13.30 |
| Utica/Rome | 2 | 1,720,853 | 46,280 | 76,399 | 4.4\% | $(2,000)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.53 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 2 | 1,407,867 | 178,702 | 178,702 | 12.7\% | $(8,116)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.86 |
| Washington | 44 | 34,187,780 | 1,328,396 | 1,371,842 | 4.0\% | 800,184 | 1,184,992 | 971,974 | \$28.11 |
| West Michigan | 14 | 10,038,645 | 697,077 | 708,027 | 7.1\% | $(92,932)$ | 0 | 0 | \$14.86 |
| Westchester/So | 20 | 16,357,917 | 852,283 | 857,430 | 5.2\% | 27,899 | 0 | 1,200,000 | \$36.89 |
| Wichita | 6 | 4,231,807 | 49,355 | 49,355 | 1.2\% | 2,615 | 0 | 0 | \$17.50 |
| Wilmington | 2 | 1,759,507 | 14,208 | 14,208 | 0.8\% | 37,315 | 0 | 0 | \$20.00 |
| Yakima | 2 | 1,478,050 | 403,081 | 404,581 | 27.4\% | $(1,500)$ | 0 | 0 | \$22.50 |
| Youngstown/W | 4 | 3,657,611 | 375,882 | 381,802 | 10.4\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$11.20 |
| Totals | 1,473 | 1,151,986,341 | 66,562,411 | 68,964,565 | 6.0\% | 2,229,982 | 3,278,300 | 6,609,348 | \$19.20 |

Source: CoStar Property®

Power Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 12 | 5,567,208 | 245,485 | 245,485 | 4.4\% | $(66,761)$ | 0 | 48,306 | \$15.68 |
| Albuquerque | 5 | 2,206,110 | 242,712 | 242,712 | 11.0\% | $(13,332)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.20 |
| Anchorage | 1 | 462,825 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Asheville | 2 | 698,623 | 58,000 | 58,000 | 8.3\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Atlanta | 59 | 25,770,405 | 2,126,049 | 2,239,499 | 8.7\% | 19,955 | 0 | 0 | \$13.70 |
| Augusta/Richmo | 3 | 1,275,239 | 160,984 | 193,210 | 15.2\% | 52,240 | 0 | 0 | \$12.13 |
| Austin | 22 | 10,244,978 | 524,635 | 555,318 | 5.4\% | 130,097 | 6,822 | 5,700 | \$20.50 |
| Bakersfield | 4 | 1,943,010 | 153,304 | 190,804 | 9.8\% | 796 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Baltimore | 22 | 9,033,511 | 564,757 | 677,952 | 7.5\% | 87,156 | 16,057 | 63,000 | \$15.19 |
| Baton Rouge | 5 | 2,119,106 | 107,454 | 107,454 | 5.1\% | 6,091 | 0 | 0 | \$12.38 |
| Beaumont/Port, | 1 | 425,179 | 22,160 | 22,160 | 5.2\% | 1,533 | 0 | 0 | \$18.00 |
| Birmingham | 14 | 5,955,502 | 287,060 | 368,917 | 6.2\% | 57,224 | 0 | 0 | \$16.23 |
| Boise City/Namr | 6 | 2,309,134 | 267,722 | 297,722 | 12.9\% | $(86,279)$ | 50,000 | 0 | \$10.71 |
| Boston | 34 | 13,909,704 | 564,298 | 605,476 | 4.4\% | 248,284 | 0 | 1,254 | \$18.49 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 1 | 369,585 | 3,315 | 3,315 | 0.9\% | $(3,315)$ | 0 | 0 | \$28.00 |
| Broward County | 12 | 5,577,637 | 382,927 | 386,041 | 6.9\% | $(9,993)$ | 0 | 3,960 | \$19.12 |
| Brownsville/Harl | 3 | 1,078,330 | 113,645 | 117,305 | 10.9\% | 1,752 | 0 | 0 | \$18.00 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 4 | 2,263,754 | 525,242 | 525,242 | 23.2\% | 89,367 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Charleston WV | 2 | 805,555 | 34,100 | 34,100 | 4.2\% | $(2,100)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Charleston/ NCF | 5 | 1,560,573 | 49,962 | 87,396 | 5.6\% | 62,842 | 0 | 0 | \$13.69 |
| Charlotte | 20 | 8,935,163 | 461,950 | 498,776 | 5.6\% | 31,720 | 3,733 | 0 | \$21.41 |
| Chattanooga | 3 | 1,150,493 | 25,200 | 25,200 | 2.2\% | 8,800 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Chicago | 76 | 33,523,439 | 3,223,157 | 3,470,586 | 10.4\% | 453,160 | 0 | 0 | \$19.13 |
| Cincinnati | 15 | 6,204,456 | 727,590 | 882,151 | 14.2\% | $(170,614)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.10 |
| Cleveland | 28 | 12,856,368 | 997,208 | 1,034,346 | 8.0\% | $(69,394)$ | 11,584 | 0 | \$14.87 |
| Colorado Spring | 10 | 3,986,723 | 313,226 | 343,744 | 8.6\% | 356,135 | 336,644 | 0 | \$13.24 |
| Columbia | 5 | 2,038,293 | 154,303 | 154,303 | 7.6\% | 26,715 | 0 | 0 | \$7.70 |
| Columbus | 21 | 8,334,931 | 734,553 | 735,753 | 8.8\% | 66,194 | 0 | 0 | \$10.49 |
| Columbus GA | 3 | 1,911,651 | 21,523 | 21,523 | 1.1\% | 35,949 | 0 | 0 | \$18.92 |
| Corpus Christi | 2 | 541,315 | 4,551 | 4,551 | 0.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$9.28 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 71 | 26,165,756 | 1,970,010 | 2,012,814 | 7.7\% | 264,563 | 76,384 | 497,955 | \$19.57 |
| Davenport/Moli | 5 | 1,695,062 | 13,965 | 13,965 | 0.8\% | $(1,100)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.00 |
| Dayton | 9 | 3,366,147 | 119,683 | 189,730 | 5.6\% | 26,662 | 0 | 0 | \$11.53 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 4 | 1,079,669 | 50,447 | 73,947 | 6.8\% | 320,051 | 367,498 | 0 | \$25.00 |
| Denver | 45 | 18,827,486 | 1,370,161 | 1,409,532 | 7.5\% | 273,987 | 10,129 | 7,028 | \$17.67 |
| Des Moines | 2 | 842,484 | 50,959 | 50,959 | 6.0\% | 2,111 | 5,528 | 0 | \$14.50 |
| Detroit | 47 | 18,361,425 | 1,100,674 | 1,222,514 | 6.7\% | 277,195 | 158,640 | 0 | \$15.17 |
| Duluth | 1 | 376,424 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1,253 | 0 | 0 | \$18.00 |
| East Bay/Oaklan | 20 | 7,961,807 | 394,120 | 515,431 | 6.5\% | 117,605 | 0 | 0 | \$28.45 |
| El Paso | 4 | 1,898,435 | 66,500 | 66,500 | 3.5\% | 13,490 | 0 | 0 | \$20.23 |
| Erie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Evansville | 1 | 530,000 | 3,511 | 3,511 | 0.7\% | $(3,511)$ | 0 | 0 | \$19.00 |
| Fayetteville | 1 | 190,014 | 11,700 | 11,700 | 6.2\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$15.00 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 6 | 2,230,311 | 158,337 | 163,937 | 7.4\% | 44,757 | 0 | 0 | \$11.23 |
| Fort Smith | 1 | 303,717 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fort Wayne | 7 | 2,474,176 | 203,738 | 203,738 | 8.2\% | 22,917 | 0 | 0 | \$15.43 |
| Fresno | 6 | 1,723,305 | 151,061 | 151,061 | 8.8\% | $(3,320)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.88 |
| Green Bay | 2 | 641,123 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0.8\% | 49,725 | 0 | 0 | \$10.90 |

[^5]| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | QuotedRates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 7 | 2,712,914 | 73,869 | 73,869 | 2.7\% | 56,961 | 0 | 0 | \$15.54 |
| Greenville/Spart | 6 | 2,479,737 | 148,501 | 148,501 | 6.0\% | 3,613 | 0 | 0 | \$17.71 |
| Hampton Roads | 17 | 7,148,229 | 209,816 | 216,790 | 3.0\% | 200,213 | 0 | 12,192 | \$19.58 |
| Hartford | 17 | 6,043,409 | 314,877 | 362,167 | 6.0\% | 179,415 | 145,777 | 0 | \$13.65 |
| Hawaii | 3 | 1,201,008 | 1,100 | 63,735 | 5.3\% | 17,114 | 0 | 0 | \$40.64 |
| Houston | 48 | 17,302,377 | 903,309 | 971,953 | 5.6\% | 188,766 | 27,689 | 0 | \$17.82 |
| Huntington/Ash | 1 | 159,357 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Huntsville | 6 | 2,182,594 | 192,498 | 207,841 | 9.5\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$13.89 |
| Indianapolis | 23 | 9,773,492 | 742,594 | 748,133 | 7.7\% | 156,273 | 0 | 0 | \$15.35 |
| Inland Empire (C | 42 | 17,830,594 | 1,356,983 | 1,436,284 | 8.1\% | 195,864 | 0 | 0 | \$18.66 |
| Jackson | 4 | 2,000,958 | 20,568 | 20,568 | 1.0\% | 408 | 0 | 0 | \$17.92 |
| Jacksonville (Flo) | 6 | 2,264,747 | 379,033 | 379,033 | 16.7\% | 26,287 | 0 | 0 | \$10.95 |
| Kansas City | 23 | 10,627,045 | 553,315 | 581,815 | 5.5\% | 103,011 | 7,441 | 124,015 | \$16.33 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 4 | 1,037,739 | 43,375 | 43,375 | 4.2\% | 7,304 | 0 | 0 | \$23.00 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 2 | 560,368 | 17,119 | 17,119 | 3.1\% | 616 | 0 | 0 | \$12.17 |
| Knoxville | 9 | 3,695,809 | 190,737 | 220,548 | 6.0\% | $(12,200)$ | 9,948 | 0 | \$15.47 |
| Lafayette | 1 | 438,410 | 37,705 | 72,143 | 16.5\% | $(19,960)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.81 |
| Las Vegas | 27 | 12,813,482 | 943,757 | 998,319 | 7.8\% | $(24,799)$ | 0 | 0 | \$22.02 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 4 | 2,369,297 | 120,585 | 153,819 | 6.5\% | 14,039 | 0 | 0 | \$14.70 |
| Lincoln | 2 | 1,229,795 | 29,868 | 29,868 | 2.4\% | 58,500 | 55,000 | 0 | \$14.96 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 9 | 2,477,695 | 305,767 | 305,767 | 12.3\% | $(57,465)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.97 |
| Long Island ( Ne | 27 | 10,527,772 | 289,300 | 433,447 | 4.1\% | 668,531 | 603,148 | 0 | \$17.40 |
| Los Angeles | 54 | 22,892,380 | 1,145,236 | 1,298,355 | 5.7\% | 193,273 | 0 | 0 | \$30.68 |
| Louisville | 3 | 1,278,713 | 98,607 | 132,349 | 10.4\% | 14,500 | 0 | 0 | \$21.84 |
| Lubbock | 3 | 940,246 | 58,740 | 58,740 | 6.2\% | 31,907 | 0 | 0 | \$16.49 |
| Madison | 5 | 1,822,090 | 89,699 | 89,699 | 4.9\% | 342 | 0 | 0 | \$13.04 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 4 | 1,512,811 | 63,987 | 63,987 | 4.2\% | 16,046 | 0 | 0 | \$19.04 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 3 | 1,596,064 | 346,447 | 346,447 | 21.7\% | 16,528 | 0 | 0 | \$23.67 |
| Memphis | 16 | 5,016,652 | 708,154 | 767,824 | 15.3\% | 166,752 | 101,912 | 47,625 | \$10.51 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 10 | 3,124,640 | 149,988 | 149,988 | 4.8\% | 37,665 | 0 | 0 | \$38.02 |
| Milwaukee | 12 | 4,108,701 | 307,461 | 361,357 | 8.8\% | 49,345 | 23,000 | 0 | \$18.71 |
| Minneapolis | 24 | 10,323,050 | 261,645 | 261,645 | 2.5\% | 74,888 | 14,446 | 0 | \$20.11 |
| Mobile | 3 | 1,790,376 | 149,482 | 194,504 | 10.9\% | 8,023 | 0 | 0 | \$8.04 |
| Montgomery | 6 | 2,056,849 | 244,625 | 268,125 | 13.0\% | $(65,996)$ | 0 | 0 | \$6.21 |
| Myrtle Beach/C, | 2 | 635,073 | 11,439 | 11,439 | 1.8\% | $(3,000)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Nashville | 17 | 7,357,198 | 233,823 | 236,690 | 3.2\% | 270,043 | 303,900 | 37,000 | \$19.07 |
| New Orleans/M | 4 | 1,533,879 | 42,098 | 70,388 | 4.6\% | 600 | 0 | 0 | \$21.00 |
| New York City | 2 | 553,057 | 4,196 | 4,196 | 0.8\% | $(4,196)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Northern New Je | 63 | 25,147,634 | 1,071,484 | 1,362,767 | 5.4\% | 137,076 | 6,079 | 0 | \$24.13 |
| Ocala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Oklahoma City | 7 | 3,076,189 | 75,057 | 75,057 | 2.4\% | 42,610 | 3,959 | 0 | \$15.49 |
| Olympia | 1 | 638,238 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Omaha/Council | 10 | 4,428,255 | 318,756 | 318,756 | 7.2\% | $(65,356)$ | 0 | 3,765 | \$15.30 |
| Orange (Califorr | 24 | 9,269,974 | 568,401 | 719,149 | 7.8\% | 21,660 | 0 | 0 | \$23.00 |
| Orlando | 25 | 9,839,911 | 745,966 | 851,441 | 8.7\% | 144,030 | 50,000 | 0 | \$15.71 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 11 | 3,473,558 | 320,538 | 324,538 | 9.3\% | 22,732 | 0 | 0 | \$20.90 |
| Pensacola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Peoria | 2 | 1,029,035 | 1,553 | 1,553 | 0.2\% | $(1,553)$ | 0 | 0 | \$22.00 |

Source: CoStar Property ®

Power Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 81 | 33,570,522 | 2,181,259 | 2,192,393 | 6.5\% | 314,161 | 0 | 797,400 | \$15.23 |
| Phoenix | 51 | 22,922,982 | 2,269,165 | 2,276,859 | 9.9\% | 111,464 | 0 | 0 | \$22.21 |
| Pittsburgh | 28 | 11,416,950 | 646,630 | 675,748 | 5.9\% | 105,713 | 0 | 0 | \$14.93 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 5 | 2,105,308 | 146,861 | 146,861 | 7.0\% | 26,242 | 0 | 0 | \$20.46 |
| Portland | 14 | 6,644,045 | 370,632 | 380,395 | 5.7\% | 22,282 | 0 | 0 | \$18.21 |
| Portland/South | 1 | 274,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Providence | 5 | 1,951,047 | 27,995 | 27,995 | 1.4\% | 115,433 | 121,324 | 0 | \$9.18 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 18 | 7,301,574 | 360,706 | 419,445 | 5.7\% | 28,677 | 11,500 | 0 | \$17.79 |
| Reno/Sparks | 3 | 1,312,347 | 254,874 | 254,874 | 19.4\% | $(4,881)$ | 0 | 0 | \$25.96 |
| Richmond VA | 10 | 3,678,697 | 136,748 | 136,748 | 3.7\% | 6,591 | 0 | 0 | \$18.37 |
| Roanoke | 2 | 650,514 | 22,641 | 22,641 | 3.5\% | 3,342 | 0 | 0 | \$11.71 |
| Rochester | 7 | 3,687,758 | 290,945 | 299,401 | 8.1\% | $(4,956)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.02 |
| Sacramento | 34 | 13,565,522 | 902,345 | 1,218,259 | 9.0\% | 104,169 | 109,450 | 5,500 | \$24.07 |
| Salinas | 6 | 2,179,654 | 104,905 | 117,905 | 5.4\% | $(36,906)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.48 |
| Salt Lake City | 10 | 4,257,156 | 197,714 | 197,714 | 4.6\% | 31,366 | 0 | 0 | \$20.81 |
| San Antonio | 18 | 9,204,354 | 737,917 | 737,917 | 8.0\% | 19,625 | 0 | 0 | \$23.87 |
| San Diego | 22 | 9,624,668 | 509,154 | 620,950 | 6.5\% | $(41,483)$ | 4,343 | 0 | \$23.93 |
| San Francisco | 6 | 2,051,672 | 78,409 | 78,409 | 3.8\% | $(8,153)$ | 0 | 0 | \$30.10 |
| San Luis Obispo | 4 | 1,175,160 | 86,427 | 86,427 | 7.4\% | $(9,589)$ | 0 | 0 | \$27.91 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 2 | 959,167 | 58,668 | 58,668 | 6.1\% | 28,360 | 0 | 0 | \$24.00 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 1 | 285,075 | 10,746 | 10,746 | 3.8\% | $(8,233)$ | 0 | 0 | \$21.97 |
| Savannah | 1 | 433,196 | 9,335 | 9,335 | 2.2\% | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | \$25.13 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 14 | 4,776,011 | 288,535 | 288,535 | 6.0\% | 16,703 | 0 | 0 | \$19.75 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 3 | 1,272,152 | 25,200 | 25,200 | 2.0\% | $(13,001)$ | 0 | 0 | \$13.20 |
| South Bay/San J | 7 | 2,766,884 | 436,630 | 436,630 | 15.8\% | $(37,403)$ | 13,000 | 0 | \$22.51 |
| South Bend/Mis | 4 | 2,259,182 | 78,910 | 78,910 | 3.5\% | 2,856 | 0 | 0 | \$12.40 |
| Southwest Floric | 19 | 4,957,614 | 549,240 | 733,421 | 14.8\% | $(93,746)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.78 |
| Spokane | 3 | 991,483 | 97,691 | 97,691 | 9.9\% | $(1,250)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.55 |
| Springfield | 1 | 359,884 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0.8\% | 19,460 | 0 | 0 | \$16.79 |
| St. Louis | 19 | 7,793,575 | 527,697 | 545,265 | 7.0\% | 120 | 0 | 0 | \$16.38 |
| Stockton/Mode: | 10 | 6,113,067 | 202,899 | 223,563 | 3.7\% | 14,398 | 0 | 0 | \$16.01 |
| Syracuse | 6 | 2,582,524 | 134,770 | 134,770 | 5.2\% | $(48,810)$ | 6,900 | 0 | \$6.18 |
| Tallahassee | 1 | 117,756 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 31 | 10,482,783 | 749,379 | 802,779 | 7.7\% | 144,994 | 204,341 | 80,000 | \$16.32 |
| Toledo | 8 | 2,828,933 | 159,670 | 159,670 | 5.6\% | 71,052 | 0 | 0 | \$13.41 |
| Tucson | 11 | 3,624,112 | 454,722 | 454,722 | 12.5\% | 855 | 0 | 8,130 | \$24.72 |
| Tulsa | 8 | 2,542,346 | 168,235 | 169,685 | 6.7\% | $(7,271)$ | 0 | 0 | \$7.14 |
| Utica/Rome | 3 | 1,813,606 | 56,974 | 84,974 | 4.7\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$11.75 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 1 | 286,073 | 146,087 | 155,087 | 54.2\% | $(9,856)$ | 0 | 0 | \$8.56 |
| Washington | 38 | 20,900,536 | 729,725 | 734,368 | 3.5\% | 642,944 | 684,617 | 0 | \$27.23 |
| West Michigan | 20 | 7,651,085 | 413,221 | 419,721 | 5.5\% | 101,539 | 0 | 0 | \$12.39 |
| Westchester/So | 11 | 5,142,812 | 350,132 | 350,132 | 6.8\% | $(19,709)$ | 0 | 0 | \$21.69 |
| Wichita | 1 | 346,557 | 33,703 | 33,703 | 9.7\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$17.70 |
| Wilmington | 2 | 669,989 | 101,460 | 101,460 | 15.1\% | 10,737 | 0 | 0 | \$22.00 |
| Yakima | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Youngstown/W | 6 | 2,328,498 | 40,926 | 139,340 | 6.0\% | 4,600 | 0 | 0 | \$11.92 |
| Totals | 1,787 | 733,906,372 | 47,811,878 | 51,819,784 | 7.1\% | 7,194,953 | 3,550,793 | 1,742,830 | \$17.73 |

[^6]Shopping Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 331 | 18,388,196 | 1,508,209 | 1,511,479 | 8.2\% | 27,667 | 37,826 | 20,000 | \$11.84 |
| Albuquerque | 351 | 17,488,498 | 1,927,615 | 1,972,417 | 11.3\% | 120,124 | 38,620 | 34,863 | \$14.67 |
| Anchorage | 98 | 5,107,520 | 301,791 | 310,400 | 6.1\% | $(2,397)$ | 0 | 0 | \$19.04 |
| Asheville | 147 | 6,768,558 | 633,858 | 666,818 | 9.9\% | $(16,495)$ | 75,481 | 12,000 | \$14.38 |
| Atlanta | 2,922 | 137,556,710 | 20,066,440 | 20,628,165 | 15.0\% | $(523,291)$ | 65,225 | 356,673 | \$13.57 |
| Augusta/Richme | 199 | 11,956,800 | 1,602,515 | 1,622,652 | 13.6\% | 69,152 | 12,360 | 0 | \$10.71 |
| Austin | 556 | 26,835,483 | 2,859,020 | 2,884,202 | 10.7\% | $(4,971)$ | 1,900 | 105,875 | \$18.21 |
| Bakersfield | 148 | 8,916,434 | 664,353 | 664,353 | 7.5\% | 76,746 | 0 | 35,000 | \$15.32 |
| Baltimore | 783 | 46,362,448 | 3,872,365 | 3,987,259 | 8.6\% | 244,888 | 29,700 | 144,398 | \$18.63 |
| Baton Rouge | 251 | 11,045,385 | 1,271,457 | 1,277,483 | 11.6\% | $(54,002)$ | 57,612 | 0 | \$10.45 |
| Beaumont/Port | 172 | 7,586,462 | 482,936 | 501,185 | 6.6\% | 63,710 | 0 | 0 | \$9.17 |
| Birmingham | 567 | 27,028,308 | 3,529,664 | 3,617,394 | 13.4\% | 23,546 | 0 | 0 | \$9.42 |
| Boise City/Namf | 295 | 12,250,256 | 1,517,875 | 1,519,202 | 12.4\% | 257,927 | 81,764 | 14,000 | \$12.27 |
| Boston | 1,553 | 85,856,928 | 6,177,386 | 6,513,127 | 7.6\% | 394,101 | 292,310 | 135,000 | \$15.70 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 81 | 4,128,216 | 422,537 | 548,843 | 13.3\% | 3,881 | 0 | 0 | \$11.46 |
| Broward County | 1,081 | 49,623,977 | 4,820,453 | 4,994,984 | 10.1\% | 341,398 | 23,120 | 7,100 | \$17.74 |
| Brownsville/Harl | 49 | 2,693,556 | 236,035 | 236,035 | 8.8\% | 18,686 | 0 | 0 | \$11.29 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 240 | 17,471,552 | 2,331,718 | 2,380,283 | 13.6\% | $(31,448)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.97 |
| Charleston WV | 32 | 2,137,049 | 197,539 | 197,539 | 9.2\% | 2,875 | 0 | 0 | \$9.34 |
| Charleston/ NCF | 262 | 12,922,009 | 1,394,870 | 1,397,741 | 10.8\% | 63,871 | 166,032 | 0 | \$14.68 |
| Charlotte | 1,023 | 51,715,229 | 6,191,437 | 6,263,835 | 12.1\% | 147,826 | 25,800 | 26,700 | \$13.10 |
| Chattanooga | 140 | 8,760,090 | 576,946 | 585,396 | 6.7\% | 160,832 | 0 | 0 | \$9.51 |
| Chicago | 3,785 | 163,409,693 | 19,882,371 | 20,270,627 | 12.4\% | $(640,904)$ | 121,492 | 153,950 | \$16.19 |
| Cincinnati | 724 | 34,249,968 | 4,366,913 | 4,460,505 | 13.0\% | $(33,234)$ | 0 | 9,600 | \$11.09 |
| Cleveland | 965 | 51,045,658 | 5,778,949 | 6,370,973 | 12.5\% | $(39,997)$ | 106,032 | 20,000 | \$11.49 |
| Colorado Spring | 316 | 15,327,984 | 1,415,792 | 1,517,528 | 9.9\% | 140,673 | 16,250 | 209,246 | \$11.97 |
| Columbia | 294 | 15,040,419 | 1,175,402 | 1,260,672 | 8.4\% | 181,699 | 29,841 | 0 | \$11.19 |
| Columbus | 537 | 28,451,107 | 3,838,372 | 3,937,870 | 13.8\% | 220,714 | 59,102 | 180,964 | \$12.01 |
| Columbus GA | 79 | 4,834,387 | 322,521 | 338,925 | 7.0\% | 27,726 | 0 | 0 | \$9.66 |
| Corpus Christi | 188 | 6,806,303 | 564,255 | 566,846 | 8.3\% | 96,681 | 0 | 13,000 | \$14.31 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 3,433 | 151,307,708 | 19,597,029 | 20,078,227 | 13.3\% | $(737,267)$ | 298,822 | 271,677 | \$13.22 |
| Davenport/Moli | 91 | 4,572,894 | 462,235 | 565,985 | 12.4\% | 8,615 | 0 | 0 | \$8.10 |
| Dayton | 319 | 21,380,694 | 4,046,834 | 4,120,006 | 19.3\% | 121,519 | 24,000 | 0 | \$9.48 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 270 | 11,948,860 | 1,130,756 | 1,130,756 | 9.5\% | $(30,650)$ | 24,720 | 0 | \$13.50 |
| Denver | 1,330 | 69,030,538 | 7,024,618 | 7,119,087 | 10.3\% | 544,200 | 233,156 | 258,036 | \$14.47 |
| Des Moines | 227 | 9,630,807 | 916,594 | 936,758 | 9.7\% | $(7,879)$ | 13,200 | 0 | \$11.42 |
| Detroit | 1,621 | 69,943,637 | 10,739,554 | 11,332,039 | 16.2\% | 232,932 | 269,756 | 60,195 | \$12.63 |
| Duluth | 39 | 2,535,068 | 102,333 | 102,333 | 4.0\% | 21,902 | 0 | 0 | \$7.90 |
| East Bay/Oaklar | 839 | 42,444,642 | 3,085,990 | 3,169,101 | 7.5\% | $(14,315)$ | 37,509 | 39,078 | \$23.52 |
| El Paso | 594 | 16,861,914 | 1,886,825 | 1,923,604 | 11.4\% | $(152,038)$ | 61,590 | 103,380 | \$11.52 |
| Erie | 26 | 1,277,783 | 141,172 | 144,930 | 11.3\% | 17,872 | 0 | 0 | \$8.80 |
| Evansville | 123 | 6,696,729 | 1,077,858 | 1,110,384 | 16.6\% | 5,257 | 0 | 0 | \$8.98 |
| Fayetteville | 192 | 8,846,372 | 785,597 | 881,091 | 10.0\% | 315,383 | 165,219 | 38,300 | \$9.67 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 182 | 4,632,765 | 618,961 | 627,361 | 13.5\% | $(73,381)$ | 15,750 | 0 | \$14.10 |
| Fort Smith | 85 | 3,036,905 | 250,415 | 251,615 | 8.3\% | 15,433 | 0 | 0 | \$7.00 |
| Fort Wayne | 157 | 8,211,735 | 1,075,749 | 1,141,749 | 13.9\% | 182,738 | 23,485 | 0 | \$10.39 |
| Fresno | 437 | 24,701,286 | 2,364,805 | 2,686,388 | 10.9\% | 48,749 | 0 | 0 | \$13.64 |
| Green Bay | 175 | 6,877,183 | 925,657 | 928,857 | 13.5\% | 1,267 | 5,000 | 0 | \$11.10 |

[^7]Shopping Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 745 | 33,901,038 | 3,990,907 | 4,194,598 | 12.4\% | $(117,640)$ | 8,000 | 0 | \$10.46 |
| Greenville/Spart | 921 | 28,912,357 | 3,354,611 | 3,446,276 | 11.9\% | 162,156 | 0 | 46,049 | \$9.71 |
| Hampton Roads | 857 | 42,614,776 | 4,505,448 | 4,583,738 | 10.8\% | 155,383 | 143,901 | 21,625 | \$13.40 |
| Hartford | 939 | 41,422,340 | 3,263,311 | 3,352,592 | 8.1\% | 246,691 | 6,000 | 108,590 | \$13.55 |
| Hawaii | 247 | 17,077,242 | 712,982 | 717,028 | 4.2\% | 107,322 | 92,000 | 0 | \$31.74 |
| Houston | 3,451 | 147,576,702 | 15,799,916 | 16,045,199 | 10.9\% | 1,757,964 | 199,278 | 102,500 | \$14.09 |
| Huntington/Ash | 59 | 4,513,714 | 469,015 | 469,015 | 10.4\% | 33,841 | 0 | 0 | \$12.61 |
| Huntsville | 113 | 5,663,333 | 700,757 | 700,757 | 12.4\% | 33,299 | 0 | 0 | \$10.09 |
| Indianapolis | 770 | 38,649,886 | 4,845,375 | 5,091,167 | 13.2\% | 242,593 | 26,200 | 9,000 | \$11.89 |
| Inland Empire (C | 1,577 | 83,671,812 | 9,485,951 | 9,898,954 | 11.8\% | 771 | 52,782 | 114,871 | \$18.10 |
| Jackson | 245 | 8,489,759 | 909,034 | 909,034 | 10.7\% | $(36,848)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.36 |
| Jacksonville (Floı | 681 | 34,088,982 | 4,482,555 | 4,594,618 | 13.5\% | $(31,313)$ | 148,942 | 17,572 | \$13.81 |
| Kansas City | 680 | 39,215,971 | 5,625,002 | 5,805,318 | 14.8\% | 64,262 | 11,912 | 52,531 | \$11.92 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 119 | 3,482,366 | 461,096 | 466,896 | 13.4\% | 79,102 | 8,400 | 8,400 | \$7.03 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 101 | 4,841,025 | 407,534 | 427,270 | 8.8\% | 37,143 | 0 | 0 | \$8.35 |
| Knoxville | 308 | 16,488,142 | 1,756,763 | 1,911,272 | 11.6\% | $(91,251)$ | 37,010 | 35,081 | \$11.92 |
| Lafayette | 52 | 3,460,145 | 277,325 | 279,222 | 8.1\% | 1,024 | 0 | 0 | \$11.51 |
| Las Vegas | 1,007 | 49,665,543 | 6,886,923 | 7,586,714 | 15.3\% | $(240,801)$ | 160,630 | 42,629 | \$18.29 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 180 | 11,821,587 | 964,370 | 1,028,589 | 8.7\% | 30,332 | 0 | 0 | \$12.09 |
| Lincoln | 108 | 4,589,704 | 368,588 | 393,588 | 8.6\% | 25,293 | 0 | 0 | \$10.71 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 388 | 14,679,820 | 1,225,476 | 1,225,476 | 8.3\% | 68,027 | 50,000 | 0 | \$8.88 |
| Long Island ( Ne ) | 1,047 | 49,336,007 | 2,132,863 | 2,239,273 | 4.5\% | 251,139 | 158,473 | 288,888 | \$24.30 |
| Los Angeles | 4,076 | 143,674,423 | 9,303,330 | 9,756,782 | 6.8\% | 69,010 | 408,991 | 366,252 | \$24.04 |
| Louisville | 479 | 27,171,562 | 3,057,045 | 3,202,316 | 11.8\% | $(344,097)$ | 75,656 | 50,700 | \$11.19 |
| Lubbock | 224 | 6,729,741 | 629,815 | 637,240 | 9.5\% | 62,764 | 0 | 0 | \$10.91 |
| Madison | 236 | 9,725,420 | 914,148 | 993,111 | 10.2\% | 3,324 | 26,728 | 0 | \$13.23 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 310 | 11,308,867 | 855,711 | 861,594 | 7.6\% | $(43,081)$ | 0 | 0 | \$20.45 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 110 | 4,983,389 | 363,098 | 398,764 | 8.0\% | 65,833 | 112,601 | 25,200 | \$15.73 |
| Memphis | 747 | 29,518,759 | 3,614,412 | 3,751,284 | 12.7\% | 252,087 | 25,225 | 116,119 | \$10.96 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 1,315 | 44,091,462 | 2,870,965 | 2,898,504 | 6.6\% | 304,153 | 88,947 | 34,800 | \$22.06 |
| Milwaukee | 698 | 33,627,404 | 3,601,143 | 3,786,045 | 11.3\% | 85,242 | 18,195 | 249,538 | \$12.26 |
| Minneapolis | 1,283 | 53,731,116 | 5,089,381 | 5,189,146 | 9.7\% | 255,233 | 78,471 | 242,000 | \$14.00 |
| Mobile | 217 | 8,748,452 | 968,751 | 1,057,092 | 12.1\% | 11,715 | 0 | 4,548 | \$12.30 |
| Montgomery | 146 | 7,083,853 | 746,205 | 746,205 | 10.5\% | 43,580 | 2,489 | 0 | \$10.04 |
| Myrtle Beach/C, | 151 | 5,707,994 | 547,648 | 557,648 | 9.8\% | $(8,226)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.93 |
| Nashville | 646 | 29,770,683 | 3,063,015 | 3,248,229 | 10.9\% | 110,330 | 58,094 | 60,550 | \$13.98 |
| New Orleans/M | 292 | 16,996,885 | 1,809,665 | 1,866,734 | 11.0\% | $(130,824)$ | 136,640 | 113,038 | \$12.32 |
| New York City | 7 | 949,808 | 7,112 | 7,112 | 0.7\% | $(2,437)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Northern New Jt | 1,792 | 90,870,180 | 7,420,348 | 7,706,971 | 8.5\% | 192,828 | 576,436 | 297,141 | \$19.72 |
| Ocala | 79 | 3,386,316 | 347,207 | 351,707 | 10.4\% | $(24,294)$ | 0 | 20,000 | \$13.59 |
| Oklahoma City | 784 | 28,422,600 | 2,714,026 | 2,747,589 | 9.7\% | 47,910 | 16,035 | 0 | \$9.68 |
| Olympia | 89 | 3,991,106 | 414,880 | 424,715 | 10.6\% | $(60,364)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.32 |
| Omaha/Council | 337 | 15,908,200 | 1,869,948 | 2,002,828 | 12.6\% | 55,325 | 93,677 | 0 | \$11.97 |
| Orange (Califorr | 1,352 | 62,257,417 | 3,888,331 | 4,113,225 | 6.6\% | $(247,687)$ | 3,111 | 18,000 | \$23.42 |
| Orlando | 1,225 | 62,386,331 | 7,228,544 | 7,296,993 | 11.7\% | 180,318 | 92,180 | 202,891 | \$15.92 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 617 | 35,983,983 | 3,947,795 | 3,978,923 | 11.1\% | 100,219 | 15,894 | 20,000 | \$18.07 |
| Pensacola | 187 | 8,703,640 | 873,062 | 883,262 | 10.1\% | 90,952 | 0 | 0 | \$12.63 |
| Peoria | 88 | 4,269,264 | 368,080 | 483,590 | 11.3\% | 37,534 | 0 | 0 | \$9.96 |

[^8]Shopping Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 2,245 | 148,560,592 | 14,481,714 | 14,930,419 | 10.1\% | 377,665 | 423,271 | 291,062 | \$14.51 |
| Phoenix | 1,780 | 102,754,686 | 15,520,951 | 15,859,569 | 15.4\% | $(853,012)$ | 225,251 | 35,817 | \$15.46 |
| Pittsburgh | 501 | 32,690,029 | 2,469,850 | 2,627,449 | 8.0\% | 326,193 | 31,050 | 161,850 | \$10.93 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 235 | 9,690,123 | 1,035,845 | 1,039,845 | 10.7\% | 105,402 | 181,715 | 21,800 | \$15.79 |
| Portland | 711 | 34,409,281 | 2,836,638 | 2,886,910 | 8.4\% | 41,706 | 104,640 | 7,650 | \$18.42 |
| Portland/South | 122 | 9,667,105 | 500,726 | 510,912 | 5.3\% | $(65,896)$ | 3,800 | 18,000 | \$13.55 |
| Providence | 343 | 14,207,937 | 1,169,558 | 1,425,981 | 10.0\% | 26,959 | 0 | 86,100 | \$17.13 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 498 | 37,743,715 | 3,409,016 | 3,475,040 | 9.2\% | 80,018 | 11,440 | 223,755 | \$15.11 |
| Reno/Sparks | 158 | 10,787,734 | 1,582,267 | 1,639,976 | 15.2\% | $(53,155)$ | 8,000 | 7,782 | \$16.31 |
| Richmond VA | 544 | 28,802,573 | 3,358,515 | 3,411,408 | 11.8\% | 52,183 | 86,750 | 39,488 | \$14.14 |
| Roanoke | 51 | 3,509,496 | 393,734 | 393,734 | 11.2\% | 2,401 | 0 | 0 | \$12.12 |
| Rochester | 257 | 18,533,923 | 2,083,548 | 2,163,419 | 11.7\% | $(154,040)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.80 |
| Sacramento | 1,120 | 48,302,051 | 6,295,624 | 6,740,163 | 14.0\% | 128,753 | 73,532 | 0 | \$18.24 |
| Salinas | 63 | 3,882,714 | 215,779 | 215,779 | 5.6\% | 20,012 | 0 | 0 | \$18.43 |
| Salt Lake City | 876 | 41,413,683 | 3,268,705 | 3,330,387 | 8.0\% | 463,545 | 195,505 | 9,275 | \$14.39 |
| San Antonio | 1,179 | 42,270,961 | 4,303,868 | 4,512,984 | 10.7\% | 470,223 | 193,417 | 303,345 | \$14.72 |
| San Diego | 1,203 | 55,562,715 | 4,028,616 | 4,233,107 | 7.6\% | 108,747 | 38,170 | 70,916 | \$21.80 |
| San Francisco | 291 | 9,966,713 | 496,919 | 504,469 | 5.1\% | 5,878 | 0 | 12,349 | \$29.03 |
| San Luis Obispo | 73 | 4,234,530 | 153,825 | 157,200 | 3.7\% | $(14,021)$ | 8,114 | 140,000 | \$23.17 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 168 | 6,862,449 | 409,430 | 448,676 | 6.5\% | 14,800 | 15,000 | 0 | \$19.73 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 119 | 4,051,775 | 176,855 | 180,935 | 4.5\% | 25,587 | 71,414 | 0 | \$20.01 |
| Savannah | 148 | 6,411,961 | 501,307 | 561,307 | 8.8\% | 33,084 | 42,128 | 0 | \$14.58 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 1,298 | 55,760,050 | 5,173,424 | 5,529,513 | 9.9\% | $(223,279)$ | 66,307 | 26,298 | \$18.37 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 176 | 7,400,360 | 628,292 | 639,292 | 8.6\% | 40,402 | 0 | 0 | \$11.90 |
| South Bay/San J | 768 | 31,497,941 | 1,967,024 | 2,174,105 | 6.9\% | 45,588 | 234,616 | 29,325 | \$27.17 |
| South Bend/Mis | 65 | 3,787,319 | 416,547 | 416,547 | 11.0\% | 14,477 | 0 | 0 | \$10.98 |
| Southwest Floric | 827 | 33,355,773 | 4,116,758 | 4,201,501 | 12.6\% | 211,385 | 60,721 | 124,826 | \$14.04 |
| Spokane | 216 | 9,140,678 | 842,483 | 934,187 | 10.2\% | 125,204 | 134,400 | 17,000 | \$12.69 |
| Springfield | 179 | 5,728,852 | 635,283 | 635,283 | 11.1\% | $(5,499)$ | 0 | 300,000 | \$11.06 |
| St. Louis | 1,011 | 51,852,007 | 5,679,630 | 5,768,377 | 11.1\% | 465,994 | 21,799 | 0 | \$12.73 |
| Stockton/Mode: | 349 | 19,258,662 | 1,907,372 | 2,066,675 | 10.7\% | $(171,658)$ | 13,137 | 0 | \$16.63 |
| Syracuse | 149 | 11,232,324 | 1,171,980 | 1,230,156 | 11.0\% | 50,833 | 0 | 0 | \$10.09 |
| Tallahassee | 197 | 6,973,877 | 882,538 | 921,142 | 13.2\% | $(104,412)$ | 0 | 4,985 | \$12.26 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 1,971 | 86,186,262 | 9,148,202 | 9,626,258 | 11.2\% | 98,247 | 112,300 | 0 | \$13.88 |
| Toledo | 432 | 16,981,010 | 2,396,984 | 2,708,229 | 15.9\% | $(17,771)$ | 8,200 | 11,200 | \$9.60 |
| Tucson | 513 | 22,369,890 | 2,430,301 | 2,439,259 | 10.9\% | 63,738 | 143,576 | 0 | \$15.84 |
| Tulsa | 670 | 24,585,121 | 2,504,889 | 2,556,701 | 10.4\% | 239,309 | 0 | 0 | \$10.60 |
| Utica/Rome | 58 | 4,097,948 | 369,636 | 373,136 | 9.1\% | 21,505 | 0 | 0 | \$8.41 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 73 | 5,384,575 | 568,651 | 578,737 | 10.7\% | 6,431 | 0 | 0 | \$14.45 |
| Washington | 1,185 | 81,185,301 | 6,118,966 | 6,364,445 | 7.8\% | $(6,929)$ | 35,720 | 397,811 | \$22.12 |
| West Michigan | 992 | 31,651,612 | 5,497,182 | 5,607,941 | 17.7\% | $(205,280)$ | 54,200 | 0 | \$9.72 |
| Westchester/So | 1,019 | 52,348,706 | 3,337,431 | 3,448,287 | 6.6\% | 266,686 | 141,000 | 30,000 | \$19.22 |
| Wichita | 247 | 9,712,850 | 1,239,971 | 1,259,411 | 13.0\% | $(107,691)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.88 |
| Wilmington | 279 | 9,077,562 | 825,883 | 862,286 | 9.5\% | $(50,095)$ | 0 | 386,119 | \$14.60 |
| Yakima | 50 | 1,613,254 | 190,286 | 190,286 | 11.8\% | 30,367 | 0 | 0 | \$10.30 |
| Youngstown/W | 306 | 10,791,823 | 1,642,579 | 1,645,099 | 15.2\% | 5,625 | 0 | 0 | \$8.33 |
| Totals | 86,106 | 4,056,154,312 | 423,689,625 | 438,995,734 | 10.8\% | 7,660,366 | 8,084,745 | 7,674,301 | \$14.76 |

Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\circledR}$

Specialty Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 5 | 480,043 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Albuquerque | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Anchorage | 1 | 36,056 | 5,316 | 5,316 | 14.7\% | (59) | 0 | 0 | \$16.55 |
| Asheville | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Atlanta | 16 | 3,628,303 | 141,218 | 156,218 | 4.3\% | $(24,890)$ | 0 | 0 | \$22.33 |
| Augusta/Richme | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Austin | 4 | 1,486,814 | 118,486 | 118,486 | 8.0\% | 44,469 | 0 | 0 | \$20.32 |
| Bakersfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Baltimore | 3 | 991,666 | 82,678 | 82,678 | 8.3\% | $(41,850)$ | 0 | 0 | \$26.02 |
| Baton Rouge | 2 | 453,150 | 23,485 | 23,485 | 5.2\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$20.29 |
| Beaumont/Port | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Birmingham | 2 | 214,043 | 29,628 | 34,066 | 15.9\% | 500 | 0 | 330,000 | \$15.00 |
| Boise City/Namp | 4 | 551,347 | 69,050 | 69,050 | 12.5\% | (362) | 0 | 0 | \$13.22 |
| Boston | 7 | 1,556,991 | 71,261 | 71,261 | 4.6\% | 4,770 | 0 | 0 | \$20.81 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Broward County | 1 | 167,180 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6,386 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Brownsville/Harl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 2 | 761,761 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 5.3\% | 3,762 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Charleston WV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Charleston/ NCF | 2 | 576,075 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$23.88 |
| Charlotte | 4 | 812,263 | 31,313 | 31,313 | 3.9\% | $(15,733)$ | 0 | 0 | \$14.52 |
| Chattanooga | 1 | 157,080 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Chicago | 10 | 3,128,459 | 41,262 | 41,262 | 1.3\% | $(1,237)$ | 0 | 5,500 | \$25.00 |
| Cincinnati | 4 | 535,987 | 53,162 | 53,162 | 9.9\% | 11,300 | 0 | 0 | \$6.00 |
| Cleveland | 6 | 1,187,275 | 49,657 | 53,457 | 4.5\% | $(2,111)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.16 |
| Colorado Spring | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Columbia | 1 | 158,930 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Columbus | 1 | 182,521 | 120,167 | 120,167 | 65.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Columbus GA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Corpus Christi | 1 | 33,566 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 16 | 1,772,427 | 99,685 | 99,685 | 5.6\% | 4,597 | 0 | 0 | \$31.61 |
| Davenport/Moli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Dayton | 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 1 | 110,248 | 7,701 | 7,701 | 7.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$40.00 |
| Denver | 7 | 1,682,084 | 80,844 | 105,957 | 6.3\% | 20,629 | 0 | 0 | \$27.02 |
| Des Moines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Detroit | 4 | 967,464 | 74,418 | 74,418 | 7.7\% | 39,913 | 0 | 0 | \$15.00 |
| Duluth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| East Bay/Oaklar | 1 | 179,348 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| El Paso | 3 | 559,909 | 5,248 | 5,248 | 0.9\% | 4,491 | 0 | 0 | \$22.00 |
| Erie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Evansville | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fayetteville | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fort Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fort Wayne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Fresno | 5 | 222,112 | 20,062 | 20,062 | 9.0\% | 60,202 | 45,000 | 40,756 | \$18.33 |
| Green Bay | 2 | 336,326 | 38,130 | 38,130 | 11.3\% | $(2,400)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.32 |

Source: CoStar Property®

Specialty Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD <br> Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 2 | 878,554 | 23,799 | 23,799 | 2.7\% | $(4,581)$ | 0 | 317,000 | \$29.00 |
| Greenville/Spart | 2 | 348,386 | 4,066 | 4,066 | 1.2\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$7.38 |
| Hampton Roads | 4 | 1,101,386 | 13,169 | 13,169 | 1.2\% | 12 | 0 | 0 | \$31.04 |
| Hartford | 8 | 1,593,769 | 433,000 | 433,000 | 27.2\% | 42,600 | 0 | 0 | \$17.35 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 435,529 | 65,783 | 73,597 | 16.9\% | $(27,962)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Houston | 17 | 3,551,259 | 392,653 | 392,653 | 11.1\% | $(87,531)$ | 0 | 0 | \$31.37 |
| Huntington/Ash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Huntsville | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Indianapolis | 1 | 167,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Inland Empire (C | 18 | 2,872,329 | 242,156 | 242,156 | 8.4\% | $(39,376)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.18 |
| Jackson | 1 | 200,572 | 25,104 | 25,104 | 12.5\% | 12,352 | 0 | 0 | \$25.00 |
| Jacksonville (Flo) | 2 | 578,689 | 4,312 | 4,312 | 0.7\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$19.83 |
| Kansas City | 3 | 816,744 | 83,336 | 103,746 | 12.7\% | $(3,748)$ | 0 | 0 | \$19.12 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 2 | 205,908 | 10,975 | 10,975 | 5.3\% | 69,025 | 0 | 0 | \$4.00 |
| Knoxville | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Lafayette | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Las Vegas | 11 | 2,234,117 | 116,087 | 116,087 | 5.2\% | 7,242 | 0 | 0 | \$15.01 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 2 | 270,360 | 23,095 | 23,095 | 8.5\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$12.00 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Long Island (Ne) | 9 | 1,708,215 | 172,645 | 172,645 | 10.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$16.34 |
| Los Angeles | 17 | 3,730,507 | 192,732 | 193,311 | 5.2\% | $(90,381)$ | 0 | 142,065 | \$22.26 |
| Louisville | 4 | 1,488,432 | 453,409 | 453,409 | 30.5\% | $(176,465)$ | 0 | 0 | \$7.75 |
| Lubbock | 2 | 152,984 | 3,216 | 3,216 | 2.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$9.50 |
| Madison | 1 | 454,829 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 1 | 377,382 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 2 | 280,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Memphis | 7 | 1,269,670 | 307,728 | 307,728 | 24.2\% | $(4,803)$ | 0 | 0 | \$15.95 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 6 | 829,237 | 87,757 | 87,757 | 10.6\% | 4,936 | 0 | 0 | \$33.10 |
| Milwaukee | 2 | 353,479 | 31,000 | 31,000 | 8.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$12.00 |
| Minneapolis | 5 | 1,086,580 | 29,870 | 54,433 | 5.0\% | 6,900 | 0 | 0 | \$17.00 |
| Mobile | 2 | 562,080 | 3,820 | 3,820 | 0.7\% | $(2,220)$ | 0 | 0 | \$24.00 |
| Montgomery | 1 | 140,000 | 22,811 | 22,811 | 16.3\% | 1,975 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Myrtle Beach/C, | 2 | 428,437 | 3,008 | 3,008 | 0.7\% | 4,726 | 0 | 0 | \$17.89 |
| Nashville | 6 | 827,996 | 81,898 | 81,898 | 9.9\% | 9,117 | 0 | 0 | \$4.82 |
| New Orleans/M | 3 | 377,761 | 58,450 | 58,450 | 15.5\% | 13,813 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| New York City | 2 | 331,000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Northern New Jt | 14 | 2,628,457 | 69,107 | 69,107 | 2.6\% | 9,675 | 0 | 0 | \$22.02 |
| Ocala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Oklahoma City | 2 | 64,387 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 52.8\% | $(34,000)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Olympia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Omaha/Council | 1 | 197,863 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 31.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Orange (Califorr | 13 | 1,737,136 | 291,826 | 291,826 | 16.8\% | 8,797 | 0 | 0 | \$47.38 |
| Orlando | 16 | 3,801,870 | 237,986 | 237,986 | 6.3\% | $(17,463)$ | 0 | 0 | \$20.70 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 3 | 523,223 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Pensacola | 2 | 297,687 | 170,811 | 170,811 | 57.4\% | $(86,706)$ | 0 | 0 | \$9.00 |
| Peoria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |

Source: CoStar Property®

Specialty Center Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 17 | 4,005,265 | 103,969 | 103,969 | 2.6\% | 11,918 | 0 | 0 | \$12.80 |
| Phoenix | 12 | 2,840,893 | 284,635 | 284,635 | 10.0\% | 58,661 | 0 | 0 | \$15.43 |
| Pittsburgh | 1 | 701,155 | 17,753 | 17,753 | 2.5\% | (20) | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Portland | 4 | 423,155 | 5,278 | 5,278 | 1.2\% | 782 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Portland/South | 5 | 388,088 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Providence | 3 | 645,609 | 225,000 | 225,000 | 34.9\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$6.00 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 5 | 1,187,139 | 36,188 | 36,188 | 3.0\% | 5,185 | 0 | 0 | \$17.67 |
| Reno/Sparks | 1 | 56,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 | 50.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$21.00 |
| Richmond VA | 1 | 54,528 | 5,250 | 5,250 | 9.6\% | 5,250 | 0 | 0 | \$25.00 |
| Roanoke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Rochester | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Sacramento | 8 | 1,480,704 | 142,411 | 142,411 | 9.6\% | $(12,245)$ | 0 | 0 | \$13.98 |
| Salinas | 3 | 431,136 | 81,021 | 81,821 | 19.0\% | $(6,836)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.51 |
| Salt Lake City | 6 | 1,232,874 | 38,700 | 38,700 | 3.1\% | $(28,800)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.35 |
| San Antonio | 7 | 270,776 | 9,540 | 9,540 | 3.5\% | 2,600 | 0 | 0 | \$11.00 |
| San Diego | 11 | 1,554,959 | 39,343 | 41,843 | 2.7\% | 7,953 | 0 | 0 | \$18.59 |
| San Francisco | 8 | 1,599,409 | 86,097 | 86,097 | 5.4\% | 14,508 | 0 | 0 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |
| San Luis Obispo | 3 | 283,200 | 4,171 | 4,171 | 1.5\% | $(4,171)$ | 0 | 0 | \$16.99 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Savannah | 1 | 232,910 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 14.6\% | 22,432 | 0 | 0 | \$14.74 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 5 | 832,060 | 25,911 | 30,848 | 3.7\% | $(4,493)$ | 0 | 0 | \$21.70 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| South Bay/San J | 2 | 928,994 | 11,020 | 11,020 | 1.2\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$24.00 |
| South Bend/Mis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Southwest Floric | 6 | 754,556 | 4,520 | 4,520 | 0.6\% | (881) | 0 | 0 | \$34.14 |
| Spokane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Springfield | 1 | 44,000 | 10,160 | 10,160 | 23.1\% | $(6,800)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.71 |
| St. Louis | 4 | 998,702 | 63,700 | 63,700 | 6.4\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$9.00 |
| Stockton/Mode: | 3 | 708,826 | 131,600 | 131,600 | 18.6\% | 18,930 | 0 | 0 | \$18.00 |
| Syracuse | 1 | 136,933 | 43,518 | 43,518 | 31.8\% | 20,142 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Tallahassee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 6 | 1,148,894 | 93,738 | 93,738 | 8.2\% | 20,829 | 0 | 0 | \$12.42 |
| Toledo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Tucson | 4 | 299,131 | 3,298 | 3,298 | 1.1\% | 82,530 | 0 | 0 | \$28.78 |
| Tulsa | 2 | 319,343 | 48,467 | 48,467 | 15.2\% | 19,366 | 0 | 0 | \$4.48 |
| Utica/Rome | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 1 | 215,819 | 10,569 | 10,569 | 4.9\% | $(5,569)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.00 |
| Washington | 10 | 1,570,673 | 32,593 | 33,853 | 2.2\% | $(12,495)$ | 0 | 0 | \$35.00 |
| West Michigan | 2 | 405,953 | 202,301 | 202,301 | 49.8\% | $(68,715)$ | 0 | 0 | \$6.21 |
| Westchester/So | 2 | 675,363 | 150,600 | 150,600 | 22.3\% | $(2,700)$ | 0 | 0 | \$24.87 |
| Wichita | 2 | 473,974 | 4,227 | 4,227 | 0.9\% | 5,705 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Wilmington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Yakima | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Youngstown/W | 1 | 503,520 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 1.1\% | $(5,500)$ | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Totals | 465 | 89,287,783 | 6,938,458 | 7,049,672 | 7.9\% | $(134,123)$ | 45,000 | 835,321 | \$21.10 |

Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\circledR}$

## National Retail Market

FIGURES AT A GLANCE

## Total Retail Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Albany/Schenec | 8,894 | 84,836,491 | 4,008,489 | 4,098,802 | 4.8\% | 91,678 | 46,084 | 110,379 | \$11.87 |
| Albuquerque | 5,211 | 54,902,954 | 3,808,435 | 3,882,487 | 7.1\% | $(197,471)$ | 142,966 | 67,018 | \$14.17 |
| Anchorage | 933 | 16,883,644 | 578,523 | 589,532 | 3.5\% | 52,092 | 77,600 | 24,699 | \$17.17 |
| Asheville | 1,893 | 26,233,145 | 1,816,854 | 1,856,536 | 7.1\% | $(157,092)$ | 78,961 | 12,000 | \$15.21 |
| Atlanta | 18,964 | 321,906,822 | 33,047,929 | 34,082,241 | 10.6\% | $(407,984)$ | 221,076 | 592,598 | \$13.77 |
| Augusta/Richme | 2,337 | 29,475,148 | 2,567,731 | 2,645,457 | 9.0\% | 100,622 | 28,281 | 0 | \$9.89 |
| Austin | 5,347 | 75,871,264 | 4,885,513 | 5,003,021 | 6.6\% | 216,364 | 136,230 | 201,143 | \$18.88 |
| Bakersfield | 1,694 | 22,011,591 | 1,398,085 | 1,438,085 | 6.5\% | 56,785 | 37,005 | 111,780 | \$13.25 |
| Baltimore | 9,388 | 126,418,711 | 7,858,142 | 8,150,082 | 6.4\% | 381,492 | 97,068 | 1,183,221 | \$18.47 |
| Baton Rouge | 1,458 | 30,781,179 | 2,480,506 | 2,607,483 | 8.5\% | 119,771 | 227,908 | 7,500 | \$11.33 |
| Beaumont/Port | 1,275 | 18,972,378 | 864,178 | 891,067 | 4.7\% | 15,143 | 0 | 0 | \$9.86 |
| Birmingham | 6,863 | 87,965,931 | 8,118,650 | 8,340,602 | 9.5\% | 341,465 | 11,751 | 358,888 | \$9.58 |
| Boise City/Namp | 3,997 | 37,597,695 | 3,105,772 | 3,162,769 | 8.4\% | 53,021 | 176,073 | 96,800 | \$11.91 |
| Boston | 18,551 | 287,418,305 | 14,923,469 | 15,371,202 | 5.3\% | 1,780,096 | 922,366 | 524,862 | \$15.45 |
| Bremerton/Silve | 961 | 12,218,770 | 715,228 | 841,534 | 6.9\% | $(78,507)$ | 0 | 0 | \$11.55 |
| Broward County | 7,166 | 111,912,691 | 8,383,912 | 8,606,229 | 7.7\% | 321,171 | 435,920 | 11,060 | \$18.01 |
| Brownsville/Harl | 758 | 12,120,306 | 843,263 | 855,648 | 7.1\% | 10,932 | 5,039 | 0 | \$12.03 |
| Buffalo/Niagara | 4,552 | 63,058,174 | 5,391,545 | 5,527,745 | 8.8\% | $(220,982)$ | 7,759 | 56,206 | \$9.73 |
| Charleston WV | 1,686 | 15,093,656 | 529,360 | 531,312 | 3.5\% | 6,150 | 35,426 | 0 | \$12.85 |
| Charleston/ NCl | 2,849 | 38,114,201 | 2,289,536 | 2,363,166 | 6.2\% | $(34,117)$ | 181,690 | 17,213 | \$15.54 |
| Charlotte | 9,868 | 143,190,771 | 11,784,818 | 11,983,422 | 8.4\% | 118,664 | 687,514 | 135,216 | \$13.60 |
| Chattanooga | 1,645 | 25,871,466 | 1,821,692 | 1,854,728 | 7.2\% | 93,916 | 0 | 0 | \$10.64 |
| Chicago | 29,363 | 469,442,112 | 41,638,887 | 42,991,801 | 9.2\% | $(157,319)$ | 909,833 | 1,006,153 | \$16.66 |
| Cincinnati | 8,826 | 115,046,902 | 9,478,644 | 9,837,684 | 8.6\% | 69,285 | 203,498 | 290,248 | \$10.82 |
| Cleveland | 10,695 | 162,221,707 | 14,413,115 | 15,189,691 | 9.4\% | 152,454 | 164,213 | 88,888 | \$10.73 |
| Colorado Spring | 3,376 | 40,392,053 | 3,052,443 | 3,197,398 | 7.9\% | 853,246 | 392,962 | 435,323 | \$11.74 |
| Columbia | 4,131 | 50,938,718 | 3,018,255 | 3,120,597 | 6.1\% | 95,038 | 45,376 | 3,600 | \$12.84 |
| Columbus | 6,272 | 86,207,213 | 7,353,879 | 7,516,840 | 8.7\% | 707,605 | 136,665 | 421,073 | \$12.39 |
| Columbus GA | 565 | 11,391,613 | 682,049 | 735,777 | 6.5\% | 297,566 | 0 | 0 | \$11.11 |
| Corpus Christi | 1,791 | 22,319,662 | 1,468,236 | 1,489,862 | 6.7\% | 68,980 | 6,000 | 63,000 | \$12.78 |
| Dallas/Ft Worth | 20,425 | 355,351,580 | 31,130,882 | 32,391,264 | 9.1\% | 608,077 | 1,304,546 | 1,198,135 | \$13.75 |
| Davenport/Moli | 985 | 16,868,454 | 943,920 | 1,081,561 | 6.4\% | 5,896 | 19,545 | 0 | \$7.97 |
| Dayton | 6,710 | 69,695,965 | 6,239,361 | 6,435,000 | 9.2\% | 226,042 | 27,800 | 6,470 | \$9.34 |
| Deltona/Dayton | 3,267 | 32,275,341 | 1,816,507 | 1,848,572 | 5.7\% | 368,525 | 401,318 | 0 | \$12.76 |
| Denver | 11,100 | 184,026,894 | 13,956,005 | 14,596,527 | 7.9\% | 1,345,274 | 421,916 | 457,277 | \$14.81 |
| Des Moines | 2,343 | 37,330,446 | 2,125,322 | 2,151,798 | 5.8\% | $(32,379)$ | 43,353 | 31,237 | \$11.49 |
| Detroit | 14,535 | 203,375,908 | 20,960,745 | 22,271,771 | 11.0\% | 1,334,103 | 511,021 | 99,221 | \$12.23 |
| Duluth | 1,825 | 17,125,398 | 437,840 | 437,840 | 2.6\% | 71,316 | 0 | 0 | \$8.51 |
| East Bay/Oaklar | 12,971 | 134,476,346 | 7,363,996 | 7,595,215 | 5.6\% | 387,212 | 263,160 | 78,778 | \$21.94 |
| El Paso | 4,563 | 44,200,356 | 2,871,759 | 2,927,578 | 6.6\% | $(252,517)$ | 109,895 | 186,109 | \$11.82 |
| Erie | 634 | 6,030,625 | 181,277 | 195,943 | 3.2\% | 57,651 | 0 | 0 | \$8.30 |
| Evansville | 1,336 | 19,075,535 | 1,933,935 | 1,987,203 | 10.4\% | 53,143 | 0 | 0 | \$9.57 |
| Fayetteville | 1,689 | 17,824,163 | 1,055,097 | 1,158,091 | 6.5\% | 313,834 | 165,219 | 40,800 | \$9.55 |
| Fayetteville/Spri | 1,896 | 22,090,099 | 1,848,703 | 1,870,179 | 8.5\% | $(410,604)$ | 32,250 | 0 | \$11.92 |
| Fort Smith | 803 | 11,355,509 | 596,313 | 598,048 | 5.3\% | 92,792 | 0 | 0 | \$6.56 |
| Fort Wayne | 1,702 | 26,953,778 | 2,290,163 | 2,358,563 | 8.8\% | 147,630 | 26,857 | 7,180 | \$9.86 |
| Fresno | 6,577 | 68,936,770 | 4,789,261 | 5,348,982 | 7.8\% | 139,594 | 65,441 | 40,756 | \$14.43 |
| Green Bay | 2,034 | 29,094,180 | 2,507,811 | 2,563,206 | 8.8\% | $(231,083)$ | 22,924 | 25,000 | \$10.17 |

[^9]Total Retail Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro/Wir | 8,539 | 96,910,737 | 7,061,570 | 7,340,429 | 7.6\% | 33,121 | 58,520 | 503,050 | \$11.31 |
| Greenville/Spart | 8,293 | 77,442,276 | 5,738,766 | 5,893,821 | 7.6\% | 393,373 | 231,649 | 56,549 | \$9.80 |
| Hampton Roads | 7,322 | 101,057,344 | 6,608,086 | 6,783,365 | 6.7\% | 374,941 | 220,944 | 118,796 | \$14.07 |
| Hartford | 13,080 | 140,576,505 | 8,626,829 | 8,851,420 | 6.3\% | 707,305 | 447,647 | 133,878 | \$12.71 |
| Hawaii | 3,266 | 53,906,315 | 1,709,555 | 1,823,755 | 3.4\% | 352,105 | 119,519 | 7,904 | \$29.24 |
| Houston | 14,507 | 315,683,736 | 23,621,699 | 24,144,991 | 7.6\% | 3,019,879 | 451,253 | 129,786 | \$14.55 |
| Huntington/Ash | 2,708 | 26,339,326 | 999,737 | 1,004,310 | 3.8\% | 39,299 | 20,200 | 29,014 | \$10.23 |
| Huntsville | 749 | 18,036,787 | 1,436,180 | 1,460,537 | 8.1\% | 48,238 | 14,640 | 0 | \$10.99 |
| Indianapolis | 7,676 | 109,469,606 | 8,507,238 | 8,876,177 | 8.1\% | 406,992 | 85,994 | 536,988 | \$12.21 |
| Inland Empire (C | 13,522 | 180,489,093 | 15,614,060 | 16,451,033 | 9.1\% | 272,963 | 107,797 | 201,354 | \$17.56 |
| Jackson | 2,586 | 34,784,802 | 2,032,845 | 2,035,790 | 5.9\% | 71,339 | 5,170 | 22,000 | \$9.58 |
| Jacksonville (Floı | 6,099 | 85,264,852 | 7,193,954 | 7,318,271 | 8.6\% | 74,270 | 207,728 | 69,724 | \$13.65 |
| Kansas City | 5,789 | 101,592,688 | 10,037,370 | 10,305,058 | 10.1\% | 287,051 | 170,404 | 374,224 | \$12.99 |
| Killeen/Temple/ | 1,257 | 12,258,925 | 1,028,762 | 1,037,050 | 8.5\% | 79,263 | 8,400 | 8,400 | \$8.87 |
| Kingsport/Bristo | 1,164 | 15,808,471 | 902,836 | 922,572 | 5.8\% | 118,051 | 0 | 0 | \$8.83 |
| Knoxville | 3,783 | 52,041,793 | 2,949,612 | 3,167,757 | 6.1\% | $(174,458)$ | 66,366 | 82,081 | \$12.85 |
| Lafayette | 628 | 11,002,849 | 913,585 | 958,045 | 8.7\% | $(158,120)$ | 9,800 | 11,300 | \$10.21 |
| Las Vegas | 6,753 | 115,282,537 | 10,916,534 | 11,932,760 | 10.4\% | $(384,420)$ | 220,672 | 498,004 | \$19.33 |
| Lexington/Fayet | 1,911 | 28,623,458 | 1,677,525 | 1,789,126 | 6.3\% | $(28,762)$ | 68,873 | 0 | \$12.61 |
| Lincoln | 1,573 | 18,947,698 | 937,139 | 1,000,434 | 5.3\% | 186,273 | 74,600 | 36,926 | \$11.06 |
| Little Rock/N Lit | 4,242 | 44,393,454 | 2,322,096 | 2,391,357 | 5.4\% | 554,865 | 337,588 | 0 | \$9.55 |
| Long Island (Ne) | 24,411 | 233,159,290 | 10,145,644 | 10,489,976 | 4.5\% | 2,003,541 | 1,846,477 | 1,132,221 | \$26.70 |
| Los Angeles | 36,463 | 435,861,521 | 21,271,881 | 22,274,520 | 5.1\% | 1,218,663 | 1,072,245 | 1,703,391 | \$25.84 |
| Louisville | 5,349 | 74,101,687 | 6,375,912 | 6,737,438 | 9.1\% | $(561,334)$ | 151,629 | 155,228 | \$11.16 |
| Lubbock | 2,282 | 23,899,203 | 1,210,741 | 1,236,376 | 5.2\% | 142,385 | 22,537 | 0 | \$10.68 |
| Madison | 2,915 | 38,783,740 | 2,046,228 | 2,136,380 | 5.5\% | 43,402 | 140,348 | 47,400 | \$13.67 |
| Marin/Sonoma | 3,625 | 35,301,902 | 1,738,926 | 1,921,710 | 5.4\% | 119,760 | 17,340 | 0 | \$20.64 |
| McAllen/Edinbu | 646 | 14,739,644 | 1,405,304 | 1,462,586 | 9.9\% | 49,878 | 116,401 | 34,214 | \$15.42 |
| Memphis | 5,605 | 78,485,060 | 6,729,147 | 6,986,406 | 8.9\% | 737,304 | 230,274 | 273,671 | \$10.19 |
| Miami-Dade Co | 9,120 | 123,658,846 | 5,741,856 | 5,868,215 | 4.7\% | 376,592 | 159,639 | 80,690 | \$24.58 |
| Milwaukee | 12,518 | 135,065,373 | 8,435,334 | 8,889,647 | 6.6\% | 119,700 | 185,986 | 595,934 | \$11.45 |
| Minneapolis | 14,792 | 200,271,825 | 10,485,280 | 10,770,647 | 5.4\% | 928,935 | 661,566 | 320,753 | \$13.83 |
| Mobile | 2,698 | 31,343,382 | 2,477,983 | 2,624,304 | 8.4\% | $(182,471)$ | 34,279 | 4,548 | \$12.46 |
| Montgomery | 1,432 | 22,694,401 | 1,566,752 | 1,622,282 | 7.1\% | 89,560 | 5,877 | 0 | \$9.16 |
| Myrtle Beach/C, | 1,829 | 22,119,003 | 1,054,463 | 1,066,863 | 4.8\% | $(129,993)$ | 0 | 0 | \$10.67 |
| Nashville | 7,976 | 100,312,345 | 6,670,118 | 6,874,376 | 6.9\% | 537,832 | 487,295 | 293,774 | \$13.98 |
| New Orleans/M | 4,492 | 62,214,507 | 4,372,952 | 4,502,076 | 7.2\% | $(333,317)$ | 227,707 | 113,038 | \$13.50 |
| New York City | 5,134 | 73,832,527 | 1,445,298 | 1,478,923 | 2.0\% | 416,875 | 189,930 | 784,976 | \$85.13 |
| Northern New Jt | 25,961 | 319,278,916 | 18,988,125 | 19,961,834 | 6.3\% | 1,756,639 | 1,319,749 | 3,499,738 | \$20.01 |
| Ocala | 741 | 10,647,129 | 892,435 | 896,935 | 8.4\% | $(44,767)$ | 0 | 20,000 | \$13.47 |
| Oklahoma City | 6,707 | 80,244,658 | 5,182,489 | 5,493,685 | 6.8\% | 268,534 | 139,725 | 42,213 | \$10.42 |
| Olympia | 1,078 | 12,644,120 | 746,125 | 755,960 | 6.0\% | $(131,228)$ | 0 | 0 | \$17.20 |
| Omaha/Council | 3,957 | 52,583,919 | 3,824,879 | 4,027,157 | 7.7\% | 166,459 | 184,401 | 110,355 | \$11.43 |
| Orange (Califorr | 7,455 | 127,259,897 | 7,044,283 | 7,792,785 | 6.1\% | $(224,075)$ | 140,394 | 195,026 | \$23.33 |
| Orlando | 8,511 | 152,617,207 | 11,664,341 | 11,965,140 | 7.8\% | 809,391 | 269,148 | 258,026 | \$16.25 |
| Palm Beach Cou | 4,512 | 79,617,074 | 6,330,862 | 6,399,627 | 8.0\% | 361,031 | 259,804 | 76,852 | \$19.25 |
| Pensacola | 3,257 | 29,568,802 | 1,887,695 | 1,904,275 | 6.4\% | $(23,433)$ | 21,580 | 0 | \$11.80 |
| Peoria | 948 | 13,266,051 | 639,554 | 757,966 | 5.7\% | 106,924 | 19,000 | 11,578 | \$11.22 |

[^10]
## National Retail Market

figures at a glance

Total Retail Market Statistics

| Market | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | YTD Net Absorption | YTD Deliveries | Under Const SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quoted } \\ & \text { Rates } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 29,038 | 445,612,922 | 30,361,805 | 31,431,243 | 7.1\% | 1,294,278 | 667,488 | 2,087,114 | \$14.39 |
| Phoenix | 9,649 | 206,756,412 | 25,013,592 | 25,580,613 | 12.4\% | $(748,785)$ | 413,812 | 308,677 | \$16.42 |
| Pittsburgh | 10,381 | 125,351,588 | 7,087,144 | 7,593,273 | 6.1\% | 839,156 | 135,331 | 365,150 | \$11.80 |
| Port St Lucie/Fo | 1,600 | 25,024,891 | 1,777,149 | 1,781,149 | 7.1\% | 209,939 | 207,715 | 21,800 | \$16.03 |
| Portland | 8,083 | 101,412,871 | 5,920,085 | 6,147,221 | 6.1\% | 229,490 | 205,332 | 278,932 | \$17.30 |
| Portland/South I | 3,546 | 36,279,964 | 1,717,735 | 1,816,936 | 5.0\% | $(206,533)$ | 9,296 | 18,000 | \$13.63 |
| Providence | 5,667 | 56,233,225 | 2,994,818 | 3,309,914 | 5.9\% | 199,974 | 157,364 | 113,782 | \$14.26 |
| Raleigh/Durham | 5,373 | 84,693,204 | 5,695,113 | 5,854,791 | 6.9\% | 381,698 | 248,105 | 340,366 | \$15.23 |
| Reno/Sparks | 1,429 | 25,250,968 | 2,692,016 | 2,796,334 | 11.1\% | $(149,927)$ | 8,000 | 47,780 | \$15.64 |
| Richmond VA | 6,571 | 79,233,534 | 5,644,544 | 5,725,923 | 7.2\% | $(275,512)$ | 101,764 | 207,236 | \$13.86 |
| Roanoke | 1,416 | 17,912,764 | 858,481 | 861,037 | 4.8\% | $(87,161)$ | 0 | 23,335 | \$12.63 |
| Rochester | 4,267 | 60,511,480 | 4,524,886 | 4,713,900 | 7.8\% | $(301,323)$ | 33,000 | 7,726 | \$11.34 |
| Sacramento | 8,134 | 111,879,638 | 10,917,002 | 11,805,357 | 10.6\% | 179,727 | 199,757 | 903,642 | \$19.75 |
| Salinas | 1,423 | 17,021,246 | 790,716 | 806,234 | 4.7\% | 22,137 | 0 | 12,000 | \$17.96 |
| Salt Lake City | 9,873 | 123,151,469 | 7,060,070 | 7,276,706 | 5.9\% | 535,449 | 267,865 | 29,013 | \$13.86 |
| San Antonio | 10,699 | 120,775,838 | 7,372,037 | 7,644,882 | 6.3\% | 874,355 | 465,553 | 608,137 | \$14.75 |
| San Diego | 12,302 | 137,106,650 | 7,042,973 | 7,433,414 | 5.4\% | 304,189 | 133,403 | 136,760 | \$22.32 |
| San Francisco | 10,267 | 85,053,719 | 2,493,672 | 2,713,653 | 3.2\% | 64,855 | 43,100 | 214,550 | \$31.89 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1,455 | 13,296,908 | 461,329 | 469,404 | 3.5\% | $(23,257)$ | 26,914 | 140,000 | \$20.93 |
| Santa Barbara/S | 2,057 | 23,752,835 | 745,899 | 814,860 | 3.4\% | 96,286 | 15,000 | 20,465 | \$22.66 |
| Santa Cruz/Wat | 1,435 | 11,836,814 | 778,054 | 827,419 | 7.0\% | $(3,130)$ | 71,414 | 3,500 | \$19.54 |
| Savannah | 1,359 | 18,134,087 | 1,322,997 | 1,394,641 | 7.7\% | 21,189 | 42,128 | 77,200 | \$14.27 |
| Seattle/Puget Sc | 12,731 | 168,479,328 | 10,552,206 | 11,117,141 | 6.6\% | 136,342 | 178,207 | 147,997 | \$18.10 |
| Shreveport/Boss | 1,524 | 21,460,805 | 1,430,878 | 1,520,086 | 7.1\% | 187,372 | 2,100 | 3,750 | \$10.13 |
| South Bay/San J | 6,207 | 79,391,759 | 4,314,205 | 4,560,944 | 5.7\% | 44,245 | 265,346 | 130,339 | \$26.23 |
| South Bend/Mis | 1,064 | 16,203,570 | 900,882 | 1,027,050 | 6.3\% | 31,781 | 0 | 64,196 | \$9.83 |
| Southwest Floric | 5,543 | 78,239,095 | 7,093,797 | 7,405,350 | 9.5\% | 211,996 | 205,167 | 180,693 | \$14.37 |
| Spokane | 3,615 | 37,176,104 | 2,012,148 | 2,122,888 | 5.7\% | 163,433 | 140,335 | 24,500 | \$11.48 |
| Springfield | 1,675 | 22,613,103 | 1,437,556 | 1,487,049 | 6.6\% | $(44,944)$ | 12,480 | 300,000 | \$10.56 |
| St. Louis | 7,368 | 131,862,345 | 11,343,959 | 11,607,616 | 8.8\% | 732,673 | 41,296 | 140,632 | \$12.61 |
| Stockton/Modes | 3,026 | 48,835,279 | 3,904,860 | 4,124,720 | 8.4\% | 239,357 | 108,448 | 25,526 | \$15.86 |
| Syracuse | 3,259 | 42,864,565 | 2,408,705 | 2,473,881 | 5.8\% | 141,869 | 45,661 | 33,368 | \$9.95 |
| Tallahassee | 2,572 | 27,362,250 | 1,535,079 | 1,581,702 | 5.8\% | $(113,198)$ | 19,648 | 55,351 | \$12.40 |
| Tampa/St Peters | 15,493 | 212,085,952 | 15,375,202 | 16,101,125 | 7.6\% | 43,945 | 389,005 | 412,450 | \$14.17 |
| Toledo | 7,001 | 71,240,046 | 5,722,225 | 6,125,662 | 8.6\% | 140,242 | 10,682 | 29,348 | \$8.62 |
| Tucson | 5,200 | 50,714,865 | 4,254,422 | 4,270,060 | 8.4\% | 172,610 | 307,565 | 51,751 | \$15.83 |
| Tulsa | 6,110 | 68,803,181 | 4,322,188 | 4,643,552 | 6.7\% | 435,975 | 161,327 | 36,430 | \$10.26 |
| Utica/Rome | 1,735 | 22,399,468 | 917,908 | 1,004,127 | 4.5\% | $(24,131)$ | 0 | 0 | \$8.16 |
| Visalia/Portervill | 1,381 | 15,836,036 | 1,129,163 | 1,148,249 | 7.3\% | $(29,555)$ | 0 | 0 | \$12.76 |
| Washington | 13,577 | 214,785,677 | 11,364,731 | 11,834,583 | 5.5\% | 1,829,115 | 2,257,414 | 1,570,597 | \$23.89 |
| West Michigan | 12,920 | 133,883,720 | 11,154,416 | 11,570,164 | 8.6\% | $(38,459)$ | 359,694 | 20,954 | \$9.59 |
| Westchester/So | 14,578 | 180,600,399 | 9,855,392 | 10,084,132 | 5.6\% | 724,941 | 316,014 | 1,462,117 | \$21.47 |
| Wichita | 3,626 | 41,563,472 | 2,376,596 | 2,616,482 | 6.3\% | $(202,233)$ | 8,960 | 0 | \$9.96 |
| Wilmington | 2,840 | 25,766,491 | 1,626,743 | 1,663,146 | 6.5\% | $(161,223)$ | 8,000 | 600,019 | \$14.42 |
| Yakima | 1,206 | 11,178,320 | 928,230 | 929,730 | 8.3\% | 18,888 | 0 | 0 | \$9.78 |
| Youngstown/W | 3,607 | 39,689,165 | 3,652,744 | 3,776,918 | 9.5\% | 356 | 200,000 | 0 | \$8.45 |
| Totals | 880,447 | 11,809,705,923 | 829,472,972 | 862,561,549 | 7.3\% | 31,969,416 | 28,151,294 | 31,818,618 | \$15.18 |

Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\circledR}$

General Retail Market Statistics*

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 550,544 | 4,509,852,812 | 226,535,326 | 235,550,010 | 5.2\% | 4,919,976 | 158 | 2,554,400 | 456 | 13,245,084 | \$15.85 |
| 2010 2q | 550,436 | 4,508,191,503 | 229,320,387 | 238,808,677 | 5.3\% | 6,842,697 | 240 | 3,629,307 | 531 | 12,493,136 | \$16.00 |
| 2010 1q | 550,222 | 4,504,918,567 | 232,284,831 | 242,378,438 | 5.4\% | 3,987,186 | 219 | 5,055,184 | 634 | 13,920,921 | \$16.17 |
| 2009 4q | 550,030 | 4,500,108,957 | 230,731,459 | 241,556,014 | 5.4\% | 7,291,488 | 301 | 6,356,887 | 618 | 14,456,855 | \$16.44 |
| 2009 3q | 549,929 | 4,495,715,380 | 232,731,948 | 243,430,595 | 5.4\% | 4,104,144 | 394 | 6,774,528 | 699 | 16,782,044 | \$16.74 |
| 2009 2q | 549,574 | 4,489,216,529 | 229,995,806 | 241,035,888 | 5.4\% | $(872,330)$ | 429 | 8,337,055 | 854 | 19,419,151 | \$17.05 |
| 2009 1q | 549,215 | 4,481,573,892 | 221,368,865 | 232,520,921 | 5.2\% | $(774,194)$ | 637 | 10,948,273 | 997 | 22,889,589 | \$17.29 |
| 2008 4q | 548,652 | 4,471,194,286 | 213,041,444 | 221,367,121 | 5.0\% | 6,295,159 | 685 | 12,147,512 | 1,257 | 28,833,537 | \$17.66 |
| 2008 3q | 548,039 | 4,460,622,622 | 210,106,755 | 217,090,616 | 4.9\% | 6,116,306 | 569 | 9,444,192 | 1,564 | 34,409,463 | \$17.74 |
| 2008 2q | 547,535 | 4,452,317,442 | 208,101,970 | 214,901,742 | 4.8\% | 11,120,545 | 557 | 9,692,795 | 1,547 | 34,707,310 | \$17.66 |
| 2008 1q | 547,033 | 4,443,251,869 | 209,991,122 | 216,956,714 | 4.9\% | 18,649,805 | 1,389 | 22,120,975 | 1,481 | 32,542,728 | \$17.39 |
| 2007 4q | 545,757 | 4,423,678,042 | 209,625,239 | 216,032,692 | 4.9\% | 10,648,706 | 612 | 10,863,007 | 2,141 | 40,154,127 | \$17.18 |
| 2007 3q | 545,218 | 4,414,678,917 | 211,655,400 | 217,682,273 | 4.9\% | 20,596,356 | 576 | 10,868,292 | 2,255 | 43,655,516 | \$16.80 |
| 2007 2q | 544,711 | 4,405,090,617 | 222,652,387 | 228,690,329 | 5.2\% | 16,600,266 | 587 | 9,294,661 | 1,540 | 39,506,042 | \$16.68 |
| 2007 1q | 544,191 | 4,396,888,752 | 231,352,943 | 237,088,730 | 5.4\% | 36,678,762 | 1,937 | 33,363,578 | 1,498 | 32,195,957 | \$16.55 |
| 2006 | 542,401 | 4,367,060,603 | 238,628,168 | 243,939,343 | 5.6\% | 44,629,242 | 4,011 | 65,126,227 | 2,768 | 53,004,010 | \$16.09 |

Source: CoStar Property $\circledR^{*}$ Excludes markets - see Methodology page
Mall Market Statistics*

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 1,185 | 943,299,857 | 54,276,727 | 56,215,692 | 6.0\% | 824,414 | 10 | 557,105 | 50 | 6,584,649 | \$20.06 |
| 2010 2q | 1,184 | 942,742,752 | 54,299,289 | 56,483,001 | 6.0\% | 777,297 | 10 | 1,296,494 | 55 | 6,083,316 | \$20.26 |
| 2010 1q | 1,184 | 941,446,258 | 53,591,452 | 55,963,804 | 5.9\% | 341,892 | 21 | 1,304,777 | 53 | 6,971,466 | \$20.44 |
| 2009 4q | 1,183 | 940,141,481 | 52,499,185 | 55,000,919 | 5.9\% | 2,252,448 | 48 | 2,866,285 | 63 | 7,696,866 | \$20.28 |
| 2009 3q | 1,177 | 937,275,196 | 51,637,201 | 54,387,082 | 5.8\% | 2,074,374 | 71 | 5,093,292 | 106 | 10,105,742 | \$20.51 |
| 2009 2q | 1,173 | 932,181,904 | 48,689,217 | 51,368,164 | 5.5\% | $(923,112)$ | 33 | 1,077,525 | 168 | 14,721,958 | \$21.23 |
| 2009 1q | 1,169 | 931,104,379 | 45,408,126 | 49,367,527 | 5.3\% | $(4,020,363)$ | 67 | 3,795,090 | 174 | 14,496,095 | \$23.23 |
| 2008 4q | 1,165 | 927,309,289 | 39,222,970 | 41,552,074 | 4.5\% | 2,257,820 | 102 | 6,617,369 | 195 | 16,121,340 | \$22.87 |
| 2008 3q | 1,155 | 920,691,920 | 35,815,374 | 37,192,525 | 4.0\% | 3,911,327 | 103 | 5,324,978 | 267 | 19,914,509 | \$22.30 |
| 2008 2q | 1,151 | 915,366,942 | 34,597,089 | 35,778,874 | 3.9\% | 1,153,051 | 96 | 4,864,218 | 327 | 23,972,969 | \$22.31 |
| 2008 1q | 1,145 | 910,502,724 | 30,850,057 | 32,067,707 | 3.5\% | 2,380,831 | 96 | 4,997,611 | 362 | 24,422,594 | \$21.75 |
| 2007 4q | 1,138 | 905,505,113 | 28,646,189 | 29,450,927 | 3.3\% | 8,854,389 | 140 | 9,440,722 | 360 | 25,160,898 | \$22.36 |
| 2007 3q | 1,128 | 896,064,391 | 27,873,540 | 28,864,594 | 3.2\% | 3,589,892 | 71 | 3,491,374 | 420 | 30,092,285 | \$21.62 |
| 2007 2q | 1,126 | 892,573,017 | 27,318,203 | 28,963,112 | 3.2\% | 1,593,187 | 64 | 2,675,184 | 368 | 29,482,216 | \$21.74 |
| 2007 1q | 1,121 | 889,897,833 | 26,951,812 | 27,881,115 | 3.1\% | 9,357,502 | 168 | 8,761,478 | 323 | 25,266,843 | \$23.80 |
| 2006 | 1,111 | 881,136,355 | 27,689,831 | 28,477,139 | 3.2\% | 8,188,999 | 407 | 19,122,316 | 349 | 23,171,790 | \$24.86 |

Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.
Power Center Market Statistics*

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 1,511 | 620,213,427 | 40,391,694 | 43,715,613 | 7.0\% | 2,760,433 | 12 | 1,040,488 | 32 | 1,690,759 | \$18.28 |
| 2010 2q | 1,508 | 619,172,939 | 41,804,416 | 45,435,558 | 7.3\% | 2,370,249 | 12 | 673,053 | 33 | 2,201,992 | \$18.56 |
| 2010 1q | 1,508 | 618,499,886 | 43,078,239 | 47,132,754 | 7.6\% | 1,213,397 | 18 | 1,005,734 | 41 | 2,857,223 | \$19.27 |
| 2009 4q | 1,508 | 617,494,152 | 43,038,878 | 47,340,417 | 7.7\% | 1,454,132 | 37 | 1,900,180 | 48 | 3,368,671 | \$19.59 |
| 2009 3q | 1,508 | 615,593,972 | 42,615,491 | 46,894,369 | 7.6\% | 2,655,458 | 48 | 2,881,613 | 74 | 3,891,266 | \$19.63 |
| 2009 2q | 1,502 | 612,712,359 | 41,853,430 | 46,668,214 | 7.6\% | $(72,009)$ | 43 | 2,268,833 | 104 | 6,306,132 | \$19.62 |
| 2009 1q | 1,498 | 610,443,526 | 38,591,275 | 44,327,372 | 7.3\% | $(2,383,412)$ | 104 | 4,939,233 | 131 | 7,910,938 | \$20.19 |
| 2008 4q | 1,493 | 605,504,293 | 32,215,552 | 37,004,727 | 6.1\% | 2,290,629 | 130 | 8,960,903 | 208 | 11,328,457 | \$20.50 |
| $20083 q$ | 1,489 | 596,543,390 | 29,034,466 | 30,334,453 | 5.1\% | 8,063,989 | 149 | 8,396,074 | 296 | 18,066,612 | \$20.59 |
| 2008 2q | 1,484 | 588,147,316 | 28,687,155 | 30,002,368 | 5.1\% | 4,340,073 | 99 | 5,094,842 | 383 | 24,856,085 | \$20.57 |
| 2008 1q | 1,477 | 583,052,474 | 27,914,893 | 29,247,599 | 5.0\% | 8,989,230 | 206 | 10,779,294 | 394 | 25,662,563 | \$20.42 |
| 2007 4q | 1,461 | 572,273,180 | 26,363,073 | 27,457,535 | 4.8\% | 9,089,289 | 114 | 9,108,951 | 449 | 28,877,538 | \$20.20 |
| 2007 3q | 1,451 | 563,164,229 | 25,949,106 | 27,437,873 | 4.9\% | 6,094,673 | 121 | 6,661,842 | 487 | 34,260,484 | \$20.02 |
| 2007 2q | 1,440 | 556,502,387 | 25,190,830 | 26,870,704 | 4.8\% | 5,963,553 | 124 | 6,430,452 | 462 | 33,293,212 | \$20.24 |
| 2007 1q | 1,430 | 550,071,935 | 24,909,416 | 26,403,805 | 4.8\% | 10,084,410 | 206 | 9,031,482 | 485 | 33,508,936 | \$20.80 |
| 2006 | 1,411 | 541,040,453 | 26,216,044 | 27,456,733 | 5.1\% | 18,509,376 | 573 | 25,312,061 | 538 | 32,858,781 | \$19.49 |

[^11]Shopping Center Market Statistics*
Third Quarter 2010

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctris | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 71,468 | 3,354,766,337 | 353,923,572 | 366,422,264 | 10.9\% | 4,358,207 | 71 | 1,514,281 | 220 | 6,041,859 | \$15.25 |
| 2010 2q | 71,452 | 3,353,252,056 | 355,908,396 | 369,266,190 | 11.0\% | 3,901,798 | 95 | 2,503,715 | 268 | 6,692,135 | \$15.45 |
| 2010 1q | 71,410 | 3,350,748,341 | 356,597,136 | 370,664,273 | 11.1\% | $(1,974,579)$ | 97 | 2,155,818 | 311 | 8,336,852 | \$15.62 |
| 2009 4q | 71,366 | 3,348,592,523 | 351,425,024 | 366,533,876 | 10.9\% | 1,008,514 | 212 | 5,445,750 | 298 | 7,865,374 | \$15.89 |
| 2009 3q | 71,305 | 3,343,437,875 | 347,117,805 | 362,101,340 | 10.8\% | $(3,109,512)$ | 260 | 7,152,168 | 439 | 11,839,618 | \$16.28 |
| 2009 2q | 71,203 | 3,336,285,707 | 336,230,897 | 351,839,660 | 10.5\% | $(7,031,176)$ | 310 | 8,545,312 | 595 | 16,185,238 | \$16.44 |
| 2009 1q | 71,077 | 3,327,740,395 | 319,804,691 | 336,263,172 | 10.1\% | $(9,186,722)$ | 488 | 11,073,265 | 742 | 21,412,172 | \$16.74 |
| 2008 4q | 70,883 | 3,316,667,130 | 302,378,897 | 316,003,185 | 9.5\% | 3,123,712 | 653 | 16,295,616 | 995 | 26,859,121 | \$16.87 |
| $20083 q$ | 70,636 | 3,300,371,514 | 291,583,053 | 302,831,281 | 9.2\% | 7,545,339 | 564 | 15,368,773 | 1,401 | 37,364,078 | \$16.90 |
| 2008 2q | 70,415 | 3,285,002,741 | 283,201,169 | 295,007,847 | 9.0\% | 3,800,424 | 596 | 12,641,381 | 1,581 | 43,755,799 | \$16.83 |
| 2008 1q | 70,193 | 3,272,361,360 | 274,407,558 | 286,166,890 | 8.7\% | 13,179,758 | 1,075 | 24,111,813 | 1,672 | 45,476,561 | \$16.75 |
| 2007 4q | 69,733 | 3,248,249,547 | 263,398,109 | 275,234,835 | 8.5\% | 13,338,906 | 730 | 17,894,776 | 2,116 | 54,533,650 | \$16.62 |
| 2007 3q | 69,418 | 3,230,354,771 | 258,540,154 | 270,678,965 | 8.4\% | 12,908,553 | 673 | 14,176,287 | 2,401 | 62,027,609 | \$16.47 |
| 2007 2q | 69,067 | 3,216,178,484 | 256,839,759 | 269,411,231 | 8.4\% | 3,928,289 | 666 | 12,960,236 | 2,214 | 60,354,707 | \$17.19 |
| 2007 1q | 68,737 | 3,203,218,248 | 248,161,452 | 260,379,284 | 8.1\% | 20,808,210 | 1,430 | 28,906,233 | 2,238 | 56,853,369 | \$16.16 |
| 2006 | 68,126 | 3,174,312,015 | 240,910,615 | 252,281,261 | 7.9\% | 27,004,132 | 3,637 | 77,735,957 | 2,774 | 64,024,662 | \$15.86 |

Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page. * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.
Specialty Center Market Statistics*

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Ctrs | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 384 | 75,961,779 | 5,473,218 | 5,575,818 | 7.3\% | 24,260 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 794,565 | \$21.89 |
| 2010 2q | 384 | 75,961,779 | 5,496,771 | 5,600,078 | 7.4\% | 79,769 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 794,565 | \$21.63 |
| 2010 1q | 384 | 75,961,779 | 5,537,206 | 5,679,847 | 7.5\% | $(150,657)$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 794,565 | \$21.73 |
| 2009 4q | 384 | 75,961,779 | 5,364,591 | 5,529,190 | 7.3\% | $(279,245)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 330,000 | \$21.83 |
| 2009 3q | 384 | 75,961,779 | 5,080,490 | 5,249,945 | 6.9\% | $(6,590)$ | 1 | 8,400 | 1 | 330,000 | \$23.70 |
| 2009 2q | 384 | 75,953,379 | 5,031,057 | 5,234,955 | 6.9\% | $(203,950)$ | 1 | 5,466 | 1 | 8,400 | \$22.97 |
| 2009 1q | 383 | 75,947,913 | 4,724,898 | 5,025,539 | 6.6\% | $(410,727)$ | 1 | 49,278 | 2 | 13,866 | \$22.37 |
| 2008 4q | 383 | 75,898,635 | 4,326,715 | 4,565,534 | 6.0\% | 223,149 | 4 | 1,077,583 | 2 | 54,744 | \$22.44 |
| $20083 q$ | 379 | 74,821,052 | 3,541,712 | 3,711,100 | 5.0\% | 531,032 | 6 | 485,784 | 5 | 1,126,861 | \$22.16 |
| 2008 2q | 378 | 74,335,268 | 3,606,950 | 3,756,348 | 5.1\% | 503,520 | 11 | 770,530 | 11 | 1,612,645 | \$22.17 |
| 2008 1q | 377 | 73,564,738 | 3,364,929 | 3,489,338 | 4.7\% | $(499,888)$ | 9 | 50,568 | 21 | 2,333,897 | \$22.98 |
| 2007 4q | 376 | 73,514,170 | 2,829,888 | 2,938,882 | 4.0\% | 735,818 | 5 | 665,333 | 21 | 2,120,832 | \$27.22 |
| 2007 3q | 374 | 72,848,837 | 2,903,373 | 3,009,367 | 4.1\% | 450,745 | 7 | 160,098 | 22 | 2,287,819 | \$28.43 |
| 2007 2q | 374 | 72,688,739 | 3,222,626 | 3,300,014 | 4.5\% | $(68,444)$ | 9 | 171,461 | 20 | 2,180,206 | \$26.83 |
| 2007 1q | 374 | 72,517,278 | 2,986,976 | 3,060,109 | 4.2\% | 848,558 | 16 | 1,040,847 | 28 | 2,345,683 | \$29.18 |
| 2006 | 369 | 71,476,431 | 2,825,847 | 2,867,820 | 4.0\% | 2,168,052 | 51 | 3,069,629 | 36 | 2,470,446 | \$21.82 |

Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page. * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.
Total Retail Market Statistics*

| Period | Existing Inventory |  | Vacancy |  |  | Net Absorption | Deliveries |  | UC Inventory |  | Quoted <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Blds | Total GLA | Direct SF | Total SF | Vac \% |  | \# Blds | Total GLA | \# Blds | Total GLA |  |
| 2010 3q | 693,469 | 9,504,094,212 | 680,600,537 | 707,479,397 | 7.4\% | 12,887,290 | 251 | 5,666,274 | 762 | 28,356,916 | \$15.81 |
| 2010 2q | 693,268 | 9,499,321,029 | 686,829,259 | 715,593,504 | 7.5\% | 13,971,810 | 357 | 8,102,569 | 891 | 28,265,144 | \$16.00 |
| 2010 1q | 692,937 | 9,491,574,831 | 691,088,864 | 721,819,116 | 7.6\% | 3,417,239 | 355 | 9,521,513 | 1,043 | 32,881,027 | \$16.20 |
| 2009 4q | 692,609 | 9,482,298,892 | 683,059,137 | 715,960,416 | 7.6\% | 11,727,337 | 598 | 16,569,102 | 1,028 | 33,717,766 | \$16.46 |
| 2009 3q | 692,217 | 9,467,984,202 | 679,182,935 | 712,063,331 | 7.5\% | 5,717,874 | 774 | 21,910,001 | 1,319 | 42,948,670 | \$16.81 |
| 2009 2q | 691,482 | 9,446,349,878 | 661,800,407 | 696,146,881 | 7.4\% | $(9,102,577)$ | 816 | 20,234,191 | 1,722 | 56,640,879 | \$17.02 |
| 2009 1q | 690,736 | 9,426,810,105 | 629,897,855 | 667,504,531 | 7.1\% | (16,775,418) | 1,297 | 30,805,139 | 2,046 | 66,722,660 | \$17.35 |
| 2008 4q | 689,513 | 9,396,573,633 | 591,185,578 | 620,492,641 | 6.6\% | 14,190,469 | 1,574 | 45,098,983 | 2,657 | 83,197,199 | \$17.51 |
| 2008 3q | 688,011 | 9,353,050,498 | 570,081,360 | 591,159,975 | 6.3\% | 26,167,993 | 1,391 | 39,019,801 | 3,533 | 110,881,523 | \$17.54 |
| 2008 2q | 686,685 | 9,315,169,709 | 558,194,333 | 579,447,179 | 6.2\% | 20,917,613 | 1,359 | 33,063,766 | 3,849 | 128,904,808 | \$17.46 |
| 2008 1q | 685,381 | 9,282,733,165 | 546,528,559 | 567,928,248 | 6.1\% | 42,699,736 | 2,775 | 62,060,261 | 3,930 | 130,438,343 | \$17.28 |
| 2007 4q | 682,719 | 9,223,220,052 | 530,862,498 | 551,114,871 | 6.0\% | 42,667,108 | 1,601 | 47,972,789 | 5,087 | 150,847,045 | \$17.17 |
| 2007 3q | 681,191 | 9,177,111,145 | 526,921,573 | 547,673,072 | 6.0\% | 43,640,219 | 1,448 | 35,357,893 | 5,585 | 172,323,713 | \$16.95 |
| 2007 2q | 679,812 | 9,143,033,244 | 535,223,805 | 557,235,390 | 6.1\% | 28,016,851 | 1,450 | 31,531,994 | 4,604 | 164,816,383 | \$17.39 |
| 2007 1q | 678,429 | 9,112,594,046 | 534,362,599 | 554,813,043 | 6.1\% | 77,777,442 | 3,757 | 81,103,618 | 4,572 | 150,170,788 | \$16.82 |
| 2006 | 674,819 | 9,035,025,857 | 536,270,505 | 555,022,296 | 6.1\% | 100,499,801 | 8,679 | 190,366,190 | 6,465 | 175,529,689 | \$16.45 |

[^12]
## Historical Rental Rates*

Based on NNN Rental Rates


Source: CoStar Property® ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Excludes markets - see Methodology page.

## Vacancy by Available Space Type

 Percent of All Vacant Space in Direct vs. Sublet
$\square$ Direct $\square$ Sublet

Vacancy Rate By Building Type
Based Ratio of Vacant Space to Total GLA by Building Type


Source: CoStar Property®

Vacancy by Building Type Percent of All Vacant Space by Building Type


Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{(1)}$

Future Space Available
Space Scheduled to be Available for Occupancy*


* Includes Under Construction Spaces Source: CoStar Property®

SELECT TOP RETAIL LEASES Based on Leased Square Footage For Deals Signed in 2010

|  | Building | Market | SF | Qtr | Tenant Name | Tenant Rep Company | Landlord Rep Company |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Oak Park Mall | Kansas City | 219,303 | 3 rd | Frontier Furniture | Direct Deal | CBL \& Associates Properties, Inc. |
| 2 | White Marsh Mall | Baltimore | 197,345 | 1st | Forever 21 | Direct Deal | KLNB Retail |
| 3 | Westrield Wheaton | Washington | 148,000 | 3rd | Costco | N/A | Westfield Group |
| 4 | 3500 Pelham Pky | Birmingham | 124,346 | 2nd | Stacks Furniture | Harbert Realty Services | Retail Specialists |
| 5 | 7803 Othello Ave | San Diego | 110,720 | 1st | Costco Wholesale | Northwest Atlantic Partners | Morris \& Campbell |
| 6 | 7660 Stockton Blvd | Sacramento | 108,263 | 2nd | Shop\$mart | Terranomics Retail Services | CB Richard Ellis |
| 7 | 1400 S Abilene St | Denver | 106,143 | 2nd | Eco Tech Institute | CB Richard Ellis | National Retail Properties, Inc. |
| 8 | Covina Town Square | Los Angeles | 102,219 | 2nd | Lowe's | Retail Insite | Kimco Realty |
| 9 | Cielo Vista Shopping Center | Killeen/Temple/Fort Hood | 96,645 | 2nd | Academy Sports and Outdoors, Ltd. | N/A | N/A |
| 10 | North Versailles Towne Center | Pittsburgh | 95,500 | 2nd | Goodwill of Southwestern Pennsylvania | Direct Deal | Glimcher Group, Inc. |
| 11 | Springdale Beltway Center | Cincinnati | 91,000 | 3rd | Morris Home Furnishings | Direct Deal | NAI Bergman |
| 12 | Crossroads Square* | Jacksonville (Florida) | 85,560 | 1st | Floor and Decor Outlets | N/A | Lat Purser \& Associates Inc. |
| 13 | Chesapeake Square Shopping Center | Baltimore | 85,100 | 2nd | ShopRite | N/A | KLNB Retail |
| 14 | Alicia Towne Center | Orange (California) | 83,857 | 1st | LA Fitness | N/A | Wilson Commercial Real Estate Inc |
| 15 | Garden Grove Center | Orange (California) | 83,746 | 3rd | Burlington Coat Factory | N/A | Studley |
| 16 | Lincoln Crossings | Chicago | 82,126 | 3rd | Food4Less | Direct Deal | Mid-America AssetManagement Inc. |
| 17 | Janss Marketplace | Los Angeles | 82,000 | 2nd | Burlington Coat Factory | Direct Deal | CB Richard Ellis |
| 18 | Chesapeake Square | Hampton Roads | 81,721 | 2nd | Burlington Coat Factory | N/A | Excess Space Retail Services, Inc. |
| 19 | Pinole Vista Crossing | East Bay/Oakland | 80,008 | 2nd | Burlington Coat Factory | Retail West | CB Richard Ellis |
| 20 | Tonnelle Plaza Shopping Center | Northern New Jersey | 77,187 | 3rd | Burlington Coat Factory | N/A | Welco Realty Inc |
| 21 | Tri-City Shopping Center | Inland Empire (California) | 75,896 | 3rd | Burlington Coat Factory | Navarro Retail Group | CB Richard Ellis |
| 22 | Mentor Plaza | Cleveland | 72,970 | 2nd | Honda | N/A | Reisenfeld \& Company |
| 23 | Parkview Station | Atlanta | 70,565 | 1st | Peachtree Hope Charter School | Joel \& Granot Real Estate, LLC | Cross-Town Realty |
| 24 | Clayton Valley Shopping Center | East Bay/Oakland | 70,273 | 2nd | Orchard Supply Hardware | N/A | Direct Deal |
| 25 | Rivercrest Center | Chicago | 70,000 | 1st | Burlington Coat Factory | N/A | Mid-America AssetManagement Inc. |
| 26 | Cosentino Commerce Center | Long Island (New York) | 70,000 | 2nd | ShopRite | N/A | PJ Venture |
| 27 | Edgewood Square | Augusta/Richmond County | 69,580 | 2nd | Roses | N/A | Phillips Edison \& Company |
| 28 | Ashley Crossing | Charleston/N Charleston | 69,004 | 3rd | Kohl's | N/A | Developers Diversified Realty |
| 29 | Hanford Mall | Fresno | 68,726 | 1st | Kohl's | Direct Deal | Direct Deal |
| 30 | East Valley Mall |  | 66,370 | 3rd | SwapSmart | N/A | Covington Asset Management |
| 31 | Pearlridge Center | Hawaii | 65,653 | 1st | Bed Bath \& Beyond | N/A | MMI Realty Services Inc. |
| 32 | Columbia Crossing II | Baltimore | 63,062 | 2nd | Toys"R"Us | Direct Deal | KLNB Retail |
| 33 | Greenbriar Mall | Atlanta | 63,000 | 1st | Corinthian College | Jones Lang LaSalle | Hendon Properties |
| 34 | Market Place | Killeen/Temple/FortHood | 61,452 | 3 rd | Kohl's | N/A | The Weitzman Group |
| 35 | 1972 Broadway | New York City | 61,350 | 3rd | Century 21 Department Store | Direct Deal | Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc. |
| 36 | Brywood Centre* | Kansas City | 61,132 | 1st | Price Chopper | N/A | Tri-Land Properties, Inc. |
| 37 | San Pedro Crossing | San Antonio | 60,687 | 1st | Toys"R"Us / Babies"R"Us | SRS Real Estate Partners | Stream Realty Partners, L.P. |
| 38 | Nashboro Village | Nashville | 60,647 | 3rd | Harris Teeter | N/A | Regency Centers |
| 39 | Southington Plaza | Hartford | 60,484 | 1st | ShopRite | N/A | Cornerstone Properties, Inc. |
| 40 | Hamilton Place | Chattanooga | 60,000 | 3rd | Forever 21 | N/A | N/A |

[^13]
## National Retail Market

LEASING ACTIVITY

## Change In Vacant Square Footage Last 12 Months <br> Third Quarter 2009 to Third Quarter 2010



Percent Change:
Less than -8.1\% $-8.0 \%$ to $-0.1 \%$

进 $0.0 \%$ to $6.9 \%$
$7.0 \%$ to $13.9 \%$
Over 14.0\%

| Rank | Region | 2009 3q | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} 3 \mathbf{q}$ | Diff |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Olympia | 569,970 | 755,960 | $32.6 \%$ |
| 2 | Fayetteville/Springdale/Rogers | $1,455,559$ | $1,870,179$ | $28.5 \%$ |
| 3 | Huntington/Ashland | 800,220 | $1,004,310$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| 4 | Roanoke | 686,446 | 861,037 | $25.4 \%$ |
| 5 | South Bend/Mishawaka | 842,847 | $1,027,050$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| 6 | Portland/South Portland | $1,505,843$ | $1,816,936$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| 7 | San Luis Obispo/Paso Robles | 389,750 | 469,404 | $20.4 \%$ |
| 8 | Tallahassee | $1,333,267$ | $1,581,702$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| 9 | Wilmington | $1,422,247$ | $1,663,146$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| 10 | New Orleans/Metaine/Kenner | $3,911,809$ | $4,502,076$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| 11 | Louisville | $5,913,360$ | $6,737,438$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| 12 | Albuquerque | $3,432,244$ | $3,882,487$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| 13 | Ocala | 795,895 | 896,935 | $12.7 \%$ |
| 14 | Green Bay | $2,281,569$ | $2,563,206$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| 15 | Salinas | 718,927 | 806,234 | $12.1 \%$ |
| 16 | El Paso | $2,638,401$ | $2,927,578$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| 17 | Knoxville | $2,859,822$ | $3,167,757$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| 18 | Asheville | $1,677,720$ | $1,856,536$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 19 | Lafayette | 865,805 | 958,045 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 20 | Rochester | $4,265,277$ | $4,713,900$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| 21 | Reno/Sparks | $2,532,231$ | $2,796,334$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| 22 | Jacksonville (Florida) | $6,643,138$ | $7,318,271$ | $10.2 \%$ |


| Rank | Region | 2009 3q | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \mathbf{3 q}$ | Diff |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 23 | Southwest Florida | $6,769,544$ | $7,405,350$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 24 | Las Vegas | $10,968,508$ | $11,932,760$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| 25 | Columbia | $2,870,901$ | $3,120,597$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| 26 | Mobile | $2,414,483$ | $2,624,304$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| 27 | South Bay/San Jose | $4,203,134$ | $4,560,944$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 28 | Springfield | $1,374,125$ | $1,487,049$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 29 | Phoenix | $23,644,261$ | $25,580,613$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 30 | Buffalo/Niagara Falls | $5,117,436$ | $5,527,745$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| 31 | Bremerton/Silverdale | 781,599 | 841,534 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 32 | Orange (Califomia) | $7,243,658$ | $7,792,785$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| 33 | Charleston/N Charleston | $2,199,634$ | $2,363,166$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 34 | Tampa/StPetersburg | $15,035,892$ | $16,101,125$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 35 | Anchorage | 551,465 | 589,532 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 36 | Wichita | $2,460,380$ | $2,616,482$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| 37 | Boise City/Nampa | $2,975,954$ | $3,162,769$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| 38 | Myrtle Beach/Conway | $1,006,501$ | $1,066,863$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 39 | Richmond VA | $5,404,611$ | $5,725,923$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 40 | Youngstown/Warren/Boardman | $3,567,753$ | $3,776,918$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 41 | Augusta/Richmond County | $2,500,885$ | $2,645,457$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 42 | Baton Rouge | $2,472,585$ | $2,607,483$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| 43 | Santa Cruz/Watsonville | 786,054 | 827,419 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 44 | Washington | $11,314,636$ | $11,834,583$ | $4.6 \%$ |
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## National Retail Market

SALES ACTIVITY

## The Optimist Sales Index <br> Average of Two Highest Price/SF's and Two Lowest Cap Rates Per Quarter <br> 

Source: CoStar COMPS®

## Sales Volume \& Price

Based on Retail Building Sales of 15,000 SF and Larger


Source: CoStar COMPS®

Historical Price/SF Average
Based on Retail Building Sales of $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ SF and Larger


Source: CoStar COMPS®

Sales Analysis by Building Size
Based on Retail Building Sales From July 2009 - June 2010

| Bldg Size | \# | RBA |  | \$ Volume | Price/SF | Cap Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <25,000 SF | 7,483 | 47,403,538 | \$ | 10,491,406,255 | \$ 221.32 | 8.15\% |
| 25K-99K SF | 718 | 32,761,115 | \$ | 3,883,651,224 | \$ 118.54 | 7.22\% |
| 100K-249K SF | 141 | 21,374,256 | \$ | 1,808,957,147 | \$ 84.63 | 4.71\% |
| >250K SF | 39 | 19,585,695 | \$ | 1,548,641,551 | \$ 79.07 | 2.17\% |

## Historical Cap Rate Average Based on Retail Building Sales of $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ SF and Larger



Source: CoStar COMPS®


[^15]

| Milwaukee |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Price: | $\$ 37,030,000$ |
| Price/SF: | $\$ 151.74$ |
| Cap Rate: | $8.8 \%$ |
| RBA: | 244,039 |
| Date: | $12 / 23 / 2009$ |
| Year Built: | 2008 |
| Buyer: | Inland American Real Estate Trust, Inc. |
| Seller: | Continental Properties Company, Inc |




## Select Same Building Sales

## Based On Recent Building Sales Compared to Prior Sale



## Source: CoStar COMPS®


[^0]:    Source: CoStar Property• *Excludes markets - see Methodology page.

[^1]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^2]:    Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{\text {e }}$

[^3]:    Source: CoStar Property ®

[^4]:    Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{(1)}$

[^5]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^6]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^7]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^8]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^9]:    Source: CoStar Property ®

[^10]:    Source: CoStar Property ®

[^11]:    Source: CoStar Property ${ }^{(1)}$ * Excludes markets - see Methodology page

[^12]:    Source: CoStar Property® * Excludes markets - see Methodology page. * Excludes markets - see Methodology page.

[^13]:    Source: CoStar Property®

    * Renewal

[^14]:    Source: CoStar Property®

[^15]:    | Palm Beach County |  |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | Price: | $\$ 34,200,000$ |
    | Price/SF: | $\$ 245.68$ |
    | Cap Rate: | $6.98 \%$ |
    | RBA: | $\mathbf{1 3 9 , 2 0 8}$ |
    | Date: | $9 / 15 / 2010$ |
    | Year Built: | 2010 |
    | Buyer: | TA Associates Realty |
    | Seller: | North American Propertie |

